The Library of Congress'

EARLY STATE RECORDS PROJECT

converted from the original microfilm held by the
Vincent C. Immel Law Library, Saint Louis University

through the generous support of a grant from the

Council on Library and Information Resources

This document contains handwritten text that may be partially illegible due to age, penmanship, or deterioration of the medium. LLMC has scanned the material in the same format and page order in which it is maintained in the source document taken from the collection "Records of the States of the United States of America, a microfilm compilation."



[illegible]

[illegible] Hanney

" " Landers

" " Hayes

E. Mayhew

A. L. Smith

H Rankin

J Mc Gray

James McLean

26.40
18
12.80
5.70
43.10

16.00

[illegible]

5.50 20.60
16.40 30.60

[illegible]

3/4 Days
47 Spencer

5 Hours
2 Days 3/4 10

Abel	Jr	vs	Jno Cal Hafa — 1
Do	do	"	Woodcutter — 2
Achey	Jr	"	A McLean 2

Banks	Wm	vs	Partridge & Hayward 35
Boon			55
Bassett	W H	vs	Bates & Tascher 244
Bullock		vs	Jas C Doane 243
Brazer	Geo	vs	Elkhorn Company 228
			40
			43
Barker	N J	vs	Augustus Fox — 31
Do	Do	vs	E Hargrave & Co — 51
Bissell	& Sons	vs	[illegible] 41
Bialoucich		vs	[illegible] 57
Brazer	Jr	vs	[illegible] 55
Brown		vs	[illegible] 87
Brown	H H	vs	N E Darling — 91
Beatty	Mit	vs	Jno Emerson 108
C H C	Brazee	vs	Do Do 110
Brandwell	Jno	vs	W Smith 114
Brown	Nich R	vs	C H Swantown 118
Bangle	Jas	vs	Street & Emery 73
Baum	Mc	vs	Do James Eggers 128
Brown	R M	vs	R Wilmot & Co 139
Brazee	Jr	vs	W McLaren 110
Brown		vs	E McDonald 135
Buck	Joseph	vs	O Dennis — 158
Brown	He	vs	Do & Shumlin 159
[illegible]	Jo R M	vs	P Freund — 151
[illegible]		vs	Sayo & Dodd — 187
[illegible]		vs	Dalton & Ropes — 182
[illegible]		vs	[illegible] 201
[illegible]		vs	[illegible] 210
[illegible]			52
[illegible]			58
[illegible]			45

[illegible] 5—

[illegible] 3

[illegible] 7

Connell Goldsmith vs John Sylvester 68—

[illegible] vs Aaron Darling 88

[illegible] vs Cook & Storm 82

[illegible] vs [illegible] Hoover 107

[illegible] vs [illegible] 125

[illegible] vs [illegible] & Storm 143

[illegible] vs [illegible] 148

[illegible] vs D B Emerson 153

[illegible] vs [illegible] 163

[illegible] vs [illegible] 172

[illegible] vs [illegible] 173

[illegible] vs Holmes & Stutsman 186

[illegible] vs Davis & Barnes 188

[illegible] vs Thos H Hunter 194

[illegible] vs Doc Judd & Hot 197

[illegible] vs Thos H Hunter 208

[illegible] vs Bruce Hurst & Bissell 223

[illegible] vs [illegible] 229

[illegible] vs [illegible] 358

[illegible] vs Peter Hoe 12 and 13

[illegible] vs [illegible] 27

[illegible] vs [illegible] 53

[illegible] vs [illegible] 98—

[illegible] vs H G Edwards 102

[illegible] vs Bowen & Says 147

[illegible] vs [illegible] 138

[illegible] vs [illegible] 85—

[illegible] 145

[illegible]

[illegible] 156

[illegible] 192

[illegible] 205

Flanagan vs. Kennard - 32

220

Spanish Peter	vs	J J Roper	19
George Upton	vs	[illegible]	54
Spanish Peter	vs	Loony & Co	86
Gregory Jas	vs	Jas Simmons	103
Spanish Peter	vs	C & M Bailey	116
Spanish Peter	vs	Johnston	109
Do Do	vs	Simpson & Co	157
Gregory District	vs	Miss Macarthy	178
Do Do Do	vs	Cap West	160
Goodrich Jacob	vs	A L Mitchum	208
Gregory District	vs	Joseph W Robson	224
Good Samuel	vs	M McClanahan	240
[illegible]	vs	[illegible]	251
Gregory District	vs	Mayfield	257

[illegible]	vs	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	vs	Burnam & Co	2
Heirs Henry	vs	Charles Comer	18
Kennipe & Co	vs	Wm Wilson	232

Name of Case — Christmas et al — 273

Healy Mayr & Co, & Platt & Sons	"	"	21
Hazett Benjamin vs	I R Oselyp	" "	65
Hill G & H	vs	B Mallary	" " 64
Huntington M &	vs	Piper & Mann	79
Hazett & Kehler	vs	Jn Monroe	104
Hunter Bros	vs	Cook & Storm	82
Hazett & Kehler	vs	Godfry & Clark	120
Hamon J C	vs	J J Piper	140
Henshaw Lulus	vs	Hess & Kinney	141
Hazett & Kehler	vs	Ely Cherry	149
Do Do	vs	Ely Ward	154
Do Do	vs	Nelson & Morris	157
Helm & Rhum	vs	H Harris	163
Hazett & Kehler	vs	Cook & Thurn	167
Hanson & H	vs	Godfry & Clark	184
Harrison & H	vs	Williams	193
Hall N R	vs	Dunsuing &	213
Hall	vs	Austin	225
Hamilton &	vs	Hensel &	233
Hickman Mrs	vs	A J Fitzgerald	236
Hopkins G W	vs	Joseph McGibbon	248
Hanks A D	vs	Johnson Mayhew &	260
Helm P	vs	Harris & Hunter	255
Hedges Bartlett &	vs	Pine Bar	199
Johnson Lyford	vs	Jn B Purdy &	119
Jones & Cartright	vs	Cook & Thurn	142
Do Do	vs	Woodworth	131
Do Do	vs	Emerson	71
Jones W. &	vs	Archibald	246

Matthias & Cornyton vs A W Hall 271

Kehler & Patton vs B C Hamm 182
Kehler & Phlager vs V C Summerlee 14
Kehler & Phlager vs 2 Promissory Notes
Kehler & Patton vs Mc Cumber & Co
Kehler & Patton vs W W Boyce 72
Kline C A vs D E Mace & Thomaston 82
Kehler & Patton vs Wm Foster 239
Rebec Peter vs Albert Lewis 241
Karjewsky ed. vs Wm Foster 2.22
Kehler & Patton vs Jas. Jack 264
Kehler Jno H vs Guy Cecil 265
Kehler J H vs Cook & McBride 270

~~Mc Mackin & Co vs Mc Mackin & Co~~ 18

Lake A W vs B Parnell 24
Lelly & Pettyjohn vs Horace Fellows 75
Langley & Hoom vs Goodale & Co 93
Looney D. vs E D Smith & Ring 123
Lewis Wm vs Graham Jean 249

Mayhew A E	vs	B H Chase	56
McGeachin	vs	J K Emmons	74
Miller Robt	vs	H P Lawrence	120
MacDonald Peter	vs	H C Kingsbury	132
McLeod H	vs	Freeman Read	60
Mayhew M	vs	Lathrop & Co	150
Mayhew John	B	Lyon	170
McLean & Smith	vs	J H Alexander & Co	190
Malone Martin	vs	W A Warren	202
McFarlane P J	vs	Thomas Oakes	235
Metcalf John	vs	Lord & Miller	247
Wood W B	vs	A Richardson	249

Odell J A	vs	B H Chase	252

Pearce Jas H	vs	Symonds & Close	6
Parker Wm L	vs	G W Bruce	111
Post & Mann	vs	Smith Earhart & Co	168
Patton & Kibler	vs	B P Hamm	183
Paste & Belfield	vs	Lemmen & Freed	195
Post & Mann	vs	J. H. Woodworth	204
Post & Mann	vs	Ed. Dougherty	206
Pitts H W	vs	St John Davenport	206
Pusey J M B & Co	vs	The Roberts & Co	211
Pitts L. L.	vs	Baldwin & Jacobs	254
Pollock W. R.	vs	[illegible]	262

North Pac Lumber & Mfg Co			
Nay R B	vs	Hastings	155

Thompson R.Q. & Co	vs	J. A. [illegible] dale & Co	76
Thompson D B	vs	David Musser	122

Smith A P & Co		Wm Louis	226
Spades le D	vs	Wm M Todd	216
Smock E R B	vs	Whitelaw	230
Smith J D	vs	Jas Lyons	218
Scott A S	vs	Hayes Lee & Co	234
Smith Asa	vs	Dorsey & Gillens	164
Spades le	vs	Herbert	212
Sheriff Keller	vs	le D Spades	242
Smock E B B	vs	J W Woodworth	198
Smith & Nelson	vs	J H Alexander	190

Carried from (illegible)

	Brown vs. Lofton		40
Rawlins B D	vs	L W Harrison	23
Reynolds Peter	vs	Thos Dunston	24
Rose Jacob	vs	Alexander Wilburn & Co	52
Robeson L & Co	vs	Norris Flanagan & Co	95
Robeson J W	vs	Henry Ferris	96
Robeson L & Co	vs	L W Hopkins	97
Robt Blackstone	vs	Cook & Sims	117
Russell David	vs	Briggs & Busby	140
Reynolds J. F.	vs	M. Cook	232
Richards David	vs	Geo. W Cook	237

Stillings Geo	vs Jno Hudson	209	Gadsden vs Peter Casner 207
Simms & Co	vs Jas B Sherrer	195	Smoot E B vs E Donahue 196
Young & Co	vs Sylvester & Co	174	Smith E B vs Woodworth 134
Smith W vs	Honeywell	162	
Shute J B	vs J Bottom	133	Snowden W vs Wm Boyce 180
Sisty W E	vs Barefoot, Jno Steele	130	W Simms vs Wm Adams 99
			Smith vs Sykes 118
Simms W	vs J R Axley		113
Sharp J	vs Dysey & Gellenus		115
Simms J J	vs John Hudson		105
Sycamore	vs W Bakely		109
Stamp & Co	vs Mercoop & McCubbin		101
Simms & Co	vs J & M O Gonder		9
Steers	vs S. Dale		10
Simms & Co	vs Martin		4
Simpson Wm D	William H Ziglar		21
Simms W	vs E Jones		26
Smith Charles	vs Jacob Goodrich & M Cook		28
Ford Mattin	vs Crockett & Mark		30
Sis R	vs J Whitehouse		55
A. J. B	vs Jacob Goodrich		59
Edmund L	vs L V R Young		64
Simms M & Co	vs C W Smith		80
Sydnor & Dean	vs Frost & Simms		78
Sivers & Co	vs J & J Emmons		85
Shute J. B.	vs J & J Emmons		103
Simms J. J.	vs Wm L. Crockett		150
Sis & Co	vs G Peters & J Casner		207
Sivers	vs Johnson & Co		175
Sydnor & Co	vs J Whitehead	171	Taylor vs Stiff & G
Steele	vs Charles Steele		
Charles E	Jr.	A. E. D	

VanBuskirk A	vs	Bailey & McLeod	67
Vance Z. & C.		H Ferris	219

Carried Forward (cont.)

Harrison Geo. Pf.	vs	Ex. Jno. Miller	Page —	240
Hine H H	vs	Louis Kingsbo —	"	247
Harrison G W.	vs	Grady & Miller	"	248
Harrison G W	vs	Bolton & Parks —	"	269
Hays Justus	vs	Lewbrick —	"	272
Hall & Cole	vs	B H Chase	"	281

Young D A	vs	Chs Elsner	187
Ayres & May	vs	Henry Ferris —	251

(9) Jun 25th

Daniel Smith — A Jenkins
Oren Smith — C Grady
John Sweeney — M Mabie
Arthur Chamichael — A Bennet
E M Hanes — J McGlashin
R B Smoot — Jim McNutt
S M Fitzgerald — R P Elmore
John Murry — Lee Smith
C Grady
A McPhail
J J Morrall
Ethan Austin
S E Hall
Wm Maconier
Dugal Pomroy

(9) Brought forward

6.

Vide Ords G. J. As. Jno Larson - Page 263.
Sensenderfer vs G. J. Wms Terr Files 272

Names of Jurors Sitting March 15th 1860

1

I Black	$	1.50
Jas Jack		1.50
J Evans		1.50
C R Athey		1.50
C Wilts		1.50
J H Chapman		1.50
B Caven		1.50
A Mayfield		1.50
C Wolfe		1.50
John Newlin		1.50
Jas Essex		1.50
" Gregory		1.50
" Coutlin		1.50
H Conover		1.50
C Herrick		1.50
Verina		1.70
Shumpfus		3.00
	$	26.20

4 Jurors

Wm Vance	1/2
Jas Rusel	1/2
F Gruwell	1/2
A Bennett	1/2
J Breckenridge	1/2
E S Bowers	1/2
J A Shook	1/2
J Hall	1/2
Tom Mack	1/2
Jas Lacke	1/2
Wm Hopkins	1/2
Leo Read	1/2
J Bradbum	1/2
H Smith	1/2
L Rowhouse	1/2

7th

A Barnhart	Jur
P Talbert	Jur
M Bristo	Jur
A White	Jur
J H Knot	Jur
J Horner	Jur
G Chapman	Jur
Cap Jones	Jur
I Goble	Jur

2

Dr Willin Blessing	Jur
Wm Mayfield	1.00 Jur
Henry Herrio	Jur
D R Bissell	Jur
Jacob Emmerson	Jur
Ed H McGlashin	Jur
I B Norton	Jur
Saml Mathewal	Jur
W H Morgan	75+25 Jur
J H Russell	1.50 Jur
Ed Glashin	1.00 Jur
Doc Smith	Jur
H G Howes	Jur
Randle (?)	Jur
Balance	Jur
John Shains	4.50 Jur

8th

W Rice	Jur
	Jur
	Jur
	Jur
	Jur
	Jur
	Jur
	Jur

J B Shook	Jur
J Sylvester	Jur
C Yashen	Jur
M Cook	Jur
J D Storm	Jur
Wm H Norton	Jur
N P Ramsford	Jur
D W Griese	Jur
A. Mayfield	Jur

3

H Pilgan	Jur
Wm Norton	Jur
Geo Lee	Jur
Smith Hall	Jur
Doc Thompson	Jur
B Hobase	Jur
B B Bales	Jur
J Goodrich	Jur
Wm Freeman	Jur
Jno Goble	Jur
H Simell	Jur
Jas Emerson	Jur
A Eighmy	Jur
Wm Daugherty	Jur
D C Bissell	40

5th

H B Tichnor	Jur
A Mayfield	Jur
Wm Norton	Jur
M Lemmon	Jur
Cap Packard	Jur
H Herrio	Jur
M Cook	Jur
Wm Norwood	Jur
Doc Thompson	

July 17 =

A McPhail
Saml Mathewal
Baker

1

Miners Court

Brazie Mayhue — L. F. Chase — Complaint filed and Summons issued Jan 30th A.D. 1860

vs

Riordan — A. C. Swift et al — Trial Feb 2nd A.D. 1860

Jan 30th 1860 Bond filed for costs of suit &c

" " Petition bond and affadavit filed for order of Injunction.

" 31 " Order of Injunction granted

Feb 2 " Answer filed

Summons served and returned January 31st 1860

Feb 2 " ~~Verdict for Defendant~~

" " " Parties appear and joined issue The Court issued a venire for nine Jurymen, The parties objected The Jury was sworn, After hearing the Testimony and receiving the instructions of the court, the Jury retired for deliberation returned and rendered the following Verdict, We the Jury find for Defendent

S. B. Thompson
F. J. Howe
Wm Bozeman

Fees of J. P.

To Presiding	5.00
Trial of Injunction	5.00
Issuing Summons	70
2 Supoenas	1.40
3 Bonds	2.10
2 Affidavits	6.0
Order of Court	1.50
Summoning Viniy	.70
Swearing Jury	.50
Transmitting Papers	.70
Making Docket	.60
Administering Oaths	60
	$19.20

Sheriffs Fees $1.90

Jury " 3.00 00

J. S. Howe J. P.

Witness fees $2.00 00

Carried over to

Miners Court

Bozie
Mayney

John W Eagle
vs
James N. Ashley

Complaint filed and Summons issued Feb 8rd A.D. 1860. Trial Feb 11th A.D. 1860. Suit dismissed at Plaintiffs costs
V. S. Fees —
Sh Ores 6.00
Summons 70
Docket fee $6.00
S. Fees $1.00

Costs paid
A Sutton Q C
J J Hare D C

Territory of Nevada County of } ss
Arapahoe Mining District }

Leo H Cook
vs
Fred Buchtman

Ejectment for Lott in Mountain City & Dam $1.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by Smith & Snelling filed his Complaint against defendant

1860
June 21 Summons issued returnable on the 26th
26 And now at the Summons being returned. Came Plaintiff & discontinues said suit. Thereupon defts. is discharged. & Plaintiff pays Costs taxed at $10.20

Cfe $6.80
Shff 3.40

Given under my hand & seal this 26th day A.D. 1860

Leo H Cook

J W Ba

Nemaha County

Territory of Kansas
vs Nemaha County
Gregory District ss
W. B. Attins

J. B. Shutt being duly sworn testifies as follows, That on the evening of the 3rd day of ~~Janu~~ January in the year of our Lord one Thousand eight hundred and sixty a certain man known by the name of Mr Attins did wilfully disturb the peace of this community, and attempt to ~~inf~~ injure the person of one Dr D. B. Thompson

The above complaint filed the 3rd day of Febuary A D 1860, Warrant issued Feb 3rd A D 1860 returnable Feb 4th A D 1860

Trial Feb 4th 1860 at 9 o'clock A M continued till 1 o'clock P M. Feb 4th 1860

Miners Court

Meyjue Brazil
Marian Swift

B. A Chase
A. L. Swift dec

The plaintiff suing against by the decision of the Jury, appealed the case to a Jury of twelve men. Notice issued for Defendants to appear Saturday February 3rd A D. 1860. Trial Tuesday February 7th A.D. 1860. at 10 o'clock A.M.
Notice returned Feb 3rd A D 1860

Feb 7 1860 The parties appeared and joined issue, Jury sworn and proceeded to try the cause. After hearing the testimony and Arguments of Counsel; The Jury retired and after deliberating, returned with the following verdict,

We the undersigned Jurymen in the case Chase vs Swift & Others render a verdict in favour of the defendants

M. Storms Foreman

P. P. Fees
For Reading 5.00
" Summons .70
" Attachment .70
" Venire .70
" 2 Subpanas for Witns 1.40
" Oath .80
" Swearing Jury .30
Docket 2 entries .60
#10.20
" 1 Summons .70
" Writ 1.50
12.40
7.25
19.65
Execution 1.40
21.25 Costs Paid

Witness Fees Jury Fees Sheriffs Fees
11.20 #7.20 22.40
11.20
34.30 Plff
Jury 12.00
Wit 11.20
P P 21.25
#76.75 Deft

Received Feb 23 1860
A. L. Swift

5c

Miners Court

Clements
Marion

Geo B. North
vs
J. P. Hamilton Willis

Complaint filed
Feb 20th A.D. 1860
Summons issued
February 8th A.D. 1860
Returnable on day of Trial
Trial set for Monday Feb 13th A.D. 1860
at 9 o'Clock A.M. On motion of
Council for defence case continued
until Feb 21st A.D. 1860.

For Residing	5.00	Sheriffs Fees	
" Swearing Writ	1.20	Levying Execution	1.80
" 2 Summons	1.40	Mileage	.80
Docket	.60		2.60
Notice	.70	Collecting	1.50
	9.90		4.10

Feb 21st Parties appeared and joined issue, After hearing the testimony of witnesses and arguments of Counsel the Court rendered Judgement against defendent for Sixty three dollars and twelve cents and costs of suit

J. P. Adams P.C.

Miners Court

6

Fichler & Pfliger
vs
A person Unknown

Complaint filed and Writ issued 9th A.D. 1860, returnable forthwith. Suit dismissed at costs of defendant

D.C.P. Fee
Warrant 70
Affidavit 70
Filing 70
$2,10

James H Pearse
vs
Goodrich & Chase

Minno Consolidated District Court " " $20.00

Be it remembered that Plaintiff filed his Complaint against Defendants, for Breach of Labor, Summons issued returnable on the 12th June 1860 at 9 o'clock A.M. Summons Returned Served on Goodrich

1860
Jne 12 And now at this time comes the plaintiff the Defendants three Called Cum non. After hearing the Evidence in the cause It is adjudged that said plaintiff recover of defendants the sum of Twenty Dollars Debt. & $9.21 Costs. Thereupon Execution issued

Judg 20.00
Costs 7.00
Sheriff 2.20

Given under my hand and Seal this 12th June 1860

E B Edwards J.P.M.C.

Jne 25 Fi Fa issued "

T. Mills Court

Territory of Kansas
Atchison County ss

Stetler & Peters
vs
M. & Kirkwood

Suit brought on debt for Thirty seven dollars and fifty cents
Complaint filed Feb 11th A.D. 1860, Summons issued Feb 11th A.D. 1860 returned Feb 14th A.D. 1860,
Case called 11 o'clock A.M. Tuesday Feb 21st 1860,
Judgment rendered by default in favor of Plaintiff for the amount claimed and costs of suit
& Costs D.M.

D.M. fees
Docketing 2.00
4 Summons .70
2 Subpoenas 1.40
4.10

Sheriffs Fees 5.60,
9.10
Paid
15.40

Witness fees .70
D.M. & Stone Atty

The defendant feeling aggrieved at the decision of the court appeals the case Jury of twelve. Motion Sustained for plaintiff
Case on Monday Feb 27th A.D. 1860 at 10 o'clock A.M. Motion of plaintiff to dismiss appeal
Dismissed Feb 20th A.D. 1860, Motion for Change of Venue granted to Eureka District

J.P. Fees 2.40
4.20
11.20
17.80

Witness 4.20, Sheriffs 11.20

J. S. Stone D.C.

Mines Court

William Mack
vs
A. W. Smith et al

Complaint filed
Alt Summons
issued Feb 11th A.D. 1860
Summons returnable
Feb 13th at 2 o'clock P.M.
Motion for Alt writ
by defendant, motion sustained
A. McLane J.

J. P. Dus $100 — d. Jun 26, 20

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Arapahoe Mines Court Eighth District }

N. L. Witcher }
vs } Debt "
1860 R. H. Montgomery & Bro. }

Jan 23 Be it remembered that said N. L. Witcher filed his affidavit in said Court against said Defendants, asking for the arrest of said R. H. Montgomery & Bro." Thereupon the proper Warrant issued and due to the Sheriff of said County."

26th And now at this date, the said writ was returned Served by bringing the body of Defendants in
27 Court. And now at this time, Comes the Sheriff 12.00 Defendants. Complainant thereupon Came and Cl
C. & 6.90 not. It is thereupon considered that Defendants
Sheriff's fee paid 12.00 hence without day & that Plaintiff pay
Due — 12.00 Costs of Suit Taxed at $18.90 Thereupon
1/2 Execution remains

Given under my hand and
Seal this 27th June 1860
A. B. Clements (L.S.)
Clerk

9

Miners Court.

M Stones & Co
vs
C.H.O. Schmidt

Complaint filed, and summons issued Feb 11th 1860.
Trial Tuesday Feby 14th A.D. 1860
Judgement by default

For Plaintiff

D.C. Fees	Magistrate Fees
Justice's Fee 5.00	1.60
" Summons 50	
" Docket 30	
" Subpoena 1.50	
7.50	
1.60	
Effes 9.10	
D.C. Fees 1.10	
9.80	

D Stones

Trial	$5.00	
2 Deputies	3.00	
2 Do	1.00	
2 Do	1.00	
Venire	2.00	
Swearing	1.00	
Docket	3.00	
	1.50	
Costs	.80	$3.10
Witness	20.00	3.20
	.30	15.90
Costum	.30	3.70
	$20.60	4.00
		29.70
		12.00
		41.70

13 Witnesses 2 days 26.00

	2066	
	88.30	
J H Guyn	Deputy	18.00
Thos Ruse		$106.30
Ed Parkus	X	
Jno Emmons	Paid by H.C.	
D S Looney		
Jno Garrett	X Paid by H	
E Ropes		
M Shuman		
M Kirkland	X Paid by H	
M Miley		
Jno Simons		
R Hutchins		
M Pollick E	X	
Jno White		

Received above costs in full Aug 3/60 Clerk

Yr. | Cour. | Writs | Court | Date

[redacted]

10

J. M. Ashley
vs
John Bowers

Motion for new trial. Summons issued Feb 8 & 9 & Feb. 10 on defend & Stays further proceedings are suspended

D. S. Stone D. C.

D Cts
Jon Braden 5.00

A Cts
1.50

C. R. Bissell & Stones
vs
C. W. Fisk

Ejectment — see page 47
Mentrial

1860
June 11

And now at this time comes the parties. Jury app (12) empannelled and sworn. The Evidence in the Cause is heard & concluded. After arguments of Council The Jury retired. and finally returned a Verdict as follows to wit: We the Jury find a Verdict for the Plaintiffs in the Case of C R Bissell & N Stones vs C. W. Fisk Giving C W Fisk the one hundred feet in accordance to his deed from [illegible]

Signed P. Tabbert Foreman

It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiffs recover the premises in issue. together with the Costs of Suit. Taxed at $ 1.11.6.50

Thereupon Execution remains

Given under my hand and Seal this the 18th June A.D. 1860

C B Clements Cl
per

Territory of Nevada }
County of Arapahoe } ss

This day personally
before me the undersigned Judge of
the 1st Judicial District Court
says that

11

M Storm Dec
vs
Jos. B Smith

Complaint filed Feb 15th A.D. 1860 Summons issued Feb 15 A.D. 1860 returnable Feb 18th A.D. 1860 Alias Summons Issued Feb 18th A.D. 1860, Trial Feb 18th at 9 o'clock A.M. Judgment by default for amount claimed by Plaintiff Twenty Two dollars & Sixty three cents & costs of suit

Sheriffs Fees
Serving Writ 1.00
Mileage 2.63
16.80
39.43

Serving Summons 2.80
Mileage 30
8.10
7.20
15.30

Personally appeared before me H. Harman Beer Scott V.D.M. Benny and acknowledged himself indebted to the Plaintiff in the above sum and ordered to pay the same with costs of suit

By order of Court
D Stone Clk

Abstract issued this above date Feb 18 A.D. 1860 D. Stone Clk

Juries Court

Maynard Wilkes De Ines
vs.
Darius Baker
Wm H Blackford
John Shaw

Petition filed and
Summons issued
Feb 6th A.D. 1860,
Trial Saturday Feb 25th
A.D. 1860.
Feb 25 A.D. 1860 parties
appeared and joined issue by agreement of parties case continued
until Monday Feb 27 A.D. 1860
at 10 Oclock. Feb 27 A.D. 1860 Parties appeared
and joined issue, Personally appeared
J B. Shute and acknowledged himself
indebted in the sum of Dollars to secure payment of Costs for Plaintiff. Personally
appeared Chas. Barton and acknowledged himself indebted in the sum
of one hundred dollars to secure payment of costs for Defendant
Motion by ~~Plaintiff~~ defendant
for continuance overruled. Continued till
8 Oclock P.M. On motion continued till
10 Oclock Feb 28th A.D. 1860
Feb 28th A.D. 1860 Parties appeared and
joined issue. Motion by defendants
for dismissal, overruled. The jury was
sworn and after hearing the testimony Arguments
of Council and instructions of the court
retired and after deliberating returned
with the following verdict, The jury
find a verdict in favour of the plaintiff
without damages sued. Henry Pillow
J. P. Ines
Fee presiding 5.00
6 Summons 4.20
2 Swearing 1.10
Swearing Witness 1.6

D. McKagen
David Russell

Costs

Miners Court

William Mack
Elisha E. Foster
Benem B. Arbuckle
and Jarvis Mealey
vs
C. W. Smith
Winton Smith
and N. S. Pelton

Petition filed summons issued Feb 2nd 1860
Summons returnable Saturday Jan 28 A.D 1860 at 1 o'clock P.M.,
Saturday Feb 25 A.D 1860.
Parties appeared and joined issue. On motion of Council for Plaintiff said dismissed at Plaintiffs Costs

J. P. Fees	Sheriff's Fees
8.00	30.00

District Court 14

Territory of Kansas
Arrapahoe County

J. A. Fehler
H. Schleger } plaintiffs
vs
M. D. Curran
H. Curran } by
C. Saxe.

Complaint filed
Feb 20th A.D. 1860
Summons
issued Feb 21st A.D. 1860
Summons returnable
Monday Feb 27th A.D. 1860
at 9 o'clock A.M.
Non suit or failure of
plaintiffs to appear
J. S. Slosson Clk

William Wilson
vs
Jacob Goodrich

Debt on note, $16 38

1860
June 12

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs filed as complaint Defendants Note for $16 38— Int 10 Cts
Summons issued. Returnable Instr 12th at 9 Oclock A.M. Same now to wit the day and hour last aforesaid. The Summons being returned Served on the plaintiffs the defendant
Aug $16 38 though called came not. It is therefore adjudged
C Fee 7 50 that said plaintiff Recover of defendant the
Sheff 2 40 Sum of $16 38 with Costs taxed at $ 9.60
Given under my hand
Seal this the 12 June 1860
Jer B Clemmons Clk
J. M. C.

In Union County

Lenten Plaza
Union County
vs
Rice V Bruyn
et al
B. F. Chase

} Complaint filed and summons issued Feb 24th 06 summons returnable Monday Feb 26th 06 at 10 o'clock A M.

Clerk's Costs

Miners Court

Territory of Nevada
Storey County

A. H. Rehbert & Paul Weber
doing business as Rehbert & Weber
vs
H. D. Cowan & Henry Corman

Action
Suit brought for
recovery of Thirty
seven Dollars and
fifty seven cents,
Complaint filed
Declaration filed Summons
issued Declaration & Summons
returnable Friday October 2nd Inst & Co
at 2 O'Clock P. M.

16

Miners Court

Wife Defendant

vs.

James Piper
Wm Rainford
John Sheens

Costs of Justice
& Fees
Continued.

Amount brought over from page 12, £2.2.0.

Bail Piper Dec	10
Deposition	30
Wm Rainford Deposition	1.6.5
2 Recognizance	1.1.0
Swearing Jury	3.0
Making Defendt	6.0
Summoning Witness	7.0
	19.5.5
Jailor	3.10
Writing Dec Dec	11.20
Sheriffs Dec	46.7.0
	78.4.5

Feb 29th 1860 Recieved of J. Stone Esq
Thirty one dollars and Seventy five cents
J. Stone Esq

March 15th 1860 Recieved on the above forty six dollars and seventy cents, Ballance on cost

J. Stone Esq

The defendant feeling aggrieved by the decision of the Jury appealed the case to a Jury of twelve. On motion of counsel for defence a change of venue was granted to Eureka district. Parties to be said appear before his Honour Dequire Taylor at 10 oclock AM. March 1st A.D. 1860

J. Stone Esq

Mines Court

Territory of Kansas
Arapahoe Co^r

Peter Grum
vs
A L Frasier

} Suit brought for the recovery of Two hundred dollars Damages Complaint filed March 5th 1860 Summons issued March 6th 1860

Set for Trial Monday the 12th of June 1860

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
Mines Court. Gregory District } ss

William Whitlock
vs
Peter Eagle

Petition of Mech's Lean for $148.30 on a Set of Building Timber

Be it remembered that Said Plaintiff filed his Affidavit & Complaint against the Said Defendant in Said Court On the 14th day of April A.D. 1860 asking for a Mechanics Lean On certain Timber thereby described for the Sum of $148.30

April 14 Attachment issued to the Sheriff of said County Commanding him to Notify the said Defendant & Returnable Onto 16th inst &c

1860 And now comes the Plaintiff by Whitlock & thus cause coming on to be heard after hearing the Evidence It is decreed that Pltff is entitled to his Lean of $148.30 upon said Timber & that they be Sold to Satisfy the same —

Costs C.J. $5.70
Shff 2.40
8.10

April 16 Given under my hand and seal this 16 April 1860
C.J.S.

Aug 10 Mechanics Lean Filed

18

Mines Court

Territory of Kansas
Arapahoe Co

H Heriks
vs
Saml Flannigin

Suit Brought for a debt of Seventeen dollars and fifty cents
Complain filed March 6th 1860. Summons issued March 6th A.D. 1860
Tuesday 13th of March 1860
March 8th A.D. 1860. 10 o'clock P.M.

Def for Trial on at 9 o'clock A.M.

Declaration filled

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Mines Court Gregory District

Henry Heckler
vs
Charles Errick
Same

Be it remembered that on this 19th March 1860 said Plaintiff filed his complaint & affidavit for a New Trial in the above case. Whereupon Notice issued to the Sheriff of said District Commanding him to notify said Defendant of the same. Said notice was returnable on the 26th inst at 9 o'clk A.M. And now to wit, the 26th day came Parties Came the parties. Mayhew for Plff, Brazee for the Defendant. After arguments of Cou'l a New Trial is granted. And Friday the 2nd day of April 1860. at 9 A.M. is set for trial.

Mayhew & Brazee Attys

Rec'd the foregoing Writ & Return in full May 3rd 1860
Coden Clerk

And now to wit, the 4th April 1860 Came the parties. And after hearing the Evidence in the cause it is ordered and adjudged that said Defendant Recover of said Plaintiff the sum of Four Dollars & Nine Cents Costs of suit. Judgment at $2,20 Cts

Given under my hand & seal this 4th April A.D. 1860

Costs to said Defendant

Coden Clerk

Brazee vs Mayhew

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
In Miners Court
Gregory District

Peter Breensh
vs
D. D. D. Frosser

Assumpset
Dam " $1500.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by his Attorny George W Brazee. On this 14th March 1860 filed affer Collection his affe. for Money. Work and labor Merchendice &c. for the Sum of fifteen Hundred Dollars

March 14 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 19th inst at 9 oclk A.M.

Lucy $3.50
1 Cert .50
2 Co's Attn .30
Affidvt .50

And now to wit, the day and hour last aforesaid the Summons being returned Duely the cause was called, and by agreement of Counsel the cause is continued until next Tuesday 26th inst.

And now. On the affidavit of Defts. Counsel the Summons issued is Continued until the 2nd April 1860 at 9, oc A.M.

March 26th And now to wit, the day and hour last aforesaid Come the parties by their attorys. Cause called A. J. Randel & Otis Lowis as Witness On behalf of Plaintiff. After hearing the Testimony in the cause it is adjudged & decreed that said Plaintiff Recover of Defendant the Sum of $1441 42/100 with

C. Fi $12.70
Lucy 9.00
Mil- 1.00
Shffs 3.80
Dft 2.00

Costs of Suit Taxed at $26.50 Thereon Execution Remains to be had. Given under my hand and Seal this 2nd April A.D. 1860

Wm Clements Jr
Judge of Miners Court

April 3 Def appealed

" 4 Return Satisfied

Received the above Judgment this Nov 4th April 1860 Pr Teaster

20

Brazie — The Territory of Kansas
County of Atapahoe
In Mines Court
Gregory District

Mayor & Holladay
vs
G. S. Platt
A. Givens

Assumpsit Damages $1,000.00

Be it remembered that On this 14th March 1860 said Plaintiff by Geo W. Brazie his Attorney filed for cause of action his acc of One Hundred Dollars for Merchandice &c.

March 14 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable On the 19th inst at 9 Oclock

Default — And now (to wit) the day and hour last aforesaid the Summons being returned and the Plaintiff appeared the Defendants there came not nor thereupon said plaintiff offered his Evidence in support of his Acc. And after hearing the Evidence in the cause It is decreed that said Plaintiff recover Judgment against said Defendants for the Sum of Ninety two Dollars and forty Seven cents $92 47/100 Together with Costs of Suit taxed at $15 20/100. Whereupon Execution remains to be issued

Witness my hand and Seal this 19th day of March A.D. 1860 —

G. B. Clements Clk
Judge of Mines Court

Costs due $8.65
" Judge 3.00
" Sheriff 4.20
" Clerk 5.00

Costs paid March 21st 1860

Sifa issued 19th March 1860 Returnable within 20 days

Execution $5 paid in full & Returned —

Rec'd the above Judgment in full this the 27th March 1860
Mayor Holladay

Bruzie

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe } ss
Gregory District in
Mytricks Court

William C. Simpson
vs
W. H. Zigler

Apenpsett Dam $200.00

Be it remembered that on this 15th March 1860 Said Plaintiff filed his complaint for cause of action against Said Defendant for the Sum of Two Hundred Dollars in Common counts.

1 Levy 3.00
2 do 6.00
Certificate 1.00
Motion .50

March 15 Summons issued to the Sheriff of Said County returnable On the 19th inst at 9 oc A.M.

Order of Pub. And now to wit. Said Summons being returned by the Sheriff that Defendant cannot be found. On Motion of Plaintiff Publication is Ordered And now to wit the 16th April A.D. 1860 Publication having Expired as shown by copy of same on file in Court. The Defendant thereon came not. Thereupon Said Plaintiff introduced Evidence in support of his demand. After due consideration had in the premises. It is adjudged that Said Plaintiff recover of Said Defendant the Sum of One Hundred & Seventy Eight dollars with Costs of Suit Taxed at $28.40 Thereupon Execution remains to be had.

Fee $16.50
Publication 9.00
Sheriff 1.50

Witness my hand & Seal the 16th April A.D. 1860

Note costs 49.80

April 16th Fifa issued

L.B. Colemans Clk
J.M.C.

Received on the above Judgment $41.20 This 8th May 1860 Jno. Bruzie Sheriff

12

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahae
In Miners Court
Gregory District

Boazee
v
Scraft
Plaintiff & Defendants

58

James M. Eggers
vs
E. M. Jones

Ejectment

Be it remembered that On this 13th March 1860 Said Plaintiff by his Attorney G.W. Boazee filed his Complaint in Ejectment as cause of action

March 15 Summons issued to the Sheriff of Said County Returnable On the 19th inst at 9 oclock A.M. And now to wit, the day and hour last aforesaid the Summons being returned Served. Cause caused Defendant filed his answer. Subpoenas duly issued, served & Returned for each party.

The issue being closed. Trial commenced by the court after hearing the evidence in the case and Arguments of Counsel, It is adjudged and decreed that Said Plaintiff recover Judgment against Said Defendants for the possession of the Claims in issue. Together with costs of suit Taxed at $22.60/100 Whereupon Execution remains to be had.

Given under My hand & Seal this 19th March A.D. 1860

L.S. Elements Esq
Judge of Miners Court

Fees $9.90
Writ 8.00
Sheriff 4.70
Jury 3.00
$22.60

Defendant gave notice of appeal in day of trial)

Jurisdiction on 22nd inst

Jurors $15.70 And now to wit, the 26th March 1860 came the parties, whereupon
Fees 18.00 a Jury of 12 Good & Lawful men are empanneled & sworn. The cause
Sheriff 9.70 Cause Evidence heard & closed. Arguments of Counsel Concluded.
Jury 18.00 Jury retired. Then Returned a Verdict in favor of Plaintiff for the possession
$54.40 of the premises in issue. With costs of suit. Taxed at $54.40
18.00
36.40 (Costs paid,

Given under my hand & Seal this 26th March 1860 C.S. Elements Esq
Judge of Miners Court

Brazee
vs
Swift

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
In Miners Court
Gregory District

L. A. Eggers
vs
B. Pavelle

Ejectment in a Cl[illegible]
Action Ci. 12

Be it remembered that On this 15th March 1860 Said Plaintiff by his Attorney G.W. Brazee filed his Complaint in Ejectment against Said Defendant as cause of action

Mch 15 Summons issued to the Sheriff of Said District returnable on the 19th inst at 9 o'clk A.m

And now to wit, the day and hour last aforesaid the Summons being returned Served & Answer of Defendant filed. Cause called. Parties appeared. Whereupon Said Defendant acknowledged judgment. It is therefore Decreed that Said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the possession of the Lands, Claim or property in question with Costs of Suit Taxed at $9.75 thereon Execution does remains to be done. Given under My hand and seal this 19th March A.D. 1860

Court Fees $6.95
Sheriff 2.80
Appeal .30
Fees 6.00
" 1.8

paid

C.B. Clements [illegible]
Judge of Miners Court

Notice of Appeal given on day of Trial, prospective on the 22nd inst.

And now to wit, the 27th March A.D. 1860 came the parties the cause being called. Defendant filed his Affidavit & Motion for Change of Venue after Argument of Counsel. It is ordered and a change of Venue Granted. Cause sent to Arapahoe with payment of Costs Taxed at $30.50

Given under my hand & seal this 27th March A.D. 1860

C.B. [illegible]

Brazee
for
Swift & C

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahae
In Probate Court
Gregory District

H. W. Lake
vs
B. Parcell

Ejectment for Claim
on Block Quarter

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by his attorney G. W. Brazee, on this 15th day of March 1860 filed his Complaint against said Defendant as cause of action in Ejectment.

March 15 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said District returnable on the 19th inst at 9 o'clk A. M.

And now to wit the day & hour last aforesaid Defendant by A. C. Swift filed his Answer. Whereupon the cause is Called. And Defendant & Plaintiff Demanded jury Trial. Whereupon Decree that said Plaintiff recover of Defendant the Possession of the Lands & claims in issue. With Costs of suit taxed @ 19.75 Whereupon Execution remains to be had. Given under my hand & Seal this 19th March A.D. 1860

Court Cost $6.95
Sheriff 2.80
Appeal .80
Jury 3.00

I. B. Clements Esq
Judge of Pr. Court

(Notice of appeal given in open Court) Judge of Pr. Court

Jurors discharged on 22 inst

And now to wit the 27th March A.D. 1860 comes the parties. Defendant files his Affidavit for a Change of Venue. Granted & sent to Ureka District with Payment of Costs. Taxed at $30.50

Given under my hand & Seal this 27th March 1860 I. B. Clements Esq
J. P. C.

2c

The Territory of Kansas
Brazee County of Arapahoe
In Probate Court
Gregory District

Henry Ferris
Vs
Hannie Flanagan

Assumpsit $25.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by his Attorney Geo W Brazee. On this 15th day of March A D 1860 filed his Complaint as cause of action against said Defendant for Twenty five Dollars On Open a/c"

Mch 15 Summons issued and Garnishee against J W Woodward
Summons returnable On the 19th at 9 O'Clk Am
And now to wit, the day and hour last aforesaid the Summons & Garnishee herein returned Served. Said Defendant
Confessed Judgment for the sum of $7.50 in favor of Plaintiff.
Judgt $7.50 Said J W Woodward Garnishee thereto Called Summoned It is
Costs 6.95 therefore decreed that said plaintiff recover of Defendant
Sheriff 1.80 the sum of $7.50 - with Costs of suit taxed at $16.20 etc
Surv 2.00 Therefore Execution remains to be had=
1825 Given under My hand and seal this 19th March 1860

O B Columns Clerk
Judge of Mi Court

Sifa issued 22 Mch 1860
to the Sheriff.—
Return nothing made.

Received the above Judgment in full. May 26th 1860
H Ferris

26.

McLean
vs
Swift

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahae
In Miners Court
Gregory District

} ss

M. Stones
vs
E. Jones

} Ejectment for Mill Claim
on Lode No 12

Be it remembered that On this 15th March 1860
Said Plaintiff by his Attorney G.H. McLean filed
his Complaint in Ejectment as cause of action
against said Defendant.

March 15 Summons issued directed to the Sheriff of said
County returnable On the 19th inst at 9 oclock a.m
and now to wit, the day and hour last aforesaid
the summons being returned Served. The cause called.
Parties appear. Defendant acknowledged judgment
It is therefore Decreed that said Plaintiff recover
of said Defendant the possession of all & singular the
Lands, or Claims in suit. Costs of suit taxed at $12.25 Dam $1.00
with Execution
remains to be had. Given under My hand and
Seal this 19th March A.D 1860.

Court fees $6.95
Sheriff 2.80
Clerk 3.00
Appeal .30
Attys fees 7.00

E.B. Clements Clerk
Judge of Miners Court

Mch 19th Motion for an appeal & Injunction
Over to 22 inst.

And now to wit, the 27th March A.D 1860 comes
the parties. Whereupon Defendant files his Affidavit
and Motion for Change of Venue. Motion Granted
with payment of Costs Taxed at $3.50
Cause sent to next District Court

Given under My hand & Seal
this the 27th March A.D 1860

E.B. Clements Clerk
Judge of Min. Court

April 4 Fifa issued

E.B. Clements Clerk
Judge of Min. Court

$ 35.50
6.00 Sheriffs fees — Johnson &c
29.50

Brazee
vs
A. E. Mayhew

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
In Miners Court
Gregory District

Joseph Davis
Vs
N S Whitaker

Debt & Dam. $3,50.00

Be it remembered that On this 14th March 1860 Said Plaintiff by his Attorney G W Brazee filed his Complaint against Said Defendant for the Sum of five Hundred & fifty Dollars. Debt & Dam=

March 14th Summons issued to the Sheriff of Said County Returnable on the 19th March A D 1860 at 9 o'clock

Cfc 60
1 Sum $3.00
2 " " 6.00
Bond & Seal 1.00
Motion 50.
Docket 10.50
27.00

A Power of Attorney properly Signed and witnessed by Said Plaintiff, Constituting the Said Brazee his lawful Attorney is duly placed on file, and Made part of this record.

Clerks Fees

And now to wit, the day and hour last aforesaid the Summons having returned, not Served the Defendant not being found within Said County or District. On Motion of Plaintiff, publication is ordered.

May 8th And now at this time comes the Plaintiff & discontinues his Said Suit. It is therefore Ordered the Defendant go hence & Plaintiff pay costs of Suit taxed at $27.00

Given under my hand & Seal this the 8th May A D 1860

May 21 Fees issued
June 5 Rec'd my costs in full

Wm Glozier

J. P. M. C.

28

Kehler

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
In Probate Court
Gregory District

Charles L Smith
vs
Jacob Goodrich
Marshal Cook

Debt On Note $95.64
Dam " " 50.00

Be it remembered that On this 16th March 1860 said Plaintiff by his Attorney J. H. Kehler filed his Cause of action Defendants Note dated Oct 4th 1859 for 90$ also Book Ap't for $5.64 "
Whereupon Summons issued directed to the Sheriff of Said County. Returnable on the 26th
Judgment " March A.D. 1860 at 9 O'Clk A.M.
And now to wit, the 28th March 1860, Comes the said Plaintiff by Judge Mayhew his attorney, the said Defendants Come not.
It is therefore decreed that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendants the Sum of Ninety five Dollars and Sixty four cents. With Costs of Suit
Ent $9.50
Sup 4.00
Jury 6.00
Sht 85
19 35
Taxed at $19.50 Thereupon Execution remains to be had. Given under my hand and Seal this the 27th March A.D. 1860 "

C. B. Blakemore Esq
Judge of Pro Court

March 31 Fi fa issued "
Costs paid to Sheriff

Rec'd the above Judgment in full this 9th April A.D. 1860

Chas. A. Smith

Brozee

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
In Miners Court
Gregory District } ss.

Peter Reynolds
vs
Thomas Dunston } Assumpset

Be it remembered that On the 20th day of March 1860 said Plaintiff by C. W. Brozee his attorney filed his complaint against said Defendant.
Whereupon Summons issued Directed to the Sheriff of said County, Returnable On the 26th March 1860 At 9, A.C.K. A.M.
And now to wit, The day & hour last aforesaid comes the parties. the Cause being called. Plaintiff withdraws the suit. on account of the improvidence of the Summons. Whereupon it is ordered that Defendant go hence & that Plaintiff pay Costs of suit Taxed at $9.70 Given under my hand & seal this the 26th March A.D. 1860

C Fees 7.21
Sheff 2.49
Coms Sheff fees
Costs

C. Blakemore Esq
Judge Miners Court

March 31 Fifa issued and paid.

The above Costs are paid in full by Thos Reynolds this 5th April 1860

C. Blakemore Esq
Pro

30

Brazee
Mayhem

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Miners Court
Gregory District } ss.

Mathew Stafford
Vs
H. H. Crockett & Work } Debt & Damn $94.04

Be it remembered that On this 20th March A.D. 1860
said plaintiff by his attorney W. Brazee filed his
Complaint against defendants. On promissory Note
dated March 3 1860 for $94.04 —
Whereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff
Returnable On the 24th inst at 9 o'clk A.M. —
And now to wit, the day and hour last aforesaid
the Summons being Returned Came called Defendants
pleads orally to the Jurisdiction of the Court. Overruled —
the Statute requiring the plea to be in writing "
And Judgment for the Plaintiff for the Sum
of Ninety four Dollars & four cents against Defendants,
taxation with Costs of Suit Total at
fifteen Dollars & thirty cents $15.30 — Whereupon Execution
remains to be done "
Given under My hand and Seal this the 26th
March A.D. 1860

Clk's fees 1.60
Const fees 5.50
Stamps 2.80
Sum 6.00
Sheriff 5.00

W. Blakemore Esq
Judge of Miners Court

March 24 Fi fa issued
April 16 Alias issued
" 21 Return Satisfied

Post — Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahae
Mines Court
Gregory District

Robert Veat and Charles Post
Partners in trade under the name of
Veat & Poste
Vs
Robert McBride

Assumpsit
Damages $25.00

Be it remembered that On this 22d day of March A D 1860. Said Plaintiffs filed their Complaint in Assumpsit against Defendants Whereupon Sci Fa issued directed to the Sheriff of said District Returnable on the

Defaut — 26th March A D 1860 at 9 o'clk A.M. And now to wit, the 27th March 1860. the Summons being returned Served. Plaintiffs

Fifa 100 Appear. the Defendant Now called comes
Costs 8.75 It is therefore decreed that said Plaintiff do
9.75 Jury 60 recover of Defendant the Sum of Seventy
Shff 2.60 five Dollars. With Costs of Suit Taxed
$ 18.35 At $ 17.35 Thereupon Execution remains to
alias 1.00 be don

Given under my hand and Seal
this the 27th March A D 1860
Wm B Clements Clerk
Judge of Mn Court

Mch 29 Fifa issued

May 5 Return Satisfied

Recd the above Judgement in full
this the 5th May A D 1860

Veat & Post
per Chas Post

32

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Annis Court
Gregory of District

Anne Flanegan
vs
Mason Bennett

Ejectment
$ 114.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by his Attorney Samuel McLean Esqr. On this 22nd day of March A.D. 66 filed his Complaint against said Defendant for the sum of $114.00.

March 22 Summons issued directed to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 26th inst of (9 o'clk A.M. And now to it the day & hour last above mentioned came the parties. Defendant files his Affidt & demurs to Plffs Complaint. Argument & summons retained Law, Facts in Controversy Evidence heard. Arguments of Counsel. Concluded. Whereupon it is ordered Clk $12.00 Sheff 9.70 Ley 6.00 Att 10.00 Fees 85 adjudged and decreed, that said Defendant recover of said Plaintiff, the sum of $2500 with Costs of suit taxed at $38.60 Whereupon Execution is ordered to be issued

March 28th Notice for retrial

31st Fifa issued

Given under my hand and seal this the 27th March 1866
Chas Blenniss Clk
J. M. C

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Miners Court
Gregory District } ss.

J. H. Allen
vs
L. D Crandle
B C Russell } Assumpsit $103.93

Be it remembered that On this 27th day of March A.D. 1860 Plaintiff appeared by his Attorney & filed his Complaint & praying Declaration in the sum of $103.93 due On Note & Book Acc'n which 28 th was read & filed to the Sheriff of said County returnable On the 2nd April A.D. 1860 at 9 o'clock A.M. And now to wit, said summons being returned Nihil
C.H. 1260 Off. 490 On Motion Publication is ordered
Imp. $100 Also On the 28th March A.D. 1860 Bond & Affidavit were
& 600 filed, & Warrant for the writ of Attachment against the Defendants property issued.

And now to wit, the 16th April A.D. 1860 the proper mode by Publication having been given as shown by proof on file. said Defendants not appearing to answer Complaint & the same taken as confessed & that said Plaintiff recover of Defendants the sum of $47.70 Cts with costs of suit taxed at $34.80 & that a Writ of Execution issue to the Sheriff.

Given under my hand & Seal this 16th April 1860

April 19 Judgment
May 10 satisfied

C. B. Clement to Deft
Levy of Writ

Received the above Judgment in full this 10th May 1860

J. H. Allen

Cards

Trial	$5.70
[illegible]	.50
[illegible]	.70
3 [illegible]	2.10
Swain [illegible]	1.00
Entry Recipient	1.00
	10.30
H Wright	4.00
Irving	4.00
Stone	3.80
	$22.10

Entries
in Marshal
in Harmon Book
[illegible] Issues Book
D. W. Scott

34

The Territory of Arizona
County of Graham
In Mines Court
Gregory District

Henry Ferris
Vs
Watts Mulligan

Writ of Injunction $1,750.00

Writ issued Nov 28th 1881

Returned Dec 1st 1881

Sheriff's Return

Received this writ Nov 28th 1881 at 10 o'clock A.M. and served the same on the 30th day of Nov 1881 at 2 o'clock P.M. by delivering to and leaving with the defendant Watts Mulligan a true and correct copy of the writ of Injunction hereto annexed.

Fees

Service $3.00
Mileage 33.00
$36.00

Clerk's Fees

Writ $3.00
Copies 1.50
Certificate 1.00
Seal .50
$5.00

Judge's Fees

Hearing motion to dissolve Injunction 3.00
Motion to dissolve Injunction 3.00
Hearing motion to dissolve Injunction 3.00
Motion to dissolve Injunction 3.00
Hearing motion to dissolve Injunction 3.00
Motion to dissolve Injunction 3.00
$18.00

Witness Fees

Dr
43.
67.
75
75
325

Cr
331
768
1599

17.00
2.00
19.50

16.00
3.00
35.00

Filed Dec 1st 1881
A. J. Doan
Clerk

Judge of Mines Court

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Miners Court
Gregory District

Henry Ferris
Vs
Rodney Beal

Assumpsit $ 350.

Be it remembered that on the Ptff filed his Certificate and Declaration in said Court and Issued the Summons Returnable on the 23 April 1860 at 9 oclock A.M. which Summons with the day & hour last aforesaid Deft was duly called & Ptff appeared. The Deft was called & Ptff appeared & Deft made default whereupon Ptff proffered his proof in support of his action which is adjudged that said Ptff recover of said Deft the sum of Seventeen Dollars & Ninety Cents & his costs in this behalf expended & Deft in mercy &c.

Certificate
Judgment

April 25 Fifa issued
May 22 Fifa returned nothing made

Ter. Atty. 4th Judicial Dist. The 4th April A.D. 1860
Hon. Wm. Gray Atty. for Plaintiff
12 50 Affidavit & Writ of Attachment issued
5 80 Writ served this 4th April A.D. 1860 with Costs of
Suit Dated this 22.90
Given under my hand & seal this the
4th April A.D. 1860
Clk & Ex Officio
Recorder

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co.
Territory of Kansas Mines court Gregory District

Wm. Banks
vs
Gritchlow & Rogerson } Debt on Notes $ 150

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed
Complaint & Affidavit for Attachment & Writ of
Summons issued to the Sheriff, returnable
3d Sept. A.D. 1860 at 9 A.M. And now at this
Term the Summons being returned Served Ceras the Sheriff.
The Defendants though called come not. It is
adjudged that Plaintiff recover of Defendants
Sum of $ 150.00 with Costs of Suit taxed
Thereon Execution remains.

Given under my hand
Seal this 6th September
A.D. 1860
Clk & Ex Officio
J. M. C.

30th Martin Scott being
Summoned as Garnishee in the
above Case. & not appearing to answer. It is adjudged that
ment shall go against him for said amount & Costs Taxed
at $ 4.50 Thereon Execution remains

Yeoman of Kitchen
Groom of Chapel
Pages Presence
Pages Antichamber

Master Cook
to
Gentlemen Pensioners
Yeomen of Guard
Messengers

Clerk of Kitchen
Sewer in Ordinary
Sewer extraordinary
Esquires for the Body
Clerk of Spicery
Yeoman of Pantry
Yeoman of Ewry
Yeoman of Cellar
Yeoman of Buttery
Yeoman of Pastry
Yeoman of Scullery
Yeoman of Larder
Yeoman of Confectionary
Yeoman of Wood Yard
Yeoman of Coals
Yeoman of Chandlery
Yeoman of Tents
Yeoman of Tents
Yeoman of Tents

Costo

Mortgage

$1893.93

41

Return on the 20th Inst
Made $3.10 by Levy & Dale
D & K Kirkham Sheriff
by Mr Cozens

The State $ 8.00
Bonds — 1.00
[illegible] 3.00
Sheriff 1.00
Clerk 2.00
[illegible] 50
12 [illegible] 60
Treasurer's Warrant 1.80
[illegible] — 1.50
[illegible] — 1.00
[illegible] 1.50
[illegible] 1.50
$ 23.10

Witness fees $ 18.00
Summons — 12.40

Sheriff's Fees —
Sum... $ 2.40
2 Subpenas 8.20
Mileage 1.20
Service 1.72
Publication 1.50
$ 15.02

A. Allen Paid
J. Kinsip Paid
J. Armstrong paid
M. Sexton Mr. Kasson
M. Goodwin paid 1.50 Costs Paid
M. Parsons paid 15 Cents Paid
A. K. Engle paid
M. Thorpe paid

[illegible] the parties. The issue being Joined
The cause is called. After hearing the Evidence in the case it is ordered
and decreed that said Plaintiff recover Judgement of fore-
-closure of his Mortgage deed. Upon the claims in issue and for the
Sum of $153.50 the p[illegible] of the said due. Together with costs of suit. Taxed
at $19.90 — Thereupon Execution Remains
Stay of Execution May 2nd 1860

C. B. Clements Clk
May 4th 1860

C. B. Clements
Judge

In Chancery

Territory of Nevada
County of Nrapahoe
Virginia City Court of
Gregory District

H. Woods & John Wallace
vs
J. Wagoner
J. Masonic
J. Plummett

Complaint for Attachment

Filed May 1st 1860

Now at this day comes the Plaintiffs by their Attorney and files their Complaint herein, and it appearing to the Court that a Writ of Attachment has been issued herein, and returned by the Sheriff duly executed, and that the Defendants have been duly served with Summons, and the Defendants not having appeared or answered, on motion of Plaintiffs Attorney, it is ordered that the Complaint be taken as confessed, and that the Plaintiffs have Judgment against the Defendants for the sum of $1153.00 and costs of suit, and that the property attached herein be sold to satisfy said Judgment and costs.

May 1st 1860 Filed

Clk Clk Court

Complaint Filed
Copy Issued
Writ Issued
Order of Court

Pisa 14 [illegible] Costo 20.60

Received April 18th 1854 of C B
Clement twenty six cents being
amount of the above instrument

Jno M Briggs
Pfff Atty

Action for Recovery of Contract
Money Passage from Lawrence to Dominica &c

Lucy 600

1179
600
2400

May 14 Step'd Issue and Rule to bring down Record
to Next Court which Case was Continued
until After hearing the Evidence on
Motion Ct is to Order and decree that said
Plaintiff Recover of Defendant Thirteen
Hundred and Seventy five Dollars, Damages and
Costs aforesaid. Mixed $28.70 Interest
Execution issued

Clerks fees for Rule 50
Fee 14th May A.D. 1860

May 14 Filed Declaration
June 2 Defendant
4 Received on the above Judgment
1860 $21.40 June 4th 1860 J. W. Duff
June 26th Affidavit filed for new trial by Defendant and July 3 set
Set to hear Motion. (See page 106)

State of Texas }
County of Archer }
Before the Court of
Gregory District }

Rodolph Ettenreich }
vs } Action for Debt
Doc Thompson et al } & Foreclosure of Mortgage Lien
& the Estate of W. M. Attimore dec'd } Suit

On this day came on to be heard the above entitled and numbered cause, and the Plaintiff by his Attorney filed his Original Petition herein, and it appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff of Archer County, Texas, duly endorsed thereon, that the Defendants, Doc Thompson and the Estate of W. M. Attimore, deceased, have been duly cited to appear and answer Plaintiff's said Petition at the present term of this Court, and the said Defendants having failed to appear and answer said Petition, and wholly made default, and the Plaintiff having announced ready for trial, and it appearing to the Court that this is a suit upon a certain promissory note for the principal sum of $1200.00 dated January 1st 1920 and due one day after date, and bearing interest at the rate of 10% per annum from maturity until paid, and providing for the payment of 10% on principal and interest as attorney's fees if collected by suit or through an attorney at law, and that said note is secured by a Mortgage lien on the following described land and property situated in Archer County, Texas, to-wit:

All of the South half of the Southeast quarter of Section No. 320 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the South half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 2 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 1 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 1 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 1 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 2 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 2 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 2 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 3 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 3 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 3 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 4 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 4 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 4 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 5 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 5 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 5 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 6 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 6 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 6 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 7 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 7 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 7 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 8 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 8 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 8 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 9 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 9 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 9 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 10 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 10 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 10 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 11 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 11 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 11 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 12 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 12 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 12 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 13 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 13 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 13 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 14 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 14 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 14 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 15 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 15 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 15 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 16 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 16 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 16 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 17 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 17 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 17 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 18 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 18 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 18 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 19 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 19 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 19 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 20 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 20 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 20 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 21 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 21 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 21 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 22 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 22 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 22 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 23 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 23 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 23 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 24 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 24 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 24 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 25 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 25 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 25 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 26 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 26 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 26 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 27 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 27 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 27 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 28 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 28 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 28 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 29 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 29 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 29 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 30 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 30 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 30 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 31 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 31 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 31 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 32 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 32 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 32 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 33 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 33 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 33 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 34 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 34 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 34 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 35 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 35 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 35 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 36 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 36 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 36 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 37 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 37 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 37 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 38 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 38 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 38 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 39 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 39 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 39 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 40 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 40 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 40 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 41 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 41 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 41 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 42 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 42 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 42 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 43 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 43 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 43 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 44 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 44 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 44 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 45 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 45 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 45 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 46 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 46 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 46 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 47 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 47 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 47 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 48 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 48 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 48 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 49 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 49 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 49 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 50 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 50 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 50 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 51 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 51 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 51 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 52 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 52 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 52 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 53 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 53 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 53 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 54 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 54 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 54 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 55 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 55 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 55 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 56 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 56 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 56 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 57 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 57 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 57 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 58 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 58 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 58 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 59 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 59 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 59 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 60 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 60 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 60 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 61 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 61 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 61 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 62 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 62 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 62 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 63 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 63 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 63 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 64 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 64 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 64 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 65 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 65 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 65 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 66 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 66 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 66 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 67 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 67 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 67 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 68 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 68 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 68 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 69 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 69 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 69 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 70 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 70 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 70 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 71 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 71 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 71 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 72 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 72 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 72 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 73 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 73 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 73 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 74 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 74 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 74 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 75 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 75 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 75 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 76 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 76 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 76 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 77 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 77 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 77 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 78 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 78 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 78 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 79 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 79 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 79 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 80 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 80 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 80 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 81 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 81 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 81 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 82 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 82 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No. 82 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section No. 83 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Northwest quarter of Section No. 83 in Block No. 3 of the Certificate of Archer County, Texas, containing 80 acres of land, more or less, and also all of the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section No.

23 Ans[r] rec[d] & fil[d] do [illegible]

May 14 this Cause is Continued by agreement to 21st inst.

" 21 Continued by Agreement to the 28th inst.

" 29 Ans[r] recd & fil[d] this Cause coms the Justice and says that Said Suit Should be dismissed by the Defendants because the Defendants are not Residents of this Township and that the North East End of said Claim is done so far above the Mouth of Winnemucca Creek as to be Impracticable to Convey Water to the Same at a Cost of Labor not exceeding $42.50 Wages Exclusive of Board to the Hands

Cause in Court $10.00 Defendants Attorney's Fee in this Case $10.00

June 29 Notice of Appeal filed 29th May 1868

June 1 Respective Costs paid and Cause Stands [illegible]

" Set for Trial on the 11th inst.

" 11 Continued by agreement to the 18th

June 18 Cause Dismissed, Ans[r] and Appeal dropped

Sheriffs Fees — 10

Dated [illegible] the day & year first
[illegible]. Executed & delivered
[illegible]

Dollars

Witness my hand & seal
this [illegible] day of
[illegible]
[illegible]

24th

50

Territory of Kansas
County of Shawnee
Probate Court
Tecumseh District

Peter Barker
vs
Charles Sylvester
Gilbert Weichlman
& Jacob

Ejectment for Mill Claim
On Soldier Creek

Be it remembered that On the 26th day of
April A.D. 1860 said Plaintiff by his Attorneys
filed his Complaint in Ejectment for the
possession of a Mill Claim On Soldier Creek
Whereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff returnable
On the 30th April A.D. 1860.
And now to wit the day last aforesaid the
Summons being returned Served & the Parties by
Agreement his Continued untill 1st May Term
And now at this time to wit, the 7th May comes the parties
thereupon Plaintiff asks for Continuance On account
of the Illness of the Counsel. Hence Cause Standeth 14th
And now at this date comes the parties Defendant
asks for a Jury & thereupon Venire issued & a
Jury is impannelled & Sworn. After retired the
Evidence in the Cause is heard & Concluded when
after Arguments of Counsel & instructions of the
Court the Jury returned & then returned the
following Verdict, We the Jury find for the
Defendants Signed Wm H Overton Foreman
It is thereupon Ordered that the Defendants have
& recover his Costs of suit &c
J. 1.46.70 Thereupon Execution issuing -

Given under My hand & Seal
This 14th May A.D. 1860 C B Clement Clk
By Wm Minchart

Notice of Appl
May 14th/60
May 18/60 Writ issued
" 31 Return entered

Book 1

51

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Miners Court
Gregory District

William J Barker
vs
Edward Freeman

Ejectment for Mill Claim
On Clear Creek

Be it remembered that On the 26th April A D 1860 the said Plaintiff by his attorney filed his Complaint in Ejectment against said Defendant for the recovery of the possession of a Mill Claim On Clear Creek Thereupon said summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable On the 30th inst at 9 O C A.m.
And now to wit, the day & hour last aforesaid the said writ being returned Served the cause was by Agreement Continued until the 1st May Term
And now at this date come the parties Plaintiff asks for continuance on account of absence of counsel Thereupon the cause is continued until the 10th inst
And now the cause is continued by agreement to the 21st at 9 O C A.m.
And now at this time to wit the 17th inst Comes said plaintiff and with leave of Court dismisses his said suit As to Thereupon it is Ordered that said Defendant go hence without day and that said plaintiff pay the costs of suit taxed at $91.20 Thereupon Execution issues
Given under My hand & Seal this the 17th May A D 1860

May 31 Costs paid

C Bleasdale Esq
Judge of Miners Court

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Probate Court—of
Gregory District

Jacob Rose
vs
Jacob Hoffman
" Wilhelmina "
"

Debt, Note $60 00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff file this complaint against said Defendants, On an accepted Note for the Sum of $70 & with 10 $ On
May 2 Summons issued. Returnable On the 7th May 1860 at 9 A.M. A.M.
And now to wit, the 4th inst Summons being returned Defendants appeared & Confessed Judgment for Debt & Costs. It is therefore adjudged that said
Judge $60 = Plaintiff recover of said Defendants the Sum of $60 00 Debt
Clk 8 — with Costs of suit Taxed at $10 40 Therefore
Sheriff fee 2 50 Execution remains to be had —

Given under my hand & Seal this the 4th May A D 1860

E B Clemmitt
Judge of Probate Court

The above Judgment & Costs paid May 9th 60

Received the above Execution in part
in full May 12th 1860

Jacob Rose

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Miners Court of
Gregory District

Joseph Davis
Vs
Mason Tenison

Assumpsit Amt $37.90

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his complaint against Defendant on the 2 May A.D 1860.
Thereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County. Returnable on the 7th of May
Also, Garnishee process issued against J. H. Gregory
And now to wit, the day & term last aforesaid
said Summons & Garnishee being returned
said Defendant there came not, after hearing
the Evidence of Plaintiff. It is adjudged that
said Plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum
of Thirty Seven Dollars & Ninety Cents with
Costs of suit Taxed at $12.70
Also said Garnishee appeared and upon oath
says he is indebted to said Defendant in the sum
of $71.25c Thereupon Judgement is rendered
against the said J H Gregory & in favor of said
Plaintiff for the said sum of $71.25. Thereupon
Execution remains to be had.

Judgm $37.90
C & Sheffs 8.50
4.20

Given under my hand and
Seal this the 7th May 1860
Costs paid
C B Clemmens [illegible]
Judge of Miners Court

Received the above Judgement in full this 25th May 1860.
Geo Borein
Plffs Atty

The Territory of Nevada }
County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court }
Nugony District }

Stphen George }
vs } Attachmiton Note
G. W. Hunt } Debt $ 40.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff on this the 1st day of May 1860 filed his Bond & Affidavit & Complaint for an Attachmn against said Defendent &c
Thereupon a writ of Attachmnt & Summs issued Returnable On the 7th at 9 o'am

[illegible] 14 Acc [illegible] Letter & am'ts & Costs
Writs & Returns 6.15 Clerks Fees 1.00

Receive the above Judgment in favor this the 12th day of May A.D. 1860
Stephen George

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Miners Court of } ss
Gregory District

R. Sopers
vs
Joseph Critchlow

Ejectment for the possession of the discovery claim On the Clay Co-on Trust Lead

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by G W Brazie his attorney filed his Complaint in Ejectment & for Damages against the said Defendent. Also his affidavit & Bond for a writ of Injunction.

1860
May 10 Summons. an d Injunctions issued to the Sh'ff of said County, Returnable On the 14th at 9 a m

" 14 Continued by agreement until the 21st inst at 10 a m

And now to wit the day and hour last aforesaid Comes the parties. Defendant demands a Jury thereupon Venire issued for nine Jurors which was reduced to three. to whome the case was

Jf & C $ 19.40 Submitted. after hearing the Evidence in the
Jury 13 50 case & arguments of Counsil. the Jury retired
Sh'ffs-21 80 and after deliberation returned the following
Writ 10.00 Verdict to wit, We the Jury finde for the plaintiff
Signed by N. P. Sanford. J. W. Jones. Che Tasher Jurors
It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the full & complete possession of the premises as claimed in issue together with the costs of suit taxed at $ 65.70 Thereupon Execution Remains

1860
May 21 Fifa issued
June 22 Returned Nulla bona
July 25 Alias issued

Given under My hand and seal this the 21st May A D 1860

Wm B Clements Jr
Judge of Miners C.

56

The Territory of Nevada
County of Storey
Mines Court of — 88
Gregory District —

A. C. Maguire
vs
J. H. Crane

Action brought in Plaintiff's favor for $3,750.00

Defendant had paid Plaintiff $1,000. This Court
Can't sustain Plaintiff against Defendant
Mackey on Discovery filed to the Plaintiff
Mining Claim. Returnable On the 21st day
May 21 The above suit is dismissed by Order of
Plaintiff at Costs paid to date $14.40
Discovery Mining Claim. Boundaries filed the
21st day of May A.D. 1860
Costs $14.40 paid C. [illegible] Esq
J. P. M. C.

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Probate Court
Gregory District

Nicholas Bristowe
vs
B. F. Chase

Action to foreclose Mortgage on Claim No 6, Gist Lee & others

Be it remembered That On the 15th day of May A.D. 1860 said Plaintiff by G W Brazee his Attorney, filed his Complaint against said Defendant

Whereupon Summons issued Returnable on the 21st inst at 9 o'clock A.M.

May 21 The above suit withdrawn by Plaintiff he paying Costs Taxed at $6.80

Given under My Hand and Seal this the 21 May A.D. 1860

Costs $6.80 — Paid — Leander Clements Clerk
P.P.C.

Territory of Arizona)
County of Graham) ss
Pinos Court of)
Gregory District)

George W. Brazelton
use of Henry Thompson
vs
B. H. Mc Crae

Agreement for $97.67

Be it remembered that On
17th day of May A.D. 1880 the Plaintiff filed
his Complaint against Defendant.
May 17 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County
returnable On the 21st inst at 9 O'Clock A.M.
" 21 And now at this date said Summons having returned and
the same in accordance to Law of Record it is ordered that
Continued to the 5th Inst.

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
In the District Court of
Gregory District

J. B. Shoot
vs
Jacob. Goodrich

Debt On Note $70.00

Be it remembered that On the 2nd day of May A.D. 1860 Said plaintiff filed his complaint against Defendant. On a Note dated the 25th Apil A.D. 1860 for $70.00

Thereupon Summons issued returnable On the 7th May 1860 at 9 O'C A.M.

And now to wit, the day & hour last aforesaid Said Summons being returned Served the Court being Called the Said was Contumacy made of Plaintiff

May 21 And now at this date Comes the plaintiff the defendant thereto Called Comes not It is therefore adjudged that Said Plff

C $-11.80 Recover of Defendant the Sum of

Shff 2.80 Seventy Dollars Debt together with Costs of Suit taxed at $14.60 Thereon Execution remains

Given under My hand and Seal this the 21st Day May 1860

C B Clements Esq
Judge of Said Court

Received the above Judgement in Full this 23rd May 1860

J B Shoot

60

United States of America }
County of Cherokee } ss
Illinois Court of }
Chancery District }

Alexander McLean }
Fraser } Ejectment for Lots
vs } Mill claims on Little
Edmund Freeman } Clear Creek Dangerous
George D Reed }

It is recommended that
said plaintiffs by Judge Smith Macalley,
on the 25th day of May A.D. 1860 filed their
Precipe against said defendants,
whereupon a Summons issued to the Sheriff
of said County returnable On the 2nd
Tuesday of July A.D. 1860

June 5th And now at this time comes the parties Plaintiff
asks for a Jury. Thereupon it is agreed by the parties
that the Jury shall consist of twelve men whose
decision shall be final. Thereupon Venire issued
C.Fi.$22.40 & Jury empannelled & Sworn. The Evidence in the
Sh.ff 5.70 cause was then heard & Concluded. when after
Wit 30.00 Arguments of Counsel the Jury returned their
Jury 18.00 retirned a Verdict in favor of Plaintiff to wit
We the Jury find a Verdict for the Plaintiff, Chas Goddard
It is therefore ordered & decreed that said plaintiff recover
of Defendant the possession of the premises in issue
together with costs of suit Taxed at $1.23.cts Thereupon
Execution remains to be had

Given under my hand and seal
this the 5th June A.D. 1860

C B Clements Clk

June 7th 1860 Fifa issued writ of Supersedeas returned. J M C

Aug 4th Nulla Bona. And Alias Execution issued [redacted]
Returned Satisfied Made from Defts
lands

C B Clements
by A Clements

Territory of Kansas }
County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court of }
Gregory District }

Alexander C. Mayfield }
vs } Ejectment for a house and
Stphen R. Cushing } 63 Lots. above Jones's Store. adjoining
the property of Campbell & Latham. Gregory Distr

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by his Attorney A. E. Mayhew Esqr. filed his Complaint against said Defendant. On the 24th day of May A.D. 1860

May 24th Summons issued Returnable On the 28th May A.D. 1860 at 9 o'Clock A.M.

29th May A.D. 1860 at this time comes the Plaintiff by his Attorney and moves the Court for a Jury to try the issues in this cause which motion is sustained by the Court and a Jury of twelve men duly empanelled and sworn to try the same. And Defendant comes not but makes default. Whereupon the Jury find for the Plaintiff and assess his damages at $36.20 Whereupon Execution should issue

Costs of Cause

Clerk	$2	40
Sheriff	2	70
Witness	3	00
Jury	18	00
	$36	20

Clerk's Fees
Issue Writ of Summons — —
Issue 1 Writ of Execution — —
Copy Writ — —
Filing Papers — —
Drawing Complaint — —
Court Room & Bar — —
Total — —

62

The Society of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Ninth Court of
Gregory District

Joseph C. Russell
vs
John Shairs et al Heirs

Debt On Note $35.00

Be it remembered that
On the 24th day of May A.D. 1860. Said
Plaintiff filed his Complaint against
Defendants to-
Thereafter Summons issued Returnable
On the 2nd inst at 9 O'c A.M.
Which came to hand the 26th May 1860 Duly Executed
by Service on John Shairs one of the Defendants The
other Defendants Not found. And Afterwards
on the 2nd day of June A.D. 1860 Court
convened pursuant to adjournment Present
Plaintiff & Defendants Whereupon
Cause set for trial at 3 P.M. Parties present
Cause set for trial at 3 P.M. Parties present

3.50
.75
3.00

Thereupon came Jury to wit
& Whereupon Jury
Cause continued

Left 15 Constables fees
Mar's fees Returning
Jun 28 Returning Venire
by JN Kohler
" H Hogan
Left 15 Clerks fees

H. C. Clemens

Territory of Kansas }
County of Arapahoe } ss
Probate Court }

Henry Cooper }
& } Debt on note $36.10
George Wilcock } Interest for 8 months 2.80 38.90

Be it remembered that on this day before the undersigned Probate Judge in and for said County of Arapahoe and Territory of Kansas, personally appeared the above named Henry Cooper & George Wilcock and acknowledged the above and foregoing note of hand to be their act and deed for the purposes therein mentioned and set forth.

And the said Henry Cooper & George Wilcock being duly sworn upon their oaths depose and say that the above and foregoing note of hand is a just and true account against the said Henry Cooper & George Wilcock and that the same is due and unpaid and that they have no set off or counter claim against the same.

And the said Henry Cooper & George Wilcock further depose and say that they are not worth the sum of one hundred dollars over and above their just debts and liabilities and that they have not disposed of or made away with any property for the purpose of defrauding any of their creditors and that they do not own any property liable to execution except to the amount of fifty dollars each.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31 day of May A.D. 1859.

(Signed) [illegible]
Probate Judge

Paid Cuyo

June 16th Paid in full by H Cooper

X

E. L. Tittle
vs
S. W. Talley

Justice's Docket

June 5th And now at this date, came the plaintiff & the Defendant there called came not. Whereupon Judgment is rendered that said plaintiff recover of said Defendant the sum of Eighty Dollars
Cost $9.80 with costs of suit taxed at $14.40 thereon
Sheriff fees 4.20
Execution forthwith

June 12 Fifa issued
July 20 - Returned Nulla

Given under my hand and seal this the 5th June A.D. 1860
Le Blanc Esq
Judge of Court

Territory of Kansas }
County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court of }
Gregory District }

Benjamin Hayett }
Vs } Debt on Note due May 15th for the
James R Okley } Sum of —— $170.50
} Open A/c —— 9.00 $179.50

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his complaint against Defendant in Debt on promisory Note & Book A/c for $179.50

May 30 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable On the 4th day of June A.D. 1860 —

June 5th And now at this date, the Summons being returned served, the cause is called. Plaintiff appeared. Defendant three called came not. It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of One Hundred Seventy Nine Dollars & fifty cents together with costs of suit taxed at

C.J. 10.50
Sheff 5.60 $15.10

Thereupon Execution remains —

Given under my hand and seal this the 5th May 1860 —

C.B. Clements Esq
Judge of Miners Court

June 7 Fifa issued
" 16 Received my costs & paid over to the Sheriff his costs $5.60 —
Aug Fifa returned by order of Pltff

66

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Miners Court of
Gregory District } ss

Leander Steadman
vs
C. R. Young } Assumpsit Dam $ 30.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by C.C. Post Esq. filed his complaint against Defendant.

1860
June 5 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said Court returnable On the 11th June 1860

" 8 And now at this day comes the parties aforesaid Plaintiff in person and Defendant in person and this the 8th day of June A.D. 1860

[illegible]
J.M.C.

In Miners Court
Gregory District
County of Arapahoe } ss
Kansas Territory

A. VanBuskirk
vs
J. J. Bailey
D. McLoud

Ejectment for the possession of a mining claim on Spring Gulch & Dam

1860

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by Judge Smith filed his Complaint against Defendants—

May 29 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 4th June A.D. 1860 at 9 o'c A.m.

June 6th And now at this time comes the parties, to wit, said Defendant by his Attorney A. E. Mayhew filed his Demurrer to plaintiffs cause of action. and moved the Court to dismiss the cause—

C & H-14 70
Sheff 11 90
Rec. 10 00

Demurrer sustained & cause dismissed with costs taxed at $37.60 Plaintiff Execution remains

Given under my hand & seal this the 11th day of June A.D. 1860

Wm C Cumming
Jud 4th Jud Court

June 15th Writ issued—
Lily & Returned Stillas Bona

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Miners Court of
Gregory District

Goldsmith Cornwell
vs
John Sylvester

Ejectment. Mill Claim on North Clear Creek in said District

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by Smith & Bainbridge filed his complaint against Defendant.

1860
June 5th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 11th June 1860 at 9 o'c. Am
" " 18 This Cause coming on to be heard by order of Plaintiff — Thereupon Defendant goes hence & Plaintiff to pay costs taxed at $7.60 — Thereupon Execution awarded Plaintiff for Possession of premises and for costs of suit taxed at $7.60

July 25 Filed Issued
27 Paid in full
" Returned
" Execution returned "

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe } ss
Probate Court of
Gregory District

W. Whitlick & Arthurton
vs
J. H. Woodworth

Debt on Note due 16th May/60
for — — $37.50

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs filed
his Complaint against Defendants —
June 7 Summons issued returnable on the 12th day
of June A.D. 1860 at 9 o'c A.M. "
" 19 And now at this time the Summons being returned
Served — Comes the Parties and Thereupon said Defendant
Confesses Judgement
It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiffs
recover of said defendant the Sum of Thirty
Cost $ 20 Seven Dollars Sixty cents Debt & Damages —
Sheriff 4.40 with costs of suit taxed at $13.60 — Thereupon
Execution remains to be had —
Given under my hand and
seal this 19th June A.D. 1860 —
Le Blondeau Ex Off
J.P. G.C.

1860 Fifa issued — Return
Aug 6 Satisfied — Sheriff Keeps his
Costs

70

Territory of Kansas }
County of Arapahoe } ss
Pinnas County }
Gregory District }

William D. Perry & Others }
vs } Replevin for Two Mules
William C. Parker } Value at $200.00

Be it remembered that Wm Perry & Others filed their Affidavit, Complaint & Bonds against Defendant for a writ of Replevin —

May 26 Thereupon the writ of replevin issued together summons to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 4th June 1860 at 9 A.M. by agreement continued to 5th June/=

June 5 Said cause at this time came the Defendant the Jurors in the above cause called came not but the Jurors in the above cause called came not but the Jurors in the above cause called came not but

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe } ss
Probate Court
Gregory District

Messrs Jones & Cartwright
vs
Jas & Jacob Emmerson

Debt on 2 Notes dated
July 23 & March 9 / 1860 for
$ Principal & Int $ 5.88 74

Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs by their Attorney
Jas A Gray Esq filed Defendants Notes of hand for
1860 Collection as a cause of action

June 7 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said District
returnable On the 11th inst at 10 A.M. One

11 And now at this time comes the Plaintiffs
and the Defendants by their Attorneys Jas A Gray
it is adjudged that said Plaintiffs recover
of Defendants the sum of Five Hundred
and Eighty Eight Dollars and Seventy cents
together with Costs of Suit taxed at $ 9.90

7 60 Whereupon Execution remains to be had
2 30 Given under my hand and seal
this the 11th June A.D. 1860

June 20th Sheriff's Service & Return
Aug 6 Made $5.00

Lot B Clements Esq
Judge of Mi Court

The Peoples Mutual
Insurance Co. of Pa
George Dietrich

vs

Appeal to Mutual Fire Ins Co

Dated Dec. 1860 $38.95

Levi Brazee

Levi Brazee appeared this day in person and by Attorney and filed his Declaration in this cause and issued a Summons returnable to the next Term of this Court to be held at the Court House in Salem in and for said County of Columbiana on the 3rd Monday of June next which said Summons was duly served on the Defendant by the Sheriff of said County of Columbiana on the 11th day of June 1860 as appears by the Sheriffs return thereon.

Dec'd - 500
Int'st 240

And now to wit on this 18th day of June 1860 came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and filed his Bill of Particulars in this cause and the Defendant being duly called came not but made default whereupon it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendant the sum of $38.95 the amount of his Bill of Particulars aforesaid together with his costs of suit taxed at $17.40 Thereupon Execution is ordered to issue to the Sheriff of Columbiana County for the collection of said Debt and costs returnable to the next Term of this Court

1860
June 18 Judgment
July 12 Returned Satisfied

Judge of Mut Ins Co

" Received the above Judgment
" and paid — H Kehler & Patton

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Probate Court of
Gregory District } ss

James A Banfield
vs
C E Sweet & M C Curren } Ejectment 2 claims on Spring Gulch Returnable
the 2nd Monday of Roberts absence & Permanent Absence

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by Jas E Smith his Attorney filed his Complaint against Defendants in Ejectment whereupon a Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 12th day of June A.D. 1860 Summons duly returned on the
June 12 2nd now at this time comes the parties. The Defendants by their Attorneys McLean & Gray Maybin & Wilson file a Demurrer to Complaint. After argument the same is overruled. Whereupon Defendants
Plaintiff asks leave of (3) which is granted and Amended Complaint filed. Leave being given the Sheriff is ordered & Concluded &. After argument by Counsel. The Court is advised. Whereupon it is ordered, that the Demurrer heretofore filed by the Defendants to Lizzie A. Wallace & Lewis Thompson It is thereupon Considered that Plaintiff do recover against Defendants for Costs of suit herein & that Plaintiff pay the Costs of suit herein taxed at $63.10 cts. Whereupon Execution ordered

June 24 Cash $20 cts

Witness my hand & seal this the 12th day of June A.D. 1860
C W Tompkins Clk &c
Judge of 2nd District

July 27 Fifa issued
Sept 11 Returned Satisfied
Wm F Hayes

74

Territory of Nevada
County of Storey
Virginia City

In the District Court
18
James E. Warren

vs.

Writ of Error

1860 Be it remembered that on this 7th day
December term of said Court A.D. 1860 and
of the Territory aforesaid Judgment was
rendered in said action in favor of said
Plaintiff for the sum of Seventy five Dollars
damages and Seventy five Dollars costs
of suit and for execution &c. Whereupon
Plaintiff prayed
June 9. 1865 Writ of Error to
June 10 said Judgment which
was allowed
June 10 Writ issued
Aug 6 Return Nulla Bona

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahae ss
Probate Court
Gregory District

Dft

Dupo Lilly & Pettygohn
vs
Homer Fellows

Debt on note for the use of
R. B. Smoot $300.00

1860

June 26th And now at this time comes the pltff into
by R B Smoot their Agent and withdraws
the suit as Defendant pays the costs Stayed
at J. P. 70 1/2

Given under my hand and
Seal this the 26th June 1860
C B Clemmons J P

" 26 The above Debt is this
day settled in full
R. B. Smoot.

Territory of Kansas County of
Arapahae Probate Court Gregory Dist

Joseph N Robinson
vs
Henry Harris

Action of Assumpsit for the Specific performance of
a contract for the sale of an Interest in the Harris Ranch
With Damages $175.00

Be it Rem

26

State of Nevada
Co. of Storey
Virginia City
Gregory District

Ejectment for 2 Mill Claims on Chase Creek of each the Maryland & Col. mills Co.

Ed. Thompson J.A. Thompson
Ed. Sharply P.B. Sharply
Pratt & Bonnifield Attorneys
vs
Portland Co

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs by their Attorneys filed their complaint
1861 in Ejectment against said Defendants
June 14 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 18th day of June
And now at this time to wit the day & term aforesaid by agreement came continue to the 21st
" 20 And now at this time came the parties and filed & sealed a Mutual agreement thereby adjudicating and settling all matters in Controversy relative to the Mill Claims and premises in issue and it is agreed that said
C.H. 122 Agreement now on file marked (A) shall
Stff's Fee become a part of this Record
Paid $3.00 Thereupon said Defendants go hence without day, and Plaintiffs pay Costs of suit taxed at $24.20 Thereupon Execution issuing

Given under my hand and seal this the 20th June A.D. 1860

(Costs paid)

C.B. Clements
Judge of Dist Court

June 20 Transcript issued this
Record

Territory of Nevada }
County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court of }
Gregory District }

John Wagoner }
vs } Attachment in aff. on suspension failure
Wm & Joseph Crump } to convey title to Goldmine from Kentucky
Lode & fee price of $150.00. Pony Bedlitz
Debt $48 Dam $50

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by Burns & Butler his attorney filed his complaint. Bond and affidavit for the writ of attachment against the said Defendants for the sum of $48 Debt & $50 Damages for failure to convey as above
Thereupon attachment & summons issued to the Sheriff of said District returnable On the 18th June 1860 at 9 o'c A.M. And now to wit the day & hour last aforesaid the Summons & attachment being returned Defendants filed their affs in passage. Bond. Damages to the amount of $124.00. Cause called, tried by Court
After hearing the Evidence in the Cause and arguments of Counsel it is adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of fifty four Dollars & 65 cts together with Costs of suit taxed at $25.60 Thereon Execution remains in Sheriffs hands for collection
This the 20 June A D 1860

C. Fees 11.20 Paid
Sheff 9.00 Paid McClearan
Writ 4.00 Paid

June 21 Received the above Judgment in full.. John Wagoner

D. Warner Writ: Paid)

78

X

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe ss
Pawnee County
Gregory District

Wm E. Jefferys & Chas. McLean
vs
P. P. Lee & Thos. Williamson

Ejectment for 2 lots near the
Head waters of Cherokee
Creek in said County

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs
filed their complaint against defendants
in this cause and
1860 Issued Summons returnable the 12th inst
June 12 And afterwards on to the 2 July
Continued by agreement to 16th inst and
continued to the 6th Aug. And now the
same settled at costs of Plffs
Taxed at $ 13,40

Cost $20
Atty 20

Given under my hand
and seal this the 6th day
of August A.D. 1860.
C. W. Blakemore
J.P.

The Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Miners Court of
Oregon District

William H. Hunttent
vs
J. J. Prafer & Mason

Attachment Debt on Money had and received Money Loaned &c — to the amount of $33.92
Return 2nd Nailem & Saw — 3.49
16.50
$5.22.91

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his Attorneys Smith & Snelling filed his Complaint against the Defendants for the above amount admitting a Cr of $64.00 — leaving
1860 a balance of $458.91 —
June 13 Summons issued returnable On the 19th inst at 9 O. Am. Returned not served. and Alias Summons issued & Returned Served on J. J. Prafer
July 16 And now at this time comes the plaintiff the Defendant three Called Come not It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of Four Hundred fifty Eight Dollars and
Cost 17.80 Ninety One Cents. With Costs of suit
Sum 6.00 Taxed at $33.20 — Thereupon Execution
Sheff 6 20 remains —
Mr 3 —
Sheff. 2 60

Given under my hand and seal this the [redacted] day of July A.D. 1860
Lee B Emmett
J M C

1860
July 26 Fifa issued & Returned
Sept 1 Nulla Bona Costs $3 20
28 Fifa issued against pltff
Sheff. Fees Cost Paid Copies

(51)

Territory of Arizona
County of Cochise
Prima Court of
Surgoy District

Lt. Stemo vs
78
C. M. Smith et al

Debt On Orders to J. Pope vs.
Issued by Stemo Feb $160.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his Complaint against said Defendants for the
1861 Sum of $160.00 On said Orders as above
May 26 Summons issued on said Complaint Returnable On the 4th Day June
1860 at 9 oc A.M.
June 6 Came the parties at this time the Summons being
returned served, Came the plaintiff & the
Defendants by their Counsel Whereupon
Issues of Law & Fact arising, the same
Coming on to be heard Considered that said plaintiff
recover of said defendants, the sum
Condemned & Costs & disbursements
& damages respectively with Costs of suit
C. J. C. 60 Taxed at $16.30
1860 Summons issued against Capt Packer dated 26th May
Returnable On 1st Monday in June next
Comes On to be heard which Capt Packer
answered that he owes Pope $46 in his hands
Jas Kehen Attest my hand & seal this 6th
26 May 1860
C. V. Bolingwell (D)
Clk

May 10 Record on the above Judgment
of Capt Packer $46.00 &
Costs of Suit 16.30

Lady
Allen.

Judgment 3.7.25

Costs 21.35

$58.60

Land Cash 28.10

Lumber 1400 42.10

Due $16.50

Edwd
Edwd

31

State of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Previous Court of
Justice Peebles

Balzah Wallace
vs
Defendant Millers Assignee

Debt in Tres — $125.00

This cause coming on to be heard upon motion of the Plaintiff. It is thereupon ordered that Plaintiff pay costs of suit taxed at $6.80

Given under my hand and seal this the 19th June A.D. 1860

Costs 6.80

C. B. Clements J.P.

State of Kansas
Arapahoe County District

Whitcomb & Arthurs
vs
J. Woodworth

Debt on note dated May 16th
Sum thereof $37.12

It is ordered that said Plaintiffs file their complaint against Defendant and issue citation returnable on the 19th June 1860 and on said 19th day last aforesaid Come the parties. Plaintiffs Complaint read. Defendant Comes and Defends. Issue thereupon ordered that Plaintiffs recover of Defendant the sum of $37.25 Debt and $7.25 Costs of suit taxed at $7.25

Given under my hand and seal this the 21st June A.D. 1860

June 29 Defendant

C. B. Clements J.P.

$7.25

Received the above Judgment in full this 10th day 1860

Whitcomb & Arthurs

82

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Upper Creek Co.
Gregory District

J. H. McDermott
to
Sd. Yeager, Sheriff &c

Debt, Dn Damages &c in the Sum of Fifteen Hundred $1500.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his Complaint against Defendant in a Summons for the above Amount Summons issued to the Sheriff of said District returnable On the 18th June A D 1860 at 9 P.M. Am &c
By agreement Continued to the 25th 1860 June 25 And now comes the parties & Settles and discontinues said Cause, by Each paying 1/2 of the Costs Incurred &c $12.60 &c
Given under my hand and Seal this the 25th June A D 1860
C B Clements Clk
J. P. M. C.

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
In Vice Court of Gregory District

A. A. Rice
vs
D. E. Morse & Geo Shoemaker

Summons Damages $1.00.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff file 1860 his Complaint. Also Bond & Affidavit for the writ of July 13th Attachment.
" Summons & Attachment issued returnable on the 23rd inst. Settled by the parties & costs paid
" 18th 1860 &c
Sheff $ 6.00 paid
Witness my hand and seal this the 18th July 1860
C B Clements Clk
J. P. M. C.

Territory of Kansas
County of Arapahoe
Arrivis Court of
Original District

N. L. Mitcher
vs
R. H. Montgomery & Brother

Debt on Note dated 21 March 1860
for the sum of $1100

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his
1860 Complaint against Defendants, &c above stated,
June 14 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County
Returnable On the 18th inst at 10 o'clock A.M.
19 And now at this date comes the parties. the
Defendant though called com ant. the Summons
being returned Served. After due consideration
it is adjudged that Plaintiff recover of said
Defendants the Sum of Eleven Hundred and
Six Dollars & Twenty five Cents Debt & Damages
C.H. $ 50 together with Costs of Suit taxed at $14.90
Sh'ff's 6.40 Thereupon Execution remains to be had &
given under my hand and seal
this the 19th June A.D. 1860
Ca Sa Clerk
J. M. C.
Sheriff's Execution
14.21
$2.60
June 20 Fifa issued
July 10 Returned Nulla Bona

84

Territory of Kansas county of Arapahoe ss.
Probate Court of Pueblo County District

Charles E Whitney
vs
J C H Woodworth

Replevin of One Bridle
&c. with white line pattern

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed
his Complaint, Affidavit & Bond in said
Court against said Defendant for the writ of
Replevin to accompanie proceedings in
1860 above matter &c.
June 11 Thereupon the said writ issued with
summons to the Sheriff of said District
returnable on the 18th June 1860 at 9 o'clock A.M.
Adjourned at this time to with the day & hour
the cause continued to the 20th A.D. now
to wit the 20th June 1860 said writ & summons
being returned served. Cause is called and
jury impanelled & sworn hearing the Evidence
in the cause & arguments of Counsel.
It is adjudged that said [redacted]
hence without day & Recover the
property Replevied from him by Plff
Sep 17.60 together with costs & assessed at
Sheff 25.80 $54.60 Thereupon Execution issues =
Mshl 11.80 Given under my hand
and seal this the 20th Jun 1860
Leo B Clements Clk
June 21 Fifa issued "
Aug 10 Returned Madely levy &
Sales Grants $10.04 and to
Costs $15.96
Sept 28 Sheriffs fees issued against Security Returned
Sheff fees Paid W H Shorn

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Probate Court of Lyon District }

Wyke Sowers & Co
vs
Jas & Jacob Emmons

Debt On Note dated 15/60
for (2½ pct) $184.60

Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs by McLean & Gray filed their Complaint to wit Defendants promissory Note dated 15th Apl/60

1860 for the sum of $184.60 with 2½ pr Ct per Month

June 21 Summons issued. Returnable on the 25th of July, A.D.

" 22 And now comes said Defendants and Confess Judgement. It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiffs Recover of Defendants the sum of $194.06 Debt. with costs of Suit taxed at $7.80 — Thereupon Execution Remitted Recognizance Entered into and acknowledged This 22nd June 1860

" 26 Fifa issued "

Aug 1 Made $12 on Ex 8.16 Levied Claimants

Sept 9 Received by costs in full of Wykoff Sowers & Co Claimants

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Probate Court of Lyon District }

J B Doyle & Co
vs
James & Jacob Emmons

Debt On Note dated 20th May A.D. 1860 $130.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs filed their Complaint against Defendants as above entered

1860 June 22 And now comes the Defendants and Confess Judgement for said debt, leaving all Interest & Costs — It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiffs recover of Defendants the sum of $130.00 Debt and $6.50 Costs

June 28 Fifa issued — Returned into my office and Levied this 22nd June 1860

Dec 26 Money on the same $130.00 Debt $7.16 Costs. Returned.

Rec'd Judgment with Interest & Costs
Sheriff's Fees
Central City
July 25th 61.
S. B. Wyke & Co

86

Territory of Nevada County of Arapahoe } ss
Murders Court of Gregory District }

Peter Brennah
vs
John S Looney } Attachment On Note dated 12th
Abram Looney } April AD 1860 for $284.36 with
James Looney } int to 20 June 60 5.40
" Book a/c to date 7.20
$296.96

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by Mssrs Mayhugh & Johnston filed his complaint as above against Defendants in attachment also that Garnishee process issue against E.B. Smith

June The writ of attachment issued with Summons and Garnishee. Returnable on the 25th June 1860 at 9 o'clk Am. And now to wit the day and hour last aforesaid. Came the Defendants by John Looney. and confessed Judgement upon said Debt. Also came the said E.B. Smith Garnishee and acknowledged himself to owe the indebtedness to said Defendants in the sum of $5.40/00 for Costs to be paid so soon as said Costs can be collected by said Garnishee.

1860 It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff
Cert 12 00 Recover of said Defendants the sum of $296.96
Mssrs 5.80 together with Costs of suit taxed at $17.30
And that said Garnishment of $5.40 Stand subject to the payment of said Debt & Costs.

Given under my hand and seal this the 25th June AD 1860

Aug 6th Costs paid 1860
[redacted] 3 Writ issued
Sept 3 Execution returned Satisfied
Mssrs fees [redacted] paid $11.00

Receive the above judgement this in full Sept 3rd 1860 Peter X Brennah
mark

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Probate Court of Gregory District }

Elias Brown
vs
James Emerson } In Assumpsit. On failure of Contract
} in the premises of Claim No 2, on Just Book
} for Damage $ 9.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by Strode Hall his attorneys filed his Complaint in Assumpsit against Defendant for the sum of $ 9.00.00
Thereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of said
1860 District Returnable On the 26th June A.D. 1860.
June 26 Continued by agreement to the 27th inst. And now
comes the parties and filed their written agreement of
Settlement. Containing an accepted Order from
said Defendant to said Plaintiff for the sum of $9.00
bearing the signature of acceptance of J. H.
Steenbergen and Marked (Ex) and
made a part of this record.
C.H. 8 20 Whereupon a Judge of that Defendant pay
Shff 2 40 Costs. On payment of Costs taxed at $10.60
Thereupon Execution issues &
Given under my hand and seal
this the 27th June A.D. 1860.
E. Wilson Com. Pro.
Seal

This case being appealed

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Mines Court of Jurgey District

Alexander Brookshank }
vs
Aaron E Darlis } Ejectment for Quartz Mill Claim on South Clear Creek. upon the mouth of Castle Gulch

Be it Remembered that said plaintiff by his Attorneys Smith & Harding filed his Complaint in Ejectment in said Mill right against said Defendant
Thereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of said District. Returnable On the 26th June A.D. 1860
And now to wit the day thereon last aforesaid said Summons being returned Served. Cum the parties
Thereupon Defendant by Strain & Bull filed his plea in abatement to the Jurisdiction of said Court. Plea Overruled. And Judgment On failure to answer or plead
It is therefore decreed that Plaintiff Recover of Defendant the possession of the premises in issue. With Costs of suit taxed at $26.60
Thereupon Execution Remains

Given under my hand and seal this the 26th June A.D. 1860
Chas B Clements Clk
J. M. C.

July 3 Issued
Aug 28 Costs settled

X

Tenitty of Kaufman County of Orpsatas ss
Minns Court Gregory District

H. H. Brown
vs
Aaron E Darling (P)

Ejectment for Mill Claim on North Clear Creek about opposite the mouth of Lake Eulelia

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his Attorneys Smith & Smelling filed his Complaint against Defendant in Ejectment as above.
Thereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable On the 26th June A D 1860
And now to wit the day and hour last aforesaid said Summons being returned Served. Cum notte pactus. And Defendant filed his plea tells Innsdictum of said Court. Plea overruled. And Judgement for want of a plea. It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the possession of the premises in issue in this suit together with Costs of Suit taxed at $26 60
Witness my hand and Seal this the 26th June A D 1860
July 3 Fifa issued. Returned Executed
Julia Bonn J. M. C.

90)

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court Gregory District

Charles Soda
vs
Aaron E Darling } Ejectment for quarts Mine claim
On Smith Clear Creek near to mouth
of Lake Gulch

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his attorneys Smith & Snelling filed his Complaint in Ejectment as above

Thereupon a summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable On the 26th June A D 1860 and now to wit, the day & hour last aforesaid the Summons being returned Served upon the parties. Thereupon Defendant filed his plea to the Jurisdiction of said Court Plea Overruled. And Judgement for want of a plea. Therefore it is adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the possession of the premises in issue. with Costs of Suit Taxed at $26 60. Thereupon Execution remain — Given under my hand and Seal this the 28th June 1860

L B Clements Clk

July 3rd Plaintiff issue Returnable 1st M 60

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
Probate Court Gregory District } ss.

John Taylor
George H Goodwin
Joseph K Harper
vs
Henry O'tes
Peter Peters
Chs Runyan
Wm Whitaker

Ejectment On Change of Venue from Eureka District. for the possession of three Claims On the Grinnell Lead situate next adjoining the Claim of Simons & on the South

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs by his attys filed their Complaint against Defendants as above. Whereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable On the 28th June A D 1860.
And now to wit, the day & hour last aforesaid. Come the parties. Whereupon Defendants asks a Jury. Whereupon a Venire issued for a Jury of three who were Summoned & sworn as Jurors in said Cause. After the introduction of the Evidence in the cause. Arguments of Counsell and instructions of the Court. the Jury retired. & thereafter returned the following Verdict, to wit, We the Jury find for the Plaintiffs. Signed E W Henderson Foreman

C & H $22.10 It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiffs Recover
Jury 4.50 of Defendants the full & Complete possession of the said
Mtns 21.00 Claims in fee. together with Costs of Suit
Sheff 31.30 Total at $81.90 Whereof Execution remains

Given under My hand and Seal
this the 28th June A D 1860
C B Clemmons Clk

June 29th Notice of appeal & discontinued
On the 9th July 1860

Costs

Trial —	5.00
Affd Bond	1.00
Summons —	1.00
4 Subpoenas —	4.00
Certificate	.60
Filing —	1 60
Serving Writ	2.20
Judgment	1.00
Tot Costs —	80
	$17.40
Depositions	2 50
	$19.90
Sheriff —	
Hero —	16.40
11 Writs —	11 00
	47.36

92

County of Amphoe
Minis Court of Guyony District

Thomas Hunter
vs
Marshall Cook &
J. J. Storm

Ejectment for Claim No (7) on
Guyony Lead & Dam $11,00 &

Be it remembered that Jacob
Plaintiff by McLean & Smith filed his Complaint
in Ejectment against Defendents &
Thereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of
said District returnable on the 26th day
of June A D 1860 at 9 Am And now at
the day thereon last aforesaid the Summons
being returned Served Comes the parties. and
Continue the Cause by agreement to the 27th inst
June 27 And now at this date Comes the parties. And
after hearing the Evidence in the Cause. And
arguments of Council. It is adjudged that
said Plaintiff recover possession of the
Feb 16 46 premises in issue together with Costs of
suit taxed at $ 47,36 as it is found that
½ of the Minerals & Shaft of Defendants is in
the Claim of Plaintiff Thereupon Execution
remains — Given under my hand
& seal of said this the 2nd —
July 2 Attest of appeal July A D 1860
C P Clements Clk
" 20 Refused —

93

State of Kansas County of } ss
Arapahoe Prins Court Bryan District }

J H Langley &
Amos Hoover
Vs
C H Gratiate & C

Ejectment for 4 claims on the Marysland Leo & with Dam 300 f

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his attorneys Mayhew McLean & Johnston filed their Complaint in Ejectment against Defendants
Thereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of said District returnable On the 12th June A D 1860. Also subpoenas issued for witnesses. And now to wit the day and hour last aforesaid. Comes the parties. Cause called & issue joined. After hearing the Evidence in the Cause. and Arguments of Counsel. It is Considered that said plaintiffs recover of Defendants the premises in issue. together with Costs of suit Taxed at $37.50
Given under My hand and Seal this the 12th June A D 1860
1860
June 13 Notice of appeal & Bond & Securities &c filed
14 Petition and new Writ dated from the 26th June/60. and by agreement Continued to the 29th. And now to wit, the 29th day June Comes the parties. Whereupon all of the Defendants Except Col C H Gratiate filed their disclaimer the issue being closed. Jury of Twelve was called by Deputy which was Empannelled and Sworn Thereupon the Evidence in the Cause was had. and Arguments of Counsel Concluded after which the Jury returned and the charge of the Sheriff. Who thereupon returned the following Verdict. We the Jury find it impossible to to agree upon a Verdict. Signed [redacted] Foreman
I T Leiper Foreman. It is thereupon Ordered that the Jury be discharged and that the

Alle amt of Costs $1.02.60

	Service	5.00
	Urine	1 00
	Urine	6.00
6	Sedimine	2.00
4	Aff	50
1	Bandage	2 50
	Filing	
—	Dyspepsia	6.00
	Urine Examination	1.50
	Do Autopsies	3.00
	Continued	.60
	Dactet	2.00
	Urine	50
	Tot Costs	50
		1.33 70

Sheff fees

Urine — $ 18.00
Autopsy — 18.00
Urine Cut — 4 00
40,60 40.00

Jury fees Paid 18.00
$1.90.60

Autopsy
Geo Thompson x Chapin x
R Hyatt x
J Beasley x
A Hunt x Miles x
J B Thomas x M Evans x
D Brown x
Geo Tracy x
R Dean x

R. J. Rae Paid

C. J. Rae Paid

C. J. — $ 5.00
3.00
.30
1.50
4.00
2.50
$ 16.30

Stiffen 14.00

12 Witness 24.00

Jurymen — 18.00
72.30

1 Bryonen
2 Dragoner
C. J. Rae
R. J. Rae
A. Alcorn
J. N. Kennip
Berryman
Hopkins
Banfield
Roberts

Brought from page 93

Costs in the premises Taxed at $1.02.60
Also the event of the Suit to be determined
upon a rehearing of the Cause On the 9th inst
July A.D. 1860. And now to Wit, the day last aforesaid
Comes the parties by their Attorneys. Thereupon a Venire
issued for a Jury of (12) Men. Which was returned
Served. Thereupon Defendant asks a Continuance
and filed his affidavit. Which is granted on payment
of Costs Taxed at $25.00 — Which is paid. And Monday
1860 the 16th July A.D. 1860 set for the final hearing —
July 16 And now at this time Comes the parties. A
Jury of (12) Men being Empannelled & sworn
who after hearing the Evidence in the Cause
and Arguments of Counsel. returned
the following Verdict to Wit, We the
Sh. fees $1.02.60 Jury find for the Plaintiffs. Signed
John D Baker foreman. With the Attorneys of Jury
It is therefore adjudged that Plaintiff recover
of Defendant the possession of the premises
in suit. Together with Costs of suit Taxed
at $1.90.60 Whereupon Execution remains —
Given under my hand and
Seal this 16th day of July A.D. 1860

1860
August 2nd Cash $100
" 25.00
Costs of Jury 18 00

C. B. Clements Clk
J.P.M.C.

ourt of Greg-
rt, within and
day of
tiff what

95

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Mining Court Log[illegible] District ss

L.L. Robson & Co
vs
L A Warren & Co

Ejectment for Gulch Claim on Spring Gulch 100 feet Square and adjoining C R Roberts Claim & Sold Dan

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs by Past & Moore filed their complaint in Ejectment against said Defendants, Thereupon Summons issued returnable On the 26th June 1860 Also affidavit and Bond for the writ of injunction. Which also issued, against Defendants. And now to wit the 26th A[illegible] said cause is continued to the 30th instant 9 A.m. And now at this date Comes the parties. The Evidence in the cause being heard. And Arguments of Counsel It is adjudged that said plaintiffs recover of Defendant the possession of the premises in issue together with Costs of suit Taxed at $[illegible] Thereupon Execution issued returnable in sixty days

C# 18.70
Sh[illegible] 7.80
Jury [illegible]
Mile 24.00
[illegible] 0.50

Given under my hand and seal this the 30th June A D 1860
C B Clements Clk
By [illegible]

July 1 Notice of appeal given by Defts Costs paid Appeal rejected and

July 11th Let further time. And now at this time Comes the parties. A Jury of 12, Jurors duly Summoned Empannelled & Sworn. who after hearing the evidence & Arguments of Counsel returned a Verdict in favor of Plaintiffs. It is thereupon adjudged that said Plaintiffs recover of Defendants the possession of the premises in issue & Costs of suit Taxed at $[illegible] Thereupon Execution issued returnable

C# 16.30
Sh[illegible] 14.00
12 Mile 24.00
Jury 18.00

Given under my hand and Seal this the 12th July 1860
C B Clements Clk
By [illegible]

Aug. 13 Filed Jacket
Sept 2 Returned Nulla Bona

96

The Territory of Kansas County
of Arapahoe Lunis Court 3rd District

Joseph N. Robison
vs
Henry Ferris

Assumpsit. Dam $1.75.00
On Saluete pmetase Bonds
On 1st Count

Be it remembered that Said Plaintiff filed his Complaint in Assumpsit against Said Defendants
Thereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of Said County returnable On the 3 July 1860
July 3 And now by agreement Cause continued to the 5th inst at 9 oc A.M. And now Comes the parties. Defendant again files his Demmur which was overruled when by Order of Plaintiff a Jury of three was Empannelled & Sworn. Thereupon the Evidence in the Cause was heard, and Arguments of Counsell Concluded Thereafter retirement & Consultation The Jury Returns the following Verdict to wit, We the Jury Commit agree & find Inthance, Chas Price & O J Smith jurors Thereupon by Order of the Court the Jury is discharged And Cause ordered to Stand for trial On the 14th July 1860
July 14 And now at this time Comes the parties a Jury of three was Summoned & Sworn. Who after hearing the Evidence in the Cause & Arguments of Counsel the Jury returned a Verdict of Ten Cents Damages in favor of Plaintiff with Costs of Suit taxed at $75.20. Thereupon Execution issueth
1860 Given under my hand and
Augt 2 Fifa issued Seal this the 14th July A.D. 1860.
O B Clemmons Clk
J M C

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Mines Court Probate Dist } ss

L. L. Robinson & Co
Vs
S. W. Hopkins

} Ejectment for the recovery of a Mining claim in Spring Gulch and next adjoining the claim of C. R. Roberts

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by Post & Mason his Attorneys filed his Complaint in Ejectment against S. W. Hopkins Defendant. And at the same time his Affidavit & Bond for the writ of Injunction in said Cause in

1860 July 3 Summons issued. Also writ of Injunction and returnable on the 11th July A.D. 1860 at 9, a.m. And now at this time Cause continued to the 13th inst at 9, a.m. And now at this date Comes the parties. and joined issue. Trial by the Court. After hearing the Evidence in the cause. and C.F. 18.100 Arguments by Council. It is adjudged that Sheriff 13.50 Defendant go hence without day and that 18 Mch 18.00 Plaintiff pay Costs of suit Taxed $49.60

$49.60
11.30
1.66.90

Given under my hand and seal this 13th July A.D. 1860
C. R. Clements Clk
By [illegible] Dep

July 14 Notice of an appeal
" " 17 Perfected by paying up Costs "
" " And Cause Stands for trial the 23rd "
" " 23 And now Comes the parties. Whereupon Defendant asks for a Continuance on Affidavit. granted on payment Costs Taxed $4.12½. And Cause Stands to the [illegible] And now
" " 28 Comes the parties. Jury of 12 Men Empannelled and sworn After hearing the Evidence in the Cause & Arguments of Counsel the Jury returned a Verdict in favor of the Plaintiffs Robinson & Co It is therefore adjudged that Plaintiffs recover of Defendant the possession of the claim in dispute with Costs of Suit Taxed at $4.12½

1860 Given under my hand & seal this the
Aug 1 Fifa issued 28th July 1860 C. R. Clements Clk
Sept 14 Returned Unsatisfied $53.90 By [illegible] Dep
July 14th Rec'd on Costs $15.00 - Affidavit and Cost $18.00 in Costs
Paid in full and 6 cts in City Cash

98)

X Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
Miners Court Gregory District

Edward Daugherty
Vs
Edwin Ropes & Co
Assumpsit Labor & Services
Damages — 39

Be it remembered That said Plaintiff by his Attorney J. S. Moore, Filed his complaint in Assumpsit against Defendants

Whereupon Summons issued to The Sheriff of said County & returnable on The 18th day of June AD 1860 — and by agreement Cause Continued to July 6th A.D. 1860 and now comes the Parties, Whereupon the Evidence in the Case being heard And Arguments of Counsel concluded It is adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendants The sum of $35.00 Thirty five dollars Costs Taxed at $35.30, Whereupon Execution to issue to be issued —

Given under my hand and Seal of Said Office this 7th Day July AD 1860 —

E. B. Clements J. M. C.

Notice of appeal 7 July 1860. Costs paid and Appeal Perfected — and July 21 Returned —

July 21 And now at this time come the parties & agreed that a Jury of 8, should try said Cause, which does thereupon Empannel & Sworn which upon the Evidence in the Cause was heard & Concluded, when after retirement the Jury returns the following Verdict to wit, We the Jury finde a Verdict for Plaintiff for $285 — Dgs. A. Smith Foreman. It is therefore adjudged that Plaintiff recover of Defts the sum of $285 — Debt with Costs of Suit taxed at $66.70 —

Given under my hand and Seal this 21st July AD 1860

E. B. Clements J. M. C.

Received the above Judgment in full this Aug 14th 1860

X Territory of Kan[illegible] County of Anna/Sastoe } S.S.
Minis Court. [illegible] District }

M. Storms & C
vs
John Inner } Assumpsit on breach of Contract for Munchendice Dam $ 6,000.00

Be it remembred that said plaintiffs by his Attorn[illegible] McLean, Gray & Moore filed his Complaint against Defendent as above Stated ——

June 25th Summs issued Returnable On the 2nd July 1860 Also On filing the proper affidavit & Bond. the writ of Injunction issued. Each of which were duly Served & Returned On the 26th June AD 1860 — and by agreement of parties Cause Stands to the 9th July 1860 and then by agreement to the 17th inst —

July 17 and now at this date Cums the parties by their respective attorys. whereupon Defendant Moves the Court to dismiss Pltffs Cous of action upon the Grounds that the Contract was not set out in writing. As claimed to be Verbal. Motion Sustained by the Court. Thereupon Plaintiffs Withdrew his Suit. It is therefore ordered that Defendent Go hence and Plaintiffs pay Costs of Suit Taxed at $42.70 Given under my hand and Seal this the 17th July 1860 —

Rec'd my Costs & the costs of Pltffs — H. O. Clements Cl

1.00

Territory of Nevada [redacted] Judicial District
Storms County

C. F. Swanwout
vs
M. Foskey

Debt on Note $1.00 00

It is remembered that said Plaintiff filed his complaint against said Defendant on a Note bearing date April 5th 1860

July 3 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 10th Day of July A.D. 1860 —

And now to-wit: This day Term last aforesaid the Summons being returned served, Comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant being called, though come not it is therefore adjudged from the Evidence in the Case, that said Plaintiff Recover of said Defendant the Sum of $100.— Debt: Together with Costs of Suit — Taxed at $10.70. Whereon Execution remains to be made —

Given under our hand & seal this
1860 10th Day of July A.D. 1860

Fifa issued — [illegible] Clements Clk
[illegible] 8 Aug Fi Fa Execution
Rec'd 2nd Dec'r Sept. taken by Pltff's Bus 200 (paid)

1.0.2

Territory of Arizona } ss
Arapahoe Mines Gregory District }

M Homs & Co
vs
E Vineccy & J.M. Cubbon

Assumpsit. Damages $33 13
On Book Ap.c.

Be it remembred that said plaintiffs filed their complaint against said Defendants. on a Book Ap.c for Merchendice. &c.

1860
June 30 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 9th July AD 1860.

And now to wit the day & hour last afsaid the Summons being returned Served. Comes the Plaintiffs. the Defts. thereto called come not. It is therupon adjudged from the Evidence in the cause that said plaintiffs recover of Defendants the Sum of $33.13/100 Debt & Damages with Costs of Suit taxed at $10.70 thereupon

Cf $7.50 Execution remains
Sheriff 3 20

Given under My hand & Seal this the 9th July AD 1860

Fifa issued

Clerk

102

Territory of Kansas, County of Arapahoe } S.S.
in District Court 2nd Judicial District

Josiah Daddow
A.S.
Henry L. Edwards } Debt on Note $ 50.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his complaint against said Defendent on a Note Dated April 3rd 1860

July 5 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 10th Day of July 1860 And now to wit, the day & term last aforesaid the Summons being Returned Served, Comes the Plaintiff, the Defendent, though called Come not. It is therefore adjudged, from the evidence in the in the cause, that said Plaintiff recover Costs $7.60 of said Defendent the sum of $ 50.00 Together Sheff 2.40 with Costs of Suit - Taxed at - $ 10.00 - Thereon Execution remains to be made

Given under my hand & seal this 10th Day of July A.D. 1860

1860
July 17 Fifa issued — Returned C.B. Clements Sheff
Aug 5 Nulla-Bona
Nov. 5/61 Alias issued
Recd Mg Costs
$ 12 Clements
D. Mine Mills Sheff

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe }
Minds Court - of Gregory District } S.S.

S. C. Smith }
vs. } Debt on Note - $67.75
J & J. Emerson }

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his complaint against said Defendants on a note dated May 21st 1860 -

June 27th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on 3rd day July 1860. And now to wit: The day & term last aforesaid the Summons being Returned Served, Comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant though called come not. It is therefore adjudged from the evidence in the cause, that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the
Fee 7 60 Sum of $67.75. Together with Costs of suit - Taxed
Sh'ff 2 40 at $10.00. Whereupon Execution Remains to be had.
1860
July 11 24 Hfa issued Given under my hand & Seal this 10th day of July A.D. 1860 -
C B Clements
J.P.

Territory of Kansas Co. of Arapahoe } ss
Minns Court Gregory District }

John H Gregg for use of Wm McCullen } Debt on note dated June 1/60
J & J Emerson } damages - $81.00

1860
July 4th Complaint filed & Summons issued returnable the 11th at 9 o'clock A.M. And now at this time the Summons being returned Served. Comes the Plaintiff. The Defts. though called come not. It is therefore
1 Fee 8 00 considered that Plaintiff recover of Defendants
Sh'ff 2.20 the Sum of Eighty One Dollars. with Costs of suit Taxed at $10.20 Whereupon Execution remains

Given under my hand this 2nd 9th day July 1860
C B Clements
J.P.

Costs —

Trial — 5.00
Venire — 1.00
Subpna — 1.00
Tales — 2.00
Filing — .60
Swearing Wit 1.40
Jury do — .50
$11.50

Sheff $5.50
2.00
Court 2.00 9.50
$17.50

Jury — " 4.50

Old Costs " 12.52
$37.00

Witnesses 6.00
$43.00
2383
19.17

Wm Kehler
Thos Reese
S Y Harding
Doc Bissell
D Bissell
C H Smith

Sheff $7.50
" 4.00
Wit — 1.00

Benjamin H
John H Ke
vs
Wm Morr

Plaintiff
1860 against
July 3 Summ
returna
And no
By Dft Continued 3.60 Comes
to Com
July 24 Cause Co
the 28th in
" 28 And now
his affid
granted.
Issue Ca

Aug 2 The Chan
perfect a
of parties Cause Stands for trial Ante 8th Aug 1860 at
8th A.M. And now Comes the parties. a Jury of three
were Empaneled & Sum. Who After hearing the evidence
in the Cause. Return a Verdict of $81.37 in favor of
Plaintiff Signed A.H.B Eighty seven
It is therefore adjudged that plaintiff recover
of Defendant the sum of $81.37. Execution issue

1860 Given under my hand and seal
Aug 8 Fifa issued this 8th Aug A.D 1860
" Notice of Appeal C. H. Blenman C.C.

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Arapahoe Original Jurisdiction

John Storm for the use of
M. Storms & Co
vs
John H. Gregory } Debt On Note $1400

Be it remembered that plaintiff
filed [redacted] note for said amt
July 2 Summons issued returnable On the 9th
July A.D. 1860. And now at this date said
Summons having returned Served, Comes
the plaintiff the Defft through Collins
Esqr. It is thereupon Adjudged
Judge 44 00 that Plaintiff recover of said
C.J. 8 00 Defendant the Sum of $48.00 with
Shff 2 20 Costs of Suit Taxed at $10.50 Thereupon
$ 58.30 Execution issues

July 14 Jan'y Full } Given under my hand
Cost & all } and seal this the 9th day
of July A.D. 1860

Lee B. Clements
J.P.M.C.

Trial — $3.00
3. Subpoena — 3.00
Subpoena — 1.50
Costs .50
Witness — 3.00
Mileage & Attendance 3.40
16.40

Sheriff 3.20
Trial — 2.00 5.20

5 Witnesses 2 days — 10.00
$36.60

Witnesses
Wm Scott
A Phelps
M Steadman
M Grant
Strangers

106

From page (44)

S. N. Hafs
vs
C. R. Gorry

Cause for Application Granted dismissed of the cause

And now at this time on this cause being Joined to trial by the parties after hearing the Evidence in the arguments of Counsel. It is considered that the former Verdict Costs $16.40 Judgement in this cause be annulled. Sheff 5.20 and set aside. and that Defendant go Writ 10.00 hence without day & that Plaintiff pay costs of suit taxed at $31.60 Whereupon Execution remains

Given under my hand and seal this the 13th July A.D. 1860

C. B. Clements (Seal)

1860
July 27 Hafs Jas — 8 cts
Sheriff's Deputy returned nothing found cts $1.00
" " Clerks return against Plaintiff & securities
(for ratification)
Sheriff's Deputy return "

X

107

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Probate Court July Sess

Henry Coon

vs

Dennis Favor & Both

Attachment for Work & Labor

Damages $1.0338

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his attorney filed his complaint against Defendants as above Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable On the 18th day of June AD 1860 And now at this time the Summons being returned Served. the cause is Continued to the 26th inst. And now at this time comes the parties. Defendants &c for a Jury of (three) who were Empannelled and Sworn. After hearing the Evidence in the cause. And arguments of Counsell the Jury finally failed to agree upon a Verdict and were discharged.

By agreement of parties Cause Continued to the 14th July 1860 at 9 A.M. And now at this time comes the parties. A Jury of (3) being Empanned & Sworn. Thereupon the Evidence in the Cause was Herd & Concluded. After which the Jury returned the following Verdict (to wit) We the Jury find for the Plaintiff $72.00 Sign'd John Sanderson. Wm H Goble Geo Hurt - Jurors. Whereupon it is adjudged that Plaintiff recover of Defendants the sum of $72.00. Damages & the Costs of suit taxed at $1.32.90 Thereupon Execution remains -

Cl $ 2.90
Sh'ff 3.00
Jury 9.00
Wit Cost 4.50

Given under my hand & Seal this the 14th July AD 1860

Ler B Clements Cl

By W M Cs

Recd the above Judgment in full July 19th 1860 -

L J Eyre Dep

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Probate Court Gregory District

Beatty & Whitaker
vs
James Emmerson } Debt On Note & a/c $1.12.66

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs filed their complaint against Defendant. On a Note of hand and a Book a/c &c in
1860
July 11th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said District returnable On the 16th July A D 1860 —
" 16 And now to wit; the day & time last aforesaid Comes the plaintiff, the Defendant thrice Called Comes not, Nor his Attorney &c Whereupon it is Ordered that said plaintiffs recover of Defendant the sum of $1.12.66 with Costs of suit to wit Debt $1.12.66 at $10.00 — Whereupon Execution remains —
2 Sy 830
Sheff 220

Given under my hand and Seal this the 16th day of July 1860
Ler B Clements J.P.M.C. —

July 17 Fifa issued —

1.09

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Minns Court Gregory District

Peter Grenich
vs
R. J. Johnston
} A Summons On Book acct $ 37.49

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his affidavit Bond & complaint against said Defendant for the writ of Attachment &c—

1860 July 18th Attachment & Summons issued to the Sheriff of said District. Returnable On the 16th day of A.D. 1860 at 9 oc A.M. And now by agreement the cause is continued to the 18th Inst 10 A.M. And now at this time comes the parties. the Defendant admits a Credit of $15.50 — Thereupon Defendant confesses Judgment for balance of acct.

It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of $11.99 — Cost 18.10.50 Debt. with costs of suit & stayed at $18.10 Thereupon Execution remains —

Sheff 7.60

Given under my hand and seal this the 18th July A.D. 1860

Le Blanc

J. M. Co

Aug. 17th 1861. Transcript sent. —

For Value Received. I assign the above Judgment to Coles. This 17th day of August A.D. 1861 —

110

Territory of Texas County of Travis
Probate Prims Court Sept Dist

George W. Brazer
vs
M. McLeod

Debt On Note dated June 10/60
For ——— $25 50

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his complaint against Defendant. whereupon Summons issued. Returnable

1860 On the 16th July A.D. 1860

July 16 And now at this time the Summons being returned Served, Comes the Plaintiff the Defts. Have Called come not
It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of Twenty five Dollars & fifty Cents. with Costs of suit taxed at $ 10.60 Thereupon Execution issues

Given under my hand and

1860 Seal this the 19th July 1860

July 19 Filed in Office
A. Austin Travis Co Texas

C.B. Cleveland Clk

Aug 5th

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Miss District Court

Wm C Porter & Others
vs
G W Brazee

Debt On Bond for Costs in the
Case of Perry & Oths vs Mc Carter
Costs of said Suit $61.80

Be it remembered that On the 10th July A D 1860
Notice a Citation issued to the said Defendant
Returnable On the 16th inst at 9 A.M. to appear
1860 & shew cause &c On the above cause of Action
July 16 And now at this time comes the pltff by
his Attorney S and M Lean and asks Judgment
for the amount of the Costs in the above
The defendant being called comes not
It is therefore adjudged that said pltff
Recover of Defendant the Sum of
Jud 6180 $61.80 Debt & Dam with Costs of
Exn 7.20 Suit taxed at $9.60 — Thereupon
Skff 2.40 Execution remains —

Given under my hand and
1860 Seal this the 16th July 1860
July 17 Fifa issued Returnable
Aug 14 Nulla Bona
Transcript

O B Clements JP

112

Territory of Nevada County
of Arapahoe Nov. Court Eighth Dist

John A Woodworth
vs
C. E. Whitney
Isaac Thompson
Geo Watkins
Wm B Quintina

Action of Debt On Bond
in Replevin in the Cause of
C E Whitney vs Woodworth &
Dam — $1,30.00

Be it remembered that Said plaintiff by his Attorney Park Mason filed his Complaint

1860 Against Defendants. As Amend —
July 12 Summons issued returnable At the
10 inst A.D. and now Comes the day & hour last aforesaid. the Same
having returned Served On One of Defts
Geo Watkins. and being called Come not
but Make default Default Taken. And a Jury
of three empannelled & sworne to Ass the Damages
who after hearing the Evidence retire the
following Verdict to Wit the Jury find for the
plaintiff and Ass his damages at $1.30.00 —
It is therefore Adjudged that Plaintiff recover
of Defendant the Sum of $1.30 & Default-ant
Costs & Writ Taxed at $36.10 Thereupon
Execution remains

Given under My hand and Seal
1860 July 17th A.D. 1860
July 17 Ass'd Sum
C. E. Whitney Esq
$1.30.00

X

113

State of Arkansas County of Arkansas } ss
Miss Court Gibson District

Wm Stamps & Co
vs
J. R. Oxley

Debt on Note Date April 9th 1860
Ins ——— $60.00

Be it remembered that Plaintiff filed in said Court a Complaint the above specified note of Defendant — Thereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff aforesaid County, Returnable On the 16th

1860 July A.D. 1860 at 9 o'c A.M.

July 16 And now at this time comes the Plaintiff by Attorney the Summons being returned Served the Defendant three Called come not — It is therefore adjudged that Plaintiff do Recover of Defendant the Sum of

Clks $9.20 $60.01 Debt & Costs aforesaid Stated at $12.40
Sh'ff 3.20 Thereupon Execution remains —

Given under My hand and Seal this the 16th July A.D. 1860

L. B. Clements Clk
By [illegible]

July 25 Fifa Issued & Returned
Aug 14 Nulla Bona —

Circuit Court

114

Vanity of Harris County of
Corporate Limits Camp Bryan Dist

J. M. Broadwell
vs
J. M. Smith

Debt On Note Dated 23 Mch 1860
for the Sum of $73.86
Interest to date 2.30
76.16

Be it remembered that Plaintiff by Wm Lamb his Attorney files as Complaint, Defendants Note of hand as above stated.

1860
July 12 Summons Issued Returnable On the 16th July 1860
16 And now at this date the Summons being returned Served. Comes the Plaintiff the Defendant Tho' duly called come not. It is therefore adjudged that Plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of $76.16 with Costs of Suit taxed at $10.50

Clk 3.00

Witness my hand and Seal this 16th July A.D. 1860
J. M. Smith Clk

1860
July 18 Fifa issued Returned Satisfied & Elements Co
Cy 20 by Shff

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Minns Court Gregory District

S. Shoup
vs
C. Dorsey & H. Sellers

Debt on Note dated May 28th 1860 for $24.00 with Credit on of $1.00 balance $3.00 00
Interest 2.50
$3.02 50

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by Binns his Attorny filed as complaint Defendants Note as above
1860 Summons issued, returnable on the 17th inst at 9 am
July 17 And now at this time comes the plaintiff the Summons being returned Served on H. Sellers. who made default. It is therefore adjudged that said plff recover of Defendant the sum of $3.02,50 Debt & Dam-
Sheff. 3.20 with costs of Suit Taxed at $12,60 therefore Execution remains.

Given under my hand and seal this the 17th July AD 1860

C. B. Clement Esq
Sheriff

July 18 Fifa issued

116

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Mineboard Ridge Dist 3 Sec.

Peter Greenwalt
vs
Charles & Madam Bailey

Attachment on Book of Defendants Supposed $88.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by his Attorney files his Affidavit Bond and Complaint in Attachment against

1860 Defendants

July 6th Attachment issued returnable On the 16th inst at 9 o'clock A.M. and by the agreement of parties Case Continued to the 18th A.D. 1860 at the Justices Office when Madam Bailey files her plea of Coverture and asks to be discharged from the suit the Justice deciding that Evidence was heard & Case decided. Whereupon it is adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of Eight Eight Dollars. with the costs of suit taxed at $8.90 Thereupon Execution remains in my hands and seal this the 18th July A.D. 1860

Def $13.60
Sheff 5.30

Peter Greenwalt
J.P.M.C.

X

117

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Minnoboom Regency Dist 3rd

Blackstone, H. Rector

vs

Marshall Cook Et
William Sims

Debt On Note dated 26th Aug 1859
for $25.00 Int $2.50 $27.50

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his Complaint Defendants Note as above for cause of action. Whereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable On the 16th
1860 July A D 1860 at 9 O Clock A M
July 16 And now at this time Comes the parties. the Summons being returned Served — and no defence. Judgement is decreed against the said Defendants for the Sum of Twenty
Costs 8.60 Seven Dollars and fifty Cents. With Costs of
Ship 2.20 Suit Taxed at $10.80. Whereupon Execution
Paid — — Remains —

Given under My hand and Seal this. 16th July A D 1860
H Blackstone
Clerk

118

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Arapahoe Probate Court Gregory District }

Mrs Rose M. Brown
vs
C H Servitudort

Attempt of right of property in 2 mules taken in Execution by a writ ag vs M. Hatley

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by her Attorneys Johnston, Jeffords, Mayhew, Post & McLean filed her notice in writing, as claimant of the property as above stated — Whereupon Thursday Morning July 19th 1860 at 9 Am was set to try the Cause —

1860
July 19 And now on this day at this time came the parties. Whereupon a Jury of 5 Men were Empannelled & Sworn, to try the facts in issue between the parties — After hearing the Evidence in the premises, and Arguments of Counsel, the Jury returned a Verdict in favor of Plaintiff signed by C. Grady Foreman John Sandusen Minis Smith and J. P. Vantress — Jurors —

Ct fee 9.10
Sheff 6.10
Jury 5.00
Writ 4.00

It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of Defendant the possession of the property in issue. with Costs of Suit Taxed at $26.70 Whereupon Execution remains —

Given under my hand & Seal this the 19th July 1860

1860.
Aug 27 Writ issued

Sept 19 Costs paid in full —

C. B. Clements J.P.C.

Territory of Nauvoo County of Hancock } ss
Nauvoo Municipal Court 4th Judicial Dist

Johnston & Jeffords
&
M. B. Brady & Co } Ejectment for foreclosure of Mortgage
On certain Mining claims in Grey Gulch and situate once the Old Claim of R. H. Hutchins. one of which was purchased by M. B. Brady Esqr. Debt $1993.50

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his attorneys filed their complaint or Petition in Equity against said Defendants to
1860 further purposes above stated. Also their affidavit & Bond for
July 6 the writ of Injunction.
" 7 Summons issued with the writ of Injunction directed to the Sheriff of said County, returnable on the 16th inst. at 9 o'clock A.M. And now by agreement, cause stands for trial on the 20th at 9 A.M.
And now at this time come the parties. A Jury of three were Empannelled and sworn. The Evidence in the cause was then heard and concluded. The arguments of Council being closed. The Jury retired. After deliberation returned the following Verdict to wit, We the Jury find for the Plaintiff Signed A Woodman John Neisel & B. H. Todd jurors
It is therefore adjudged that Defendants go hence without day and account of Plaintiff Costs
Oct 18 10 of suit taxed at $ 64.30 Thereupon Execution
Sept 1620 remains in force under my hand and seal this the 20th July A.D. 1860

Let B Clements Clk

1860
July 21 Notice of Appeal, given and granted and cause set for hearing at August 2nd 1860. And now at this day came the parties. A Jury of 12 Men Empannelled & sworn. after hearing the Evidence in the cause and Argument of Council the Jury after deliberation failed to make a Verdict and were discharged by the Court. Thereupon the cause stands for rehearing on the 7th Aug 1860 at 9 Oclk and Costs of suit taxed at $ 65.60 to abide the event.

Aug 3 Cause Diff. Vol. 1 Folio 1 for change of Venue. Granted & cause set for trial on Monday Aug 13th at 9 Oclk A.M.
Let B Clements Clk

Aug 22/60

120

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Arapahoe Probate Court Chegy Dist }

Robert Miller

vs

H. P. Lawrence

Assumpsit for failure to deliver 50 lbs Tobacco from St Joseph to Denver or Montana City K. T. Dam $ 1500

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his Atty A. B. Swift filed his complaint against Defendt in assumpsit as above stated. And Summons

1860 July 6 thereupon Notice issued against Defendants who appeared. And by agreement of parties Cause continued to the 21st July 1860 at 9 A.M. And now by agreement of parties. the cause is called up for trial by the Court. The Evidence in the cause being heard and concluded. the Cause was submitted to the Court without Argument. From due consideration it is

Refus $ 14.50 adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of Defendt
Sheff 2.60 the sum of $ 40.50 Debt & Damages with Cost
6 Witns 6.00 of Suit taxed $ 21.10 Thereon Execution Remains

Given under my hand and Seal
this 21st July A.D. 1860

E. B. Clements Clk
J.P.C.

July 23 Paid

Record the above Judgment
in full this 30th July 1860

A. Cummings Clk
A.C.C.C.

X

Territory of Washington } ss
County of Walla Walla } ss
In the County Court of Spokane District

C. P. Cooper
vs
Alexander & Co

Debt on note dated July 1 1860
for — — — $134.12

Be it remembered that Plaintiff filed his Note
1860 On Defendants as Complaint.
July 18 Summons issued returnable on the 23rd day of July A.D. 1860
and now at this time the Summons being returned
served on Defendants thereof and Defendants not appearing
Plaintiff asked Judgment by Default
It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiff
recover of Defendant the sum of One Hundred
and thirty four Dollars and 12/100 cts. together
with Costs of suit Taxed at $10 40/100
Thereupon Execution remains

Sheriff's 2 80

Given under my hand
and seal this the 23rd July 1860

" 23 Fi fa issued

C. S. Clemmons Clk
By J. M. C. Deputy

1860 Recovered on the above Judgment
Aug 4th Term — $134.90
Chas C. Post Atty

122

Territory of Kansas County aps
Arapahoe District Court Cyrus Dickson Judge

L.B. Thompson
vs
Daniel Mason

Debt On Note due $ 30 00

Be it remembered that plaintiff filed his affidavit and Bond with the above named note. for the writ of Attachment. Thereupon attachment with Summons issued on the 16th July 1860 returnable on the 16th at 9 o'clk. A.M. and now at this date comes the parties. Cause continued to the 23rd and now at this date the Summons Attachment having returned served. comes the plaintiff. the Defendant though called comes not. It is therefore adjudged that Plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of Fifty Dollars. with Costs of suit
Cx 8, 20 Taxed at $12.60 and that the property by him in
Sff. 4 40 attachment be made subject to said
Judgement, Summons dues &c hand
and seal this the 23rd day
of July A.D. 1860
L.C. Columbus C.C.

1860
July 24 Fifa issued —

For value received I hereby assign the above judgement over to C.C. Bash
L.B. Thompson
July 30th 1860

Territory of Nevada County of } ss
Third Judicial District Court Gregory Justice

John S. Looney }
vs } Assumpsit for $7,000 Dollars Quarto Precipe of
E. B. Smith & W. King } 100 & Interest" $ 5.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his attorneys
Wilson & Post filed his complaint in Assumpsit
1860 against Defendants.
July 12 Summons issued. Returnable On the 17th July
A.D. 1860 at 9 O'c A.M." and by agreement
Cause continued to the 23rd at 8 A.M.
" 23 And now at this date Comes the parties &
Issue. Thereupon the Evidence in
the premises was heard and concluded.
when after arguments of Counsel. It is
Cfc 20 30 adjudged that said plaintiff recover of
Sheff 16 10 Defendants the Sum of Five Hundred and
Mch 20 00 Forty ~~Five~~ Dollars, ~~Cts~~ Cts. with Costs
of Suit Taxed at $56 40 Thereupon Execution
remanded. Given under My hand and
1860 Seal this the 23rd July A.D. 1860
July " 26 Appeal perfected. Ch. B. Clements
And Cause set for trial on the
3rd day of August/60 at 9 o'c A.M.
And now Comes the parties. Deft files affd for
Change of Venue. Granted & Cause sent to
Aug. 3 Emeta for Trial. Costs $20.34 not paid
Mittimus & Transcript Sent
Ch. B. Clements
Cfc 9.60
Sheff 8 40 Settled
Mch 3 00

Mch Bull
Hale
Lyons

124

State of Texas County of Orange Before the Honorable Justice of the Peace

Mrs Arnold Martin
vs
Peter Brennan

Debt On note due July 1st 1860 for $ 1.5490
With Credit 3240 $ 9.750

Be it remembered that plaintiff files his Cause of action. Defendants Note of hand. Thereupon a Summons issues returnable to the 23rd July 1860
July 23 Which now at this date comes the parties. Jury
being empanelled and Summons returned
It is adjudged that plaintiff recover of
Sept 8 60 Defendant the sum of Ninety Seven Dollars
Sept 24 60 and fifty Cents, Debt, with Costs of suit
Taxed at $ 10.40 Thereupon Execution
Issues

1860
Sept 4 Fifa issued Returned
Cts Sheriff

Issued this 23rd July 1860
J. P. O.

Territory of Kansas County of
Arapahoe In Times Court of Civil Pleas Dist 358

William H. Cozens
vs
Jacob & James Emerson

Application for Mechanics Lean On Theatre building for Work & Labor on same due $ 2,50.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his affidavit & Complaint against Defendants for the above named Sum of $ 2,50.00.

1860
July 5 Summons issued to Sheriff Speciue Constable returnable On the 16th inst at 10 oc A.M.

" 16 Answer now at this time by agreement Cause Continued to the 17th inst. And now comes the parties. Whereupon Defendant Confessed a Judgement in favor of Plaintiff for the Sum of $ 2,500.00 It is therefore ordered That said plaintiff Recover of Defendants the said Sum of $ 2,500.00. And that the same do hereby declared to be a good and Valid Lean upon said building — also that Costs of Suit be taxed of $ 13.60 against said Defendant and that said building be sold to satisfy the same — Witness my hand and Seal this the [illegible] July A.D. 1860

C B Clements J.P.

July 25 Fifa issued
Aug 13 Fifa Returned Made by Levy & Sale of House $ 4.00 — Costs $ 6.60 —

126

Territy of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Arapahoe Innes Court Eighth District

Henry Hill
vs
Albert Selick } Assumpsit On promise to carry Pltff from Lowe to Golden city Dam $ 2,000

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff Judge Mayhew
1860 filed his complaint against Defendant.
July 12 Thereupon summons issued to the Sheriff of
said District returnable On the 17th /60—
And now by agreement. Cause Continued to
the 25th July 1860.
25 And now at this term comes the parties
& Issue joined. Trial by the Court. after
hearing the Evidence it is adjudged.
Sheff 4.20 that Defendant go hence without day
Mar. 6.00 and plaintiff pay costs. Taxed at $21.60
Thereupon Ste called & Returned
Sworn under My hand &
Seal this the 25th July A.D. 1860
1860.
July 26 Paid in full.

Ler B Clements Clk
J. M. Mc

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Arapahoe Miss Court Inquiry District

Myhs Hoyett & Kehler
Vs
Godfrey & Clark

Assumpsit on Note of $ 23.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his account against Defendant as as
1860 Complaint —
July 17 Summons issued to Sheriff of said County. Returnable On the 23 inst —
23 And now at this date comes the plaintiff the Summons being returned served on the Defendants there called comes not It is therefore Adjudged that said plaintiffs recover of said Defendants the Sum of $ 23 00 Debt & Dam — with Costs
Off 8 50 of Suit Taxed at $ 10.60 — Thereupon Execution ordered
Shff 2 40 Given under my hand and Seal this the 23rd July A D 1860 —

1860
July 28 Fifa issued —

A. D. Clements Clk
By J. L. Clark

128

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Probate Court Gregg District

William Barnett
&
James Eggers

Debt on Note Dated 23rd of July 1860 for $ 8.17.12/100

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by Edwards his Attorneys files as Complaint Defendants-
1860 Note above referred to — Also Affidavit and
July 24 Bond for the writ of Injunction —
" Summons & Attachment issued to the Sheriff of
said County returnable On the 30th July 1860 —
28 And now at this time. Comes the parties. &
Settles said suit. plaintiff to withdraw said
and pays Costs Incurred at $ 12.25 —
Bill $ 5.40 Paid "

Attest my hand & seal this 28th July 1860

B. H. Clements Clk
P. M. C.

1.29

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Misers Court Gregory District.

W. E. Sisty }
vs } Debt on Note ——— 79.67
Ransford Piper & Shear } Intrest to date 3 1/2 % 82,86

Be it remembered that Said plaintiff by his Attorney files Defendants Note as complaint.
1860 Also Affidavit & Bond for Attachment on Same—
July 16 — Summons & Attachment issued. Returnable on the 23rd
" And now comes Ransford and pays $78.60—
23— And now at this date comes the plaintiff and the Defendant though called comes not. It appearing that Said summons having been served it is therefore adjudged that plaintiff recover of Defendant the
C & 9 50 sum of $82.86— with costs of suit taxed at $14.60
Shff 5.10 Thereon Execution remains

Given under my hand and seal this
the 23rd July AD 1860
C B Clements Esq
J. P. M. C.

Receipt of Ransford $78.60
July 18— Paid Col McLean 70.00
8.60

130

Territory of Kansas County of Arapaho
In 1st District Court Gregory District

J. J. Storm
vs.
Wm L. Crockett

Debt-on Note Dated 14th June
A.D. 1861 $ 22.50

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his complaint, Defendants Note of Record. Thereupon Summons Issued on 26 July to the Sheriff of said county, Returnable on 30th day of July A.D. 1860 and now at this date comes the Parties, the Summons being Returned Served, & after hearing Evidence it is adjudged that said Plaintiff Recover of said Defendant the sum of $22.50. Together
Clk 7.30 with Costs of Suit - Taxed at $10.60
Shff 3.30 Thereupon Executions Remains to be made

Given under my hand & Seal this 30th Day July 1860.

1860
Aug 1 Fi fa issued
" 18 Return Satisfied
18 and Money paid Benedict Sheriff

C. B. Clendenin Clerk Seal
J. M. C.

Territory of Kansas County of Anderson
Minis Court Gregory District

Jones & Cartwright
Vs
J. H. Woodworth

Debt - On Note date 13th May 1860
for the sum of $ 241.50

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff files his complaint - Defendants Note of hand. Thereupon July 26th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 30th Day of July A.D. 1860. And now at this day & date Last aforesaid comes the Parties the Summons being returned, the Cause Called, after hearing Evidence it is adjudged that said Plaintiffs recover of said Defendant the Sum of $241.50, Together with Costs of Suit - Taxed at $12.50 Therefore Execution remains to be had

1860

Aug 2 Fifa issued -

Given under my hand & Seal this 30th July 1860

L. Blackmarr
J.P.M.

Sept 6 Rec'd in this Judgment this day $ 7.14

" 15 Cash to Clerk $5.00

132

Territory of Kansas County aforesaid
Miners Court Gregory District

6.8

Levi Benedict
vs.
Cook & Storm

Debt on Note dated July 14th 1860
for the Sum of $20.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed for this Complaint & Ends. Note of hand, Thereupon July 26th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on 30th Day July A.D. 1860, And now at this day & date last aforesaid Comes the Parties, this Cause being Called, & Evidence heard, it is adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendents the Sum of $20.00. Together with Costs of Suit - Taxed at $10.70 Thereon execution Remains

Sheriff Coffee Bacon

1860
Aug 1 Ca issued

Given under my hand & Seal this 30th Day July 1860
C. B. Goldsmith Clk
J. M. C

Aug 18 Received satisfaction
Judgment $20.00

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
Miners Court Gregory Districts

J. B. Sluts
vs.
J. B. Storm

Debt on Note dated 21st May 1860. for — $43.80

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his Complaint against said Defendant on Note of hand —

July 12. Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 16th July A.D. 1860. And now to wit, the day & hour last aforesaid the summons being returned, the cause being called & Parties present, it is adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the sum of $43.80. Together with costs of suit — Parcel at — $18.50 — Therefore

Execution issueing

Given under my hand & Seal This 16th July 1860 —

C. K. Clements Clerk
J. M. C.

July 16 Sfa issued
Returned Nulla bona

Sept. 25th Alias issued

Aug 26 paid on this $25.00

paid the money out to Pltff

June 27 Execution Issued — 1,00

135 4

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court Gregory Dec Court

E B Smith
vs.
J H Woodworth } Detton Action account-
for the sum of $ 30.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his Complaint, Defendants account & Cause of action,

July 17 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County, Returnable on 23rd July 1860- And now to wit) the day & hour last aforesaid. The Summons being returned served the cause called, & Evidence heard it is therefore ordered & decreed that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendent the sum of $ 30.00 & Costs taxed at $10.20-

1860
Aug. 2 Fifa issued

Therefore Execution remains

Given under hand & seal this 30th July 1860

Sept 6th The above Judgment & Costs paid in full

Secretary of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court - Oregon District

Geo. G. Brown }
vs. } Debt on Note dated
E. McDonald } for the Sum of - - $ 40.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed for his Complaint - Defendents Note of hand -

July 24. Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 30th Day July A.D. 1860. and now to wit. the day & year last aforesaid. the Summons being Returned Served. the Cause being Called it is ordered & decreed that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendents the Sum of $40.00. Together with costs of Suit - Taxed at - $ 10.60 Therefore remains to be had

1860
July 31 Appeal Notice given. Given under my hand & Seal this 30th July 1860 -

Oct. 25th Fifa issued, E. B. Hammond JP

Dec. 15th Returned "Nulla Bona"

J. M. C.

Wm Gossett X
Peter Greenish X
J Lemmon X
Jas Emerson X
Doc Hulett
J V Wample
Wm Spades
Geo Simms X
Wm Valentine X
C W Hall X
J Gregory X

136

Territory of Nevada } ss.
Arapahoe Mines Court Gregory Dist

S. C. Temple
vs
G. C. Pass

In Equity for partition of Mining Claim
On Gisk Lead & Dam $500.—

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his attorneys filed his Petition in Equity against said Defendant.

1860 July 12 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable On the 17th inst. at 9 o'clock Am.

17 And now at this time comes the parties and cause continued to the 24th inst. and

Continued go cause to the parties & Cause continued to the 30th July 1860 And now comes the partiss. pltff demands trial. by the affidavit of Deft= cause continued to the 31st at 8, Am at his Dfts costs taxed at $9.60. And now at this time comes the partiss, and join issue to the Court. Whereupon the Evidence in the cause is heard and concluded. Whereupon it is ordered and decreed that said plaintiff recover of said Defendant the sum of $47.50 for 1/4 interest in $190.00 of Mining Sold to Defendant. And that Capt Pastor John. E. Leeper & Thos Reese are appointed Com= =missioners to adjust devide and set apart the respective interests of the Company Consisting of Gleb Sp L. C. Temple Demary and Gene Lawson

" 24.00 in and to Mining Claim No 1, N.E. Ontario Gisk
Sh'ff $16 90 Lead together with a Lott of some 15, to 18, Cords of
Mr 3 00 Quarts taken from said Claim by said Company the interest of said partners being equal= together with Costs of Suit taxed at $13.90 Thereupon Execution remains

Given under my hand and
Seal this 2nd August A.D. 1860
J. W. Clemmens
Prob.

1860 Aug 4 Appealed & Jury trial

1.3.7.

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } 88
Miners Court Gregory District

Peter McDonald
vs
Wm A. Simpson

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his attorn filed his complaint against Defts. in the cause above stated — Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County aforesaid on the 03rd day of Aug 1860

1860 " 13 And now comes the parties & cause continued to the 0[illegible] at 9 Am. And now at this time comes the parties and by agreement cause continued to the 17th at 9 Am And now comes the parties & issue joined Cause withdrawn by the Plaintiff It is therefore adjudged that Defendant go hence without day & Plaintiff pay costs of suit Taxed at $49.50 - Thereupon Execution issues

Given under my hand and seal this 24th Aug A D 1860

A A Hammond J P

Paid

Lfe 18-60
Sheff 3 20
Mr 18

J M Richardson paid — 3
John Irving paid 3
M - Fitzgerald paid 3

1.38

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Probate Court Gregory District

Edward Daugherty
vs
Christlow Rogerson

Hampsett Dam $ 37 00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his Attorney filed his complaint

1860 against said Defendant
July 24 Summons issued Returnable On the 4th day of Aug 1860 at 9 Am
" 4 And now comes the Plaintiff and withdraws his suit. Thereupon Defendants Costs Plaintiff pays Costs of Suit taxed at $ 7.70 Of Course Execution remains

Writs 320

Given under my hand and Seal this 4th Aug 1860
E V Blakemore
J P

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court Gregory District

Case, M. Brown
Vs
Richard Wilmot "
Herbert Pardy "
W. H. Pattilla "
N. B. Norman "
N. L. Goodings "

Attachment
Debt $1.000 00

1860 Be it remembered that said plaintiff by her attorney W. M. Braze filed her complaint Affidavit & Bond against Defendants for the
June 22 writ of Attachment.
Summons & Attachment issued returnable on the 27th inst at 9 oc A.M. And now comes the plaintiff and withdraws her suit. It is therefore ordered that Defendants go hence & Plaintiff pay Costs of suit taxed @ $39.80 Thereupon Execution remains
Sept 18 60 Given under my hand and seal this the 23rd June 1860
1860 Aug 4 Fi fa issued " Returned "
15 Satisfied

Ler B Clements
J.M.C.

1.40 X

Sums	$ 1.00
Attach —	1.00
Off & Bond	1.00
Lead —	5.00
Docket	1.50
Motions	1.60
Subpoenas	1.00
	12.10

Co. Court
Angy District

P. Pro
" " Plff
vs
Y. Y. Pro
" " Pro

Sheriffs fees
Sumn 4.60
do — 3.20
7.80
19.90

& Attachment
$ 2500.00

Be it
atte
1860 Mar
May 23 Sum

the plaintiff by his
said H. Bond & Comp-
Trading as Agents Deft
the Sheriff aforesaid Co-
Returnable Court 28th 1860 at 9 Am
And many Causes Continued. And Law
to the 6th August 1860

Aug 6th And now Comes the plaintiff & the Deft.
being Called Comes not
" 7 And now comes the Parties & Effected a
Settlement & Defendants agreed to pay all
Costs. It is therefore adjudged that said Defts.
pay Costs of suit taxed at $19.90 & Judgment
Settled. Therefore Execution ordered
Given under my hand
& Seal this 7th Aug. A 1860
Clerk Edwards C.C.

Nov. 14th Fifa issued

Jno. C.

Territory of Nevada County of Arapahoe
Inner Court Gregory District 85

Judicious Kershaw
vs
J. M. Sues &
Wm Ramsey

Debt On Note dated March 21st 1860
For ——— $251.00
Or on the above ——— 38 c $ 21.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his attorney filed as Complaint Defendants note of hand &
July 16 Summs issued to the Sheriff of said County
" returnable on the 30th at 9 A.M. —
" 30 And now comes the parties. the summs being
returned served upon Ramsey & Sues and find
it is Ordered and adjudged that plaintiff
recover of Defendant the sum of Two
Oct 11.60 Hundred [redacted] Dollars. together
Sheff 4.40 with Costs of suit Taxed at $ 15 40 thereon
Execution issued —

Given under My hand and
Seal of this Court 30th August A.D. 1860
C. B. Clements Clk
By [illegible]

Aug 20 Sfa issued

Dec 8th This Judgement & costs has been this
day satisfied by J. M. Sues
C. B. Clk, Gym

Feb 6th 61 Cause remanded under Judge Wilson

142

State of Texas County of
Arapahoe Thurs. Court Injury District 20

Mrs. Jones & Catterright
vs
M. Cook & Thomas
Debt & Note $28.40

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his Attorney McLain filed his Cause of action
1860 Defendants Sheriff's Return
July 26 Summons issued Returnable On the
31th at 9 o'c A.M.
" 31 And now comes the plaintiff. the
Defendants thrice called Come not.
It is therefore adjudged that said pltff
recover of Defendants the sum of
Twenty Eight Dollars and forty
Cents Debt. with Costs of Suit.
D.F.&I.F.40 Stated at $10.60. Thereon Execution
Sept 32 remains"
Paid

Given under my hand
and Seal this the 30th
August A.D. 1860
Oct 6 Satisfied
Ber Blemmons D
J.M.L.

Territory of Arizona County of Pima
Pima County Court Probate District

Goldsmith Connell
vs
Cook & Storm

Debt on note Dated April 12/60
Int $15 39

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed
1860 his complaint against Defendants &
July 26 Summons issued. Returnable On the 30th
30 And now at this time. the Summons being
returned Served the Defendants both
Called Come not. His Honor now
adjudged that plaintiff recover of
Defendants the sum of Fifteen Dollars
6 40 and Thirty Nine Cents with Costs of suit
Sh 3 20 taxed at $9.60 - Thereupon Execution
Paid 3 remaining"

Given under my hand
and Seal this the 30th day of
July A D 1860"

Oct 10 Satisfied
Cost Paid

Clk B Clements

1.44 †

2

State of Texas } In the County of
Anderson } Limestone County District
Court

Isaac Sparks
Vs
W. O. Ainsley } Debt On Note dated Sept=
13th 1859 for $ 23.50

Be it remembered that said plaintiff file
1860 Sept to Note as Complaint.—
July 30 Issued Sum. Returnable 6th day
1860 at 9, Am. And now at this time
Comes the parties. the Sum having
returned Served. And no defence
filed. It is Ordered that plaintiff do
recover of Defendant the Sum of
Seventy three Dollars and fifty Cents.
Debt & Dam. With Costs of Suit
Taxed at $ 11.40 Thereupon Execution
Issued.

Given under my hand
and Seal this 7th day
of Aug. A.D. 1860

Ler B Clements Clk
D. Mc

Territory of Kansas } ss
County of Arapahoe } District Court Fuguy Dist't

David B Emmons
vs
Louis D'Evandle
Benjm O Rupell &
Royal Jacobs

In Equity for Partition. Settlement and to account. in relation to Claim No 3 42 on the Bobtail & Sundry Others.

Be it remembered that said Pltff by his Attorney H. A. Johnson filed his Petition and Bond against said Defendants praying writ of Injunction &c

1860
July 27 Summons with injunction issued to the Sheriff of said County. Returnable on the 6th August 1860

Aug 6th And now comes the parties. when Defendant filed his Motion to dissolve the Injunction. Which is sustained as to John A Hale. Robt Armstrong & Hiram Hill

Sept 10 Cause Continued to this date. and no answer filed. when On Motion of Plaintiff Default Entered against all of the Defendants Except B D'Evandle. and Further time given to Defendants

" 11 D'Evandle & Rupell filed their answer when On Motion the answer of B. O. Rupell was Stricken from the files. and the 12th Sept 1860 at 7 o'c P.M. set for hearing Exceptions to answer.

" 12 And now comes the parties. and by agreement Cause Continued to the 21st at 1 o'c P.M. and now comes the parties and jointly file an Bill and answer to the Cross Bill after hearing the Evidence in the Cause and Arguments of Counsel. do adjudge and decree. That said plaintiff Recover of Defendants the undivided one fourth part of Mining Claim No 3, North East on the Bobtail Lode in said District the same that was purchased of Isaac W. H. Hurlbut by said Company.

L. 1 f. 12 331

Price $5.00
3 Subpen 3.00
Centimus 1.20
Filing 1.20
Venire 1.00
2 Affs 1.00
Bond .50
Indgmt 1.50
Sundry Secy .50
11 Witness 2.20
Indict $17.10 50
17.60

Shffs fes
Simms $3.20
Subp 3.60
Sundry Cost 4 Cr
10.80 10.80

Secy fes 4.50

14 Witnesses 14.00
$46.90

John Russell X
Simms +
C W Main X
4 Dismpnt X
Beckwith X
Walker X
McLean
Reynolds X Geo Mundy X
C W Parker X
M Spon D Russell

Venire Issued

1.46

County of
Gregory District } ss

David Rupell
vs
J. W. Briggs & Presly } Action on the Case for Dam
in overflowing Claim in Gregg Gulch

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his
attorney filed his Complaint in Case against
1860 said Defendants for Damages $1.000.00
July 12 Summons issued returnable on the 17th inst.
17 And now the Summons not being served as
to Briggs Cause Continued at Sundry times
Aug 8 to this date. And now Comes the parties. a
Jury of Three were Empanneled & Sworn
who after hearing the Evidence in the Cause
and Arguments of Council. The Jury return
a Verdict in favor of Plaintiff for the sum
of One Hundred and Twenty five Dollars
with the right to the present Tail race. Signed
Wm Norwood Foreman
It is thereupon adjudged that said Plff
Recover of Defendants the Sum of $125.00
Damages with Costs of Suit & Issued
Ex Fi Fa
Execution remaining
Given under my hand and
Seal this the 8th August A.D. 1860
B Clemens Clk
Aug 8. Issued Notice Sim
" Inspectors Costs paid

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Arapahoe. Mines Court Gregg District }

James C. Dodd
&
George W. Bowen
Geo W. Sayre

Petetion in Equity for settlement & Injunction to stay Sale of Grocries & Con Dam $500 00

Be it remembered that Said Plff. by his Attorny filed his Petetion in Equity
1860 against Defendants. also Affidavit for Injunction.
Aug 1 Summons & Attachment issued to the Sheriff of Said County Returnable On the 6th inst.
" 9 And now comes the parties by their attorys. when Plaintiff discontinues his Suit. On an agreement made and Entered into by & between all of Said
Cfs 6.10 parties " that Plaintiff pays Costs of Suit
Sh— 5.80 Taxed at $11.90/100

Given under my hand and Seal this the 10th Aug. A.D. 1860.

Sept 19 Fifa issued
Returned Nulla Bona & Elements &c
Nov. 3. Alias issued

148

Territory of Kansas County of } ss.
Arapahoe District }

J. Anderson
vs
Peter Elliott Gilmish

Affidavit for Labor $87 00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his Complaint against said Defendant in the above demand and also made application for Garnishee against

1860 Sept 7th Jayne —

Aug 7 Writs issued & Garnishee returnable On the 13th inst.

" 11 attd court at this time comes the parties & by Order of Plaintiff Cause dis continued

Sept 11 Defendants Costs Incurred $11.60

1860
Aug 11 Costs paid

Given under my hand and Seal this the 11th Aug AD 1860

[illegible]

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
Miners Court Gregory District

Myers Hoyett & Kehler
vs
Benjamin Chevy
Debt On Book Acc $ 14 93

Be it remembered that Plaintiffs filed Affts of
1860 account for collection, as cause of action.
July 13 Summons issued with attachment. Returned
On the 23rd July 1860 Her want of service the
Summons not returned until the 9th Aug. 1860.
And now comes the parties in person and
settled all accounts in issue in this cause
by which it is adjudged that Plaintiffs recov
Bfs $ 8 50 of Defendants the Sum of Fourteen Dollars and
Shffs 2 40 Ninety three Cents Debt with Costs of suit
Taxed at $ 10 90 Thereupon Execution issues

Given under my hand and
Seal this the 9th August 1860

J. H. Kehler

Shff's Fees issued not paid.

Aug 9th Received the above
Judgement in full

J. H. Kehler

150

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Arrapahoe Prima Court Gyny Dkt[illegible]

Meeka Maupin
vs
H. A. Lathrop & Others

Debt On Note dated June 29th 1860
for the sum of $115.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his attorney filed a Complaint Defendants Note of hand dated as above

1861 Aug 9 Summons issued to the Sheriff returnable On the 13th inst. at 9 o'c am -

And now the Summons being returned Served the plaintiff appears, the Defendants three called come not It is therefore adjudged that plaintiff recover of Defendants the sum of one Hundred and fifteen Dollars Debt and Damages. together with the costs of suit Tax at $12.60 - Thereupon Execution is Given and Issued this the 13th Aug A.D. 1861

Aug 13 Fifa issued -
Sept. 11 Return'd Nulla Bona -

Ler Bolemen J.P.

Territory of Kaigas County of Arapahoe
Probate Court Gypsy District

Peter Brennish
vs
Doc B Thompson
Appeal Bond bill $27.50

Be it remembered that Plaintiff
1860 and Defendant are the above bill. Summons
Aug 11 issued & duly returned Served
" And now came the parties. Defendant filed
his Offset $30.00 Medical bill — After hearing
the Evidence in the cause. It is decreed by the Court
Of 9 60 that Each party pay one half of the costs of
Sheriff 7.90 suit — Total at $22.40 " As to Each party
Pet 6 00 the sum of $11.20

Given under my hand and seal
this 11th August AD 1860
C B Clements J P

Affidavit 15 Juror sworn 1.00 W.T.&V.
" 17 Jurors witness & Doc. Thompson, 6
Court & cost made. 11 Jury & 6 Jurors *
Judgment-Satisfied on above Execution

Rose M Brown
vs
Peter Brennish
Trial of the right of property
in 2 Mules taken on
Execution by Sheriff

1860
July 19 Be it remembered that said property was
Claimed by Plaintiff. And trial of the right
to the same was set down for this day
When Defendant Demanded of the Plaintiff
said Mules
Clk 6 40 It is therefore Considered that said Plaintiff
Sheff 6 40 have Judgment of said property and
that both the Costs of suit Total at $12.80

Given under my hand and
Seal this the 21 July 1860
C B Clements J P

152

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Probate Court Sept Dist

L. F. Evandale
vs
D. B. Emmons

Debt On Note dated
Fee $ 48 32
Interest 4 — $ 52 32

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his complaint against Defts 1860 as afore.

July 30 Summons issued returnable on the 6th August 1860 at 9 o'clock Am

And now by agreement comes Cintion to the 11th at 1 o'clock Pm. And now comes the Plaintiff. the Defendant thereupon comes and it is thereupon ordered & adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of Fifty two Dollars & thirty two Cts $ 9.00 Debt & Damages $ 52 32 Writ Costs Sheff 3.40 Writ Taxed at $ 12.40 Thereupon Execution costs & issues remains.

to Calens in Sept

Given under my hand and seal this the 1st Aug 1864

Sept 15th Fifa issued &
" 29 Returned made $ 50.60

L. H. Clements Clk
By M Cle

Received on the above Judgment Fifty Dollars & Sixty Cents Sept 29th 1860
L. F. Evandale by
L. H. Evandale, agent

4

* 1.53

Territory of Arizona County of Arizona
Probate Court Pinal District.

L C Orrindle
&
D B Emmons
} Attachment Dam. $500.00

Be it remembered that on plaintiff filed his complaint against said Defendant as also Statement for Money Loaned 1860 Services rendered $60

July 30 Summons issued On the 31st July 1860 and made returnable On the 6th Aug 1860

Aug 6 And now by Agreement Cause Stands to the 11th at 1 P M And now Come the plaintiff. the Defendant Now Come Come not. It is therefore from the Evidence adjudged that plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of $75.00. with Costs of Suit Taxed at $12.40 Thereupon Execution Shall issue

Given under my hand & Seal this the 11th Aug A D 1860

L C Bloomfield
J P

154

State of Kansas County of Anderson } ss

Wells Hazlett & Kehler

vs

John Wood

Assumpsit Ac
Book Ac $ 50.60

Be it remembered that said plaintiff
1860 filed his complaint against defendant
Aug 16th Summons issued returnable on the 20th inst
& on 20th a now the Summons being returned
the defendant thereto called came not
It is therefore adjudged that said
plaintiffs recover of defendant
the sum of fifty Dollars and
fifty Cents Debt with Costs of
suit taxed at $11 40 Thereupon
Cf 7 40 Execution issued —
3.00
Mf 1.00

Given under my hand
and seal this the 20th day
of Aug A D 1860
Le B Clements J P

Aug 21 Fifa issued —

WMC

State of Kansas County of Anderson ss
Anderson County District Court

Robt B Ray
vs
Johnson Thos Kins

Debt On Note due Aug 1st 1861 for $395.00

1860
Aug 15 Be it remembered That On this day personally Came the parties to this suit. Whereupon said Defendant authorized the undersigned to Enter up a Judgement against him for the above entitled Amount. It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of Three Hundred Ninety five Dollars Debt with Costs of suit
Cf $600 Doted at $6.00 Thereupon Execution Issued

Given under my hand and Seal this 15th day Aug 1860

1860
Aug 15 Writ issued
Sept 14 Return Nulla Bona

C B Clements Esq
1.00

156

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Arapahoe Miss Court Gigny District

C. W. Fisk & Townsend
vs
Wm Sturm. Hamilton & Co } Stampspost for Bill of Lumber $ 352.19

1860
Aug 3 Be it remembered that said plaintiff files their complaint against Defendants in the collection of the above bill. thereupon a summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable On the 13th inst at 9, Am.

" " And now at this time comes the parties: the summons being returned Served. Cause called when said Defendant filed his Offset to the amount of $ 182.84 for Store account— After hearing the Evidence in the cause It is adjudged that said plaintiffs recover of Defendants the sum of One Hundred Seventy Six Dollars & Eighty three Cents with costs of suit taxed at $ 25.40. Thereupon Execution remains—

Sfc 9 70
Shf 9 70
Int 6 cr

Given under my hand & seal this the 13th Aug 1860

C. B. Clements Clk
J. M. C.

Sept 1860 4th Received the above judgment in full—

Sept 12 Paid C. W. Fisk $ 42 95
" 22 Paid C. W. Fisk 32.00
" " 2 Notes C. W. Fisk 32 63
$ 107.58

" "

Received the above judgment in full this the 22d Oct 1860—

Chas W. Fisk

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court Gregory District

Hayett & Kehler
vs
Bateman & Morris

Debt on Book Acct $13 40

1860
Aug 4 Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his account against Defendants as cause of action, & Summons issued returnable On the 13th
13 And now the Summons being returned, served upon Bateman — Comes the plaintiffs the Defendants Non — Could cannot It is therefore adjudged that plaintiff recover of said Defendants the sum of Thirteen Dollars — with Costs of suit taxed at $10 60 — Therefore Execution remains — Given under my hand

Shffc 2.40

And Seal this 13th Aug 1860

Rcpt: 15-16 Writ issued

C B Blakemore Clk

J. M. M. C

158

Territory of Nauvas County of
Arapahoe Miners Court Gregory District ss

Joseph Buck & N. Rohal
vs
O. Demars

Action On the case for Damages
On Sale of Quarts $ 218.00

1860
Aug. 6th Be it remembred that Said plaintiff files
his Complaint as above Stated against Defendant
" Summons issued returnable On the 13th Aug 1860
13 And now Cause continued by agreement to
the 15th at 1 P.M. And now On application
of Defendant Cause Continued to the 18th at 1 P.M.
at Costs of Defendant taxed at $ 3.50
" 20 And now Cause coming on. And jurors
to the Court. Who after hearing the Evidence
in the premises adjudge that Plaintiff
Recover of Defendant the Sum of $37.50
with Costs of Suit taxed at $ 26.80 Thereupon
Execution Issues
Given under my hand
And Seal this 20th Aug 1860
Aug 25 Fifa issued

Leon Bloomenthal J.P.

Sept 14th Recd on Costs & Judgment
$ 33.10

Sept 19 Returned satisfied Signed
Wm Logan
Sheff

C. Garbutt
Smith
Jeb Rucker
N Mathias

Sept 19 Recd the above Judgment
in full

J Buck
for Rohal

159

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court of Gregory District

Herman Bramer
vs
O Demars & Geo Shumaker

Action on the case On the sale of 2 quarts of Dom ⅌ of 53 33/100

1860
August 10 Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his Complaint as above stated against Defendants.
Summons issued returnable on the 13th ok 9, A.M.
And now comes the parties & by Consent Cause is Continued to the 15th. And now the Cause is Continued to the 18th ok the Cost of Dft Costs $3.50
" 20 And now comes the parties and joined issue to the Court. After hearing the Evidence of Plff & Defendant moved to Dismiss the Cause upon the grounds of no Cause of action Sustained On Motion Defendants go hence & Plff pay Costs of Suit to file at $10.50 Motion Etc entire remains

Clerks fees 8 10

Sheriff 2 40
1 1 50

Sept 11 Costs paid in full

Given under my hand and seal at this the 20th day of August A D 1860

W B Clements Clerk

160

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Miners Court Gregory District

Miss. Rose M. Brown
&
B. J. Slater & Wm J. A Hull

} Assumpsit for loss of 4 Mules Dam $ 1.000.00

Be it remembered that Said plaintiff files her Complaint against Said Defendants On the above cause of action — Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable On the 13th day Aug. A.D. 1860 13 And now by agreement Cause continued to the 17th inst A.D. 1860 P.M.

Territory of Kansas
Miners Court Gregory
District

} Assault with intent to Kill

vs

Capt Wm West

1860 Aug 6th

Be it remembered that On Complaint of Charles Jackson a Warrant issued for the arrest of the said West, which was served & returned with Defendant in Court. The plea of not Guilty was entered. And trial had. the Court after hearing the evidence in the Case adjudge that plaintiff pay a fine of $10.00 & Costs of Suit Taxed at $17.40 Thereupon Execution remains —

Witness my hand and Seal this 6th day of Sept 1860

C. B. Cummins Esq
Clerk

5.00
1.00
1.00
.50
1.20
1.52
10.20

8.00
7 00
25.20

Geo Read Pd by A.
M Q Ansly Pd by A.
P P Lanathen Pd by A.
A Dillman Pd by A
P M McGuire
E le Haas Pd by A.
L A Adams Pd by A.

1.61

City of Corpus Christi
District

A. Heal
vs
John C. Hays

Debt on Note on Change of Venue from Enterprise District.

1860
Aug 10 Be it remembered that on this day the papers in the above entitled cause were filed in this office. And the 14th Notice issued to plaintiff of the day set for trial.

And now at this time come the parties and join issue to the Court. And filed their Affidavits. The Court after hearing the Evidence in the cause Consider that Plaintiff take nothing by his suit & that defendant recover Judgement for costs to wit Jas 8.25 20 thereupon the Execution issued given under my hand & Seal this the 21st Aug. A.D. 1860

Feb 1st 61 Jas Lee Clerk
June 25th 61 - Garnishee
Issued against Geo. Stigers

C.B.C. Corpus Christi Co

June 25th 61 - Came the said Geo. Stigers and acknowledges that he is indebted to the said Plaintiff above, on a Promissory Note, according to the tenor of said Instrument filed herein - He therefore prays that said Judgement may be applied towards satisfaction thereof - Ordered that the costs of this Garnishee Judgement - Issued &c

Trial 5.00
Lunch & attack 2.00
4 Subpoenas 4.00
Off & Bond 1.00
Entrance — .30
Seal .50
Judgment 1.50
14.30

Shiffs — 10.50. 10.50

B H Clark 6.00
J H Kibler
Doc Rankin
Col'd Johnston
J Briggs
A Woodman

30.80

Shiff 10.50
14.30

1.62

Territory of Nevada } ss
Arapahoe County } Comm. Court Eighth Dist

W. Smith & Brother
vs
R. J. Hoozer & H. May } Debt On Note for $ 500.00
& Attachment

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by their agent Asa Smith filed his affidavit & Bond for a writ of Attachment against said Defendants to secure the above debt.

1861 Aug 9th Summons with Attachment issued to the Sheriff aforesaid County. returnable On the 13th inst at 9 am. And now comes the parties & by agreement cause continued to the 17th at 9 am. And now comes the Plaintiff the Defendant thereto called comes not. On motion default is taken against said Defendants It is therefore adjudged that Plaintiff recover of Defendants the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars Debt, with Costs of Suit taxed at $31.80 Thereupon Execution remains. Given under my hand and seal this the 17th day of August A.D. 1860

Cl 14.30
Sh 10.50
Mt 6.00

Aug 17 Notice of appeal — C. B. Clements Esq.

" 24 Sifa issued Returned

Oct 4 Writ Bond $ 1.50

" Alias issued Returned

" 12 Made $5.00 & returned as per Sheriff's receipt — $1.00

Juicil	5.00
4 Cautions	1.20
Summons —	1.00
3 Subpns	3.00
Swearing —	1.00
Filing	60
Affi —	1 00
Depositions	2 50
Judgment	1 50
	$16.80

Shff —	220	
	200	
	110	
	200	
	7.30	7.30

Witness		6 02
A. E. Darling	2	$30.10
As. Swart	2	
H. P. Smith	1	
M Reamer	1	

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
Probate Court of Gregory District

Helm & Robeson
vs
Henry Ferris
Debt On Note due 28th May 1860
Attachment
$42.50

Be it remembered that plaintiff by his attorney filed his Complaint against Defendant
1860 in the above entitled Cause.
June 30 Summons issued returnable Attest 9th July 1860 at 9, oc Am. and now come continued by agreement to 16th inst. & by agreement Continued to 23d and now by agreement Continued to the 4th Augt. And now comes the parties. Whereupon the Defendant filed his Defence. Issue being joined. Trial by the Court Commenced. Who after hearing the Evidence in the cause It is adjudged & ordered that Plaintiff take nothing by his suit & that Defendant pay the costs of Suit taxed at $30.10 Thereupon Execution remains.

Given under my hand and seal this the 4th Aug AD 1860

Le Blanmont J.P.

Filed

Simon Kahn

vs

L. E. Baker

Attachment

Bond to pay to the Defendant

Officers of the Court

Territory of Kansas County } ss
of Arapahoe Miss Court Sept Dist

Asa Smith
vs
Clinton Dorsey
& Homer Fellows

Debt On Note $ 225.00

Be it remembered that the plaintiff filed his Affidavit. Bond & complaint. to wit. Defendants Note.

1860 as above stated.

Aug 4 Attachment & Summons issued returnable
returnable On the 20th inst at —

" 20 And now to wit the Plaintiff & the Defendants Howe Called & came not. It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendants the Sum of Two Hundred and Twenty five Dollars Debt. with Costs of Suit Taxed at $ 14.20 Thereupon

Oct 8. 20 Execution issued
6.00 Given under my hand
and Seal this the 20th Aug
A D 1860

Aug 21 Fifa issued Return. nulla —
" 30 Alias issued Return. nulla —
Oct 1 Pluria Execution issued

C & B Clinton Dy
J M C

1420

Territory of Kansas County of [redacted] Co Pmis Court Fugy District

Rose M Brown
B
R J Hackett & A Hull

Trespass on Promise to Keep & Adms & Mmes Dam $ 1000.00

1860
Aug 1 Be it remembered that said plaintiff by her attorneys filed her Complaint in the above cause of action against Defendants—
Thereupon Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 13th at 9 A.M.—

13 And now at this time the Summons being returned and cause by agreement continued to the 17th and now comes the parties. Defendants having filed their answer & Demurrer to Complaint. On Defendants Demurrer was overruled. Thereupon Plaintiff demurred to Answer of Defendant. Sustained. with liberty to amend. & Cause continued to the 18th at 9 A.M. with leave of Continuance to Plff 11 60

18 And now comes the parties. Plaintiff again Demurs to Defendants Amended answer. After argument of Counsel. Demurrer overruled & answer sustained. Thereupon Plff's filed Replication to which issue is joined & Cause set down for trial at 1 o'Clock P.M.—
And now at the hour last aforesaid
Cfs 18 00 Comes the parties. when Defendant on the
Stff 14 80 proper Affidavit asked for Change of Venue
Wit 15 10 which is granted & Cause sent to Nevada District to his Hon Judge Lamb for future
with Costs. Taxed at $50.80 Thereupon
Execution remains—

Given under my hand and
Aug 20 Costs paid Seal this the 18th Aug A D 1860

W B Clements Clk
J M Lee

166

Territory of Nevada County of Storey
Nevada County & Storey District

G W Ingersoll
vs
Wm McClenan

Ejectment for claim
on 1, Simmons Lead & Gang 1000 =

1861
July 11 Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his Complaint against said Defendant,
" Thereupon Summons issued Returnable
On the 17th July 1861 —
17 And now Cause Continued by Order
of Court till the 13th Aug 1861
Aug 13 And now Comes the said Plaintiff by his
Attorney & this Cause this said
Suit. Thereupon Plaintiff pays
Costs of Suit Taxed at $12 70
Thereupon the Cause remains —
Witness my hand
and Seal this the 13th day
of August A.D. 1861
Certificate to Judgment
Filed

Cost $ 30
Sheriff 4.40

Sept: 13th Judgment

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss
Probate Court Leavy District

Hoyett & Kehler
Vs
Cook & Storm

Assumpsit On Book Ac $ 37 75

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs filed their account against defendants
Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County
1860 returnable on the 20th inst.
Aug 20 And now comes said Defendants and by their written consent. Authorized. Judgment for the ~~amount~~ of said Debt Rendered against them. It is therefore adjudged that said pltffs recover of Defendants the sum of fifty one Dollars and Seventy five Cents. with Costs of suit Taxed $5.00. Thereupon Execution remains

Given under my hand and seal
This 1st 20th August A D 1860
C B Clements J.P.

1860
Aug 24 Fi fa issued

Sept 6 Paid on this by B. H. Clark $ 30.00
" the same paid to B. Hoyett

168

State of Nevada County of Ormsby Dist Court

C. C. Post & Benj Mason
vs
Geo Smith & Wm Davison Copartners

Assumpsit for Attys fee
$ 61.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff
1860 filed his Complaint against defendants
Aug 6 Summons issued, Returnable Oct the 13th
inst at 9 o'c A.M.
" 13 Defendants Came & filed the Summons
bearing date and served. the defendants
Wm Davison Came not. after the
Evidence of plaintiff is had & Concluded
It is adjudged that said plaintiffs
recover of defendants the Sum of
Eighty One Dollars with Costs of
Suit Taxed at $ 12.60 Thereupon Execution
is 7 60 remains &c
Sheff 5 00

Given under my hand &
Seal this 27th Aug 1860

C. V. Bloomfield Clk
J. Hill

Aug 21 Writ issued
Oct 22nd Returned 15 0 to Sheff

State of Kansas County of Morris } ss
Morris County Court of Civil Pleas

Wm. Waggoner & Brother
vs
M. & A. R. R. Co. } Action in the Case for Damages
$1,500.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiffs filed their
1869 complaint against Defendants
Aug 9 Summons issued returnable on the 13th inst
And now the Summons being returned Served
on the Plaintiffs and the Continued this
said suit. Whereupon Defendants for
hire & Plaintiffs jury. Cost of
suit Taxed at $10.46

Clk 8.00. Given under my hand and seal
Sh'ff 2.40 this 9th day of August A.D. 1869

Sept. 15th Defendant
Oct. 10th Returned Motion Granted
Nov 10th 1869 Alias Sum. issued &c.
" 17 " Returned not Satisfied

170 X

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Probate County Court

John Mahoney
vs
Self

Petition for Ore Red Ox Value $30.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his Affidavit Bond & Complaint
1860 against Defendants
Aug 10 Summons & Replevin issued. Returnable On the 15th Aug 1860.

" 15 And now came the plaintiff & the Summons being returned served. The Defendant Hyde Parker came not. After hearing the Evidence it is adjudged that plaintiff recover of Defendant the possession of the Ox in question together with Costs of suit taxed at $16.50 Thereupon Defendant remains—
Given under my hand and seal this the 21st August 1860

Cir $ 30
Sh'ff $ 00

C. B. Clements J.P.
Arapahoe

Aug 21 Satisfied.

Trial $ 5.00
Lunn 1.00
3 Subpns 3.00
Unine 1.00
3.00
13.00

Jury 4.50

Shffs 17.60

6.00

41.10

Skeen claim
Sentale "

1.00
2.00
4.60
4.00
11.60
Jury 6.00
17.60

Territory of
Arapahoe

Leander Sumner & Co
Vs
John H Kehler

Assumpsit for Shingles Qty
13,600 amt $1,20.80

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his
Complaint against Defendant for Fifteen
1860 Thousand Shingles worth $1200
August 14 Summons issued returnable On the 13th day, and
13 And now Came Counsel to the 20th and
now Came Parties, whereupon the
Plaintiff in the Case, Asked For a Jury of
which was Summoned, Empannelled & Sworn
24th 1860 and after hearing the Evidence, and Argu-
ments of Council. The Jury in the Case
Returned The following Verdict (to wit:)
"We The Jury find for the Defendant," by rea
Jeremiah Riordan foreman, it is Therefore
Decreed by the Court that said Defendant
go hence, and that Plaintiff pay Costs
of Suit - Taxed at - $4.10 Therefore execu
tion Remains

Given under my hand &
Seal this 25th August 1860

Aug 20 Notice for an appeal
23 Deposited Costs paid

O R Blakemore Clk
By MMc

1.72

State of Texas as County of Harris
Brazoria Inno Court Sup Dist

Alex. Brookshantz
vs
Holmes Stutesman

Debt On Note $105.00

Be it remembered that
Said Plaintiff filed as complaint Defts-
1860 Note of Hand for $1.03.10
Aug. 14 Summons issued Ret the 14th Aug 1860 Returned
On the 20th at 9 A.M.
20th And now at this time the Summons having
returned Issued Comes the Plaintiff by
His Defendants Here Called Comes
It is Therefore adjudged that Plaintiff
Recover of Defendant His Debt of One
Hundred & Five Dollars Debt with
Costs of Suit Taxed at $1.40 c.
Also Garnishee issued against Brown & Rawley
Returnable Ret the 20th Aug 1860 at which time Comes
Sff & 20 the said Garnishees & Answer that they are Indebted
Sff & 20 in the sum of Nothing to said Defendants
Given under My hand and
Seal this Ret 20th Aug. 1860

Aug 22 Affa issued — Sff.
" 23 Notice of Appeal

W B Clements Clk

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe County, } ss.
in Probate Court-Gregory District }

Jacob Cribbs
vs.
L. Rosenbaum & Chr. Erick } Debt-on Note dated
April 12th/60-$ 200.00

Be it remembered That said Plaintiff filed
his Complaint, Defendants Note of hand
for $200.00 & Summons issued on the 10th
day of August-1860. To the Sheriff of said County
Returnable on the 20th day August-1860, at-
9 Oclk. A.M.. And now at this time the Summons
being Returned Served, Comes the Plaintiff
the Defendants, though called Come not. It is
therefore adjudged That said Plaintiff recover
of said Defendants the Sum of Two Hundred
Dollars, with Costs of Suit-Taxed
Therefore Execution issue
Given under my hand & Seal
this 20th August-A.D.1860

Clfs 8 20
Shff 4 20

Aug 23rd Fifa issued
" 31 Returned Satisfied

L. W. Holmes Clk
W. M. C.

and Made on Sale of property of C. Erick
Twenty two Dollars Shffs Cost on Execution
fourteen Dollars & sixty Cents. Wm Hogan Shff
Execution issued July 27 1861 $1.00

For Value received I do hereby
transfer assign and set over unto
Wm Randolph the above judgment
and authorize him to collect the
same

Jacob Cribbs

Sept. 16th 1861 Alias Ex. issued
" 23d Returned Satisfied
Sale of Property

L. J. Noble Clk

174.

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe County } ss.
In Miners Court Gregory District

C J Perkins for the use of M Thurston
vs
Sylvester & Co

Sylvester & Co
vs.
[illegible] } Action Note Dated Feb.
[illegible] } 25th /60 For $150.00
Damages — 50.00

Be it remembered That said Plaintiff filed as his Complaint. Defendants Note of Hand For The Sum of $150.00 —

Aug 15th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County, Returnable on the 20th day of August, A.D. A.M. A.D. 1860, and now at this time, the Summons being returned served the Defendants Name Cannot be found. It is therefore adjudged that Plaintiffs recover of Defendants the Sum of One Hundred and fifty five Dollars. with Costs of Suit Taxed at $8.20
Shff 3.20 $12.40 Thereupon Execution remains —
Given under My hand and Seal this the 21st day of August A.D. 1860

Aug. 23 Fifa issued

C C B Sclimmitt C C

Sept 20 Recd on the above Judgment of the Shff $59.00 — & Co on Stay of Ex —

Oct. 22 Recd on the above Judgment of the Shff $8.74

Territory of Kansas County of Arahoe
Mining Court of Gregory District

M Storms & Co Spruce
of B H Chase
vs
Jas B Shearer } Debt On Note dated April 23rd 1860 for $173.34
Interest — 4.50 $178.34

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his complaint against Defendant this Note
1860 aforesaid Dis entered —
Aug 23 Summons issued returnable On the 27 inst —
27 And now the Summons being returned duly
executed the plaintiff. the Defendant Having
Called comes not. It is therefore adjudged
that said plaintiff recover of Defendant
the Sum of $178 34/100 Debt, with Costs
of Suit Taxed at $10.60. Thereupon
Def. 8.20 Execution Remains —
3.40
Given under my hand
Seal this the 27th Augt 1860
Def: 15.00 Execution issued
X
E K Clements J P
M C
Nov 23 Rec'd of D H H Storms $6
Executions returned Two dollars
& Sheriffs fee collection $1.00 to Lazens

1,76

Territory of Kansas County of
Arapahoe Probate Court Gypsy District

D. B. Taylor
vs
Sheff of Sump Gulch
Replevin

Be it remembered that
said plaintiff by his Agent John B Robinson
filed Affidavit Bond & Complaint against
1860 Defendant —
Aug 21 Thereupon the writ of Replevin with Summons
issued Returnable On the 27th inst —
27. And now the said writs being returned
Served. Comes the parties. When Defendant
filed his plea to the jurisdiction of the
Court, which was sustained —
Thereupon the Defendant prays leave to
10 50 Property remanded to the custody of the
Sheff 8 20 Taken from whom the ~~Defendant~~ says Cattle
and Laborers ~~Security~~ Pledged to him
for the costs of suit & fees &c
$26.70 — against the Plaintiff
Summons my hand &
Seal this 27th Aug A D 1860
Sept 4 Disbursements
[illegible]

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
In the District Court Fourth Judicial District

John C. Casner,
vs.
C. P. Spades, } Debt on Note dated August 16th 1860. For $35.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed as his Complaint, Defendants Note of hand For $35.00

Aug. 22d Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County. Returnable on the 3rd September A.D. 60 at 9. A.M. and now at this time, the Summons being returned Served, Comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant Thereto Called Comes not. It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the the Sum of "Thirty five Dollars" ($35.00) with Costs of Suit - Taxed at - $10 60 — Therefore Execution issues

Sept 6 Fifa issued

Given under my hand & Seal this 28th day 1860
C. B. Clements Clk
By Mill

Thos. Cullom 1 Lt
Mr. Simmons 2d
Geo. Haywood 3d Sgt
J. S. Young 4 Pvt
E. H. Baxter 5
Geo. Armstrong 6 Pvt
Wm. Clark 7 Pvt
Jas. Dooley 8 Pvt
Levi Wilcox 9 Pvt
E. Johnson 10
Chas. Marsh 11 Pvt
M. Barnes 12
R. S. Whipple 13 Sgt
G. A. Lewis 14 Sgt
W. F. Carter 15 Sgt
A. G. Elder 16 Sgt
D. H. Stockbridge 17 Sgt
John Osborn 18 Pvt
19 Pvt
20 Sgt

Surgeon Gen of Hartford Ct
A. Woodruff
Asst. Surg
Chief Warden
Chas R. Pitkin
C. W. Pitkin
C. N. Pitkin
C. N. Pitkin

C. N. Pitkin
C. N. Pitkin
C. N. Pitkin

Peterson
W Lake
Jas Estes
A Williams
John Areka
Lewis Areka
English Bill
John Lemmon

Trial 5 00
1 00
2 00
2 00
50
50
2 50
12.50
1.50
1 50
15.50

19.6 0
63
23
48.60

18 Jurors

9.00 Mileage

Witnesses Sworn

178

Territory of Texas
County of Arapahoe Dist

Gregory District
vs
Myers Wade & Booth
Breach of the peace

Aug 22 Be it remembered that Seth Sabb filed his
affidavit against Defendants for a Viola
1860 of the laws of the District - Thereupon
Aug 22 A Warrant issued for the arrest of said
Parties to answer said complaint
which was duly Served & Defendants
brought up for trial. Defendants plead
the general issue. And a Jury
who were empannelled & Sworn - &
after hearing the Evidence & the parts &
prosecution & Defendant Retired to Dispose
the Cause for want of Sufficient Evidence
It is therefore adjudged that Defendant
go hence without day & pay the Costs
Costs of Suit & fees $ 18 65.80 Thereupon
Etc entire remains -

Given under my hand
and Seal this the 22d Aug 1860

Aug. 30th Fifa issued

Clk Blakemore Clk

Sentry of Kaieteur County of Essequibo
Intrs Court Supy District

Guss Williams
vs
Wm Hull & R Stladd

Assumpsit for one
Bay Horse last on Rand
at 4 Mile Hare $ 300.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
by A E Maythew file his Complaint
against Defendants as above &
Summons issued returnable as the
27th Aug A D 1860 — And now by the
agreement of parties Cause continued to
29th at 9 Am — And now Come the parties
& Jurors sworn the Court, who after
hearing the Evidence & the parties —
Consider that the Defendants go hence
without day & Plaintiff pay Costs of
Suit taxed at $ 22.60 Thereupon Execu
tion —

Given under my hand and
Seal this 29th day Aug 1860 —

Sept 6 Fifa issued —

Ex B Clements
J P M C

180

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe County
in Mitchs Court Gregory District } ss.

A. Abbeney & Snow }
vs. } Debt on Note dated July
George W. Briggs } 10th /60 For $ 39.85-

Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs filed as their Complaint, Defendents Note of Sand. For — $ 39 85-

1861
Aug 29 And now Comes the Parties, Defendent waiving all process of Summons, And Confesses Judgment in favor of Plaintiffs For said Amount. It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiffs recover of Defendent the Sum of $ 39 85-. And costs of Suit taxed at- $ 6 50

Ex. $ 6.50

Therefore Execution issues
Given under my hand &
Seal this 31st August 1860
A. Abbeney & Co
pr J. M. C — A.A.B. Clk.

Execution June 28 1861, 100 lbs.
" " 25 Pillows, Bedding & wearing apparel
" " 25 Pillows, Bedding & wearing apparel

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
Miners Court of Ingom District

Norris N. Bell }
vs } Assumpsit for Labor $52.72
Sayre & Dodd }

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed
1860 his complaint against Defendants —
Aug 21 Summs issued returnable on to 27th at 9, am
27 And now the Summs being return served
cause the parties & by agreement cause continued
to the 3 Sept 1860 — And now cause the parties
by their attorneys. the Defendants now came
not. It is therefore adjudged that
said Plaintiff recover of Defendants
the sum of fifty two Dollars and
Seventy two Cents Debt & Damages
Sept 8 20 with Costs of Suit & Stayed at $16.80
" 2 60 Thereupon Execution remains —
Given under my hand and
Seal this the 3rd Sept AD 1860

Sept 6 Fifa issued —
" 26 Returned Nulla Bona, levy & Clements Deputy
Nov. 3 Alias ifa issued 23d Returned Nulla bona
Nov 28 Pluries issued Returned
June 2 1861 Dec 22 Execution issued Returned Nothing made
" " Credit of 26.90 by Order

All persons are hereby notified that we
Post & Mason are the attorneys for
the Pff in the above entitled cause
and that our fees of $30. is due and unpaid
and we claim $30 of the above judgment as
a lien for our fees Witness our hands
this 21st day of March AD 1861 Post & Mason

June 20th Fifa issued 6th time
July 10th Returned Nothing made
Aug. 13th Transcript sent to En

Sheriff of Travis County
of Orphans Mins Court Hyp Plat=

J J Burnett
vs
Mich Dalton & Popes
Debt On Note dated
Aug 9th 1860 $275.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff
filed his Complaint against defendants
as above dated — Thereupon Summons
1860 issued returnable on the 27th day Aug 1860
Aug 27 And on the return term hereof returned
came the parties. Defendants filed
their Answer & Affidavit for Continuance
Each of which were Overruled. And
Judgment rendered in favor of
Plaintiff for the sum of $275.00
and that plaintiff pay the Costs
of suit & $12.80 Thereupon Execution
Sept 2.40 Received

Witness my hand and
Seal this the 27 Aug A D 1860

Sept 3 Fifa issued Lee Blakemore Clk

" 13 The within Cause is settled
" by the Costs of Plff
" Receipt & Discharge Clk
Dismd

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Arapahoe Probate Court Leavenworth Dist }

Kehler & Patton
vs
B. F. Hannon
Debt - On Note & Acct $47.38

Be it remembered that Said plaintiff filed
1860 as complaint Defendants Note & Acct
Aug 2d Summons issued returnable On the 3d Sept 1860
And now at this time come the Defendant
and a default and judgment upon the same
It is thereupon agreed that plaintiffs
recover of Defendant the Sum of Forty
Seven Dollars and Thirty Eight Cents
with Costs of Suit Taxed at $10.40c
Life Sheriff 2.40 Thereupon the execution remained
Given under My hand and
Seal this 3rd Sept A.D. 1860

Clerk Probate Court

Sept. 15th Writ issued.
Oct 23d Returned satisfied in full
" - Execution issued
Sept 3d 1861 Returned Nulla Bona

John H. Kehler
Plff

184

Territory of Arizona County
of Arizona 3rd Jud Dist Court Sept Term

Geo. W. Harrison
vs
Godfrey & Clark
} Assumpsit. Amount
sued $98.70

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
1860 filed his Complaint against Defts
Sept 25 & Garnishee
Summons issued. Returnable on the
3rd Sept 1860 And now at this
time comes the plaintiff. the Defts
thereto called come not. the Summons
being returned Served. It is therupon
adjudged that Plaintiff recover of
Defendants the Sum of $98.70
with the costs of suit taxed at $16.80
Sept 9 60 Thereupon Execution remains
Sept 14 80
Writ 2.40 Given under my hand
and seal this the 3 Sept 1860

Sept. 15th Writ issued.

Lev Clements
Sheriff

Oct 12 Defts returned nothing
with costs to Pltf $16.00 Sheriffs Costs $16.00

Rec'd the above Costs of Lemuel Olson
March 11th 1861,
Sheriffs Fees Paid

I pass for the above debt & Costs to James
H Olson for value Received Mar 11th 1861
Geo. W. Harrison

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Miss Court Supry District

John B Sefaer
Vs
Levi Stilwell & Rogerson

Debt Am'o $23.25/100

Be it remembered that Said plaintiff filed his Complaint against Defendants on an Apc for Sabus Thampus summons issued On the 27th Aug 1860. returnable on the 3rd Sept 1860 also Garnishee process issued against Mrs Scott & Co returnable Same time

1860 Sept 3 And now at this time Come the plaintiff the Defendants have Called Come not. It is therefore adjudged that plaintiff recover of Defendants the Sum of $23.25 Debt & Damages with Costs of Suit Taxed at $16.40. Thampus Executions summons

$6.60 Paid

Given under my hand and Seal this the 3rd Sept A 1860

John G. Fitzpatrick issued under J. Wilson Le B Clerk

J Wilson

The plaintiff in the above case acknowledges that Rodgerson has not Satisfied for any part of said Judgement

J Wilson
J.P.C.

1.86

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss. Court Supreme Court

A. Conn Plaintiff

vs

Hobbs Shutteramus Defendants Note $200—

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed as compliant Defendants Note for the above amount—

1860
Aug— 15—Thereupon Summons issued returnable On the 20th Aug A.D. 1860— And now to wit the day & term last aforesaid came the plaintiff & Court Continued to the 27— and now comes the plaintiff & the defendant thereto called comes not It is therupon adjudged that plaintiff recover of Defendants the sum of

Efc 8 60 Two Hundred Dollars Debt & Dam-
4 20 ages to gether with Costs of Suit taxed at $12 60

Thereupon Execution remains—

Given under my hand and seal this the 27th Aug 1860—

Aug. 22d Writ issued

Sept 6th Writ returned—

Clerk Colorado Co

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Original Miss Court Leav. District

David A. Young
vs
Chs Elsner } Affidavit. Order for
Writ & Summons. Damages $115.00

Be it remembrd that said plaintiff filed his
1860 - compleanit against Dependent = also his
Aug 23 Affidavit & Bond for Attachment & Garnishee
which Attachment & Garnishee issued returnable
on the 27th Aug 1860 = And now at this time said
process being returned into Court the parties
when Cause Continued to the 3 - sept - and then
Continued to 4th sept 1860 at 1 Oc P.M. And
now Comes the parties & jond issue to the Court
who after hearing the Evidence in the Cause
adjudge that said plaintiff recover of Deft -
Cf-15.70 the sum of $45.00 Damages with Costs of
Shff 5.50 Suit Taxed at $27.20 Thereupon Execution
Mt-7.00 remains -

Given under my hand &
seal this 4th Sept 1860 -

Sept 6th
Writ issued, Returned
Nihil 10' Nulla Bona

C B Clements Clk
By Mc

Writ Cattle Hit Foster Sept 4th And now said Garnishee Rutter being called
Cavaugh Elsner Young Comes not. It appearing that said Garnishment
was duly served. It is adjudged that a Judgment be rendered against him for said debt
& Costs. Witness my hand this 4 sept 60
Sept 19 Writ issued

C B Clements Clk
By Mc

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Miss Court Clerks Office

John London
vs
David Barnes

Attachment for Wages taken $67.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his complaint against defendant on the 28th day of August 1860 and summons issued returnable on the 3rd day of Sept 1860.

Sept 3 And now comes the parties when defendant files Offset to the amount of $64.75. The court after hearing the Evidence in the case adjudges that said plaintiff recover of defendant the sum of $5.00 Dollars with Costs of Suit taxed at $15.90. Thereupon Execution issues.

Given under my hand and seal this the 3rd day of Sept 1860

Sept 6 Paid in full

E. B. Clements J.P.

Recd the above judgment in full this 11th day of September 1860

John London

Territory of Kansas County of Shawnee ss
And of the Miss Court Fourth District

Charles Ward
vs
Presly Talbot

Debt On Note dated
Aug 15th/60 — $200.00

Be it remembered that plaintiff files his Complaint against Defendant on promissory Note dated as above —
1860 Aug 27 Summons issued returnable. On the 3rd Sept 1860 — by agreement Continued to the 7th inst — and now comes the parties. Defft files his answer & joins issue — After hearing the Evidence in the cause. it is adjudged that plaintiff recover of Defft the sum of $200.00 Debt & Damages with costs of suit Taxed at $10.60 —
Witness my hand & seal this 7th Sept AD 1860 —
Sept 7 Notice of Appeal
" " Perfected and filed 17th Sept in the 17th at 1 P.M.
C.B. Blemmons Clk
J.M.L.

And now comes the parties. a Jury of (12) men is empannelled & Sworn who after hearing
Cf 10 60 the Evidence in the cause. and instructions
Aff 200 00 the Court returned a Verdict in favor of [redacted]
Jury 18 00 Defendant. It is therefore adjudged that said
Sht 6 00 Defendant go hence without day and pltff
pay Costs of suit taxed at $8.60 — Thereupon
Execution remains —
Given under My hand and Seal
this 17th Sept 1860

C.B. Blemmons Clk
J.M.L.

1.90

Territory of Kansas County
of Douglas } ss Comm Cause Injy Writ

Wyles Smith & Wm McClain

vs

Jas. H. Hereman & C } Assumpsit for am
Attys fee $2,50.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
filed their complaint against Defendants
1860 as above stated —
Aug 30 Summons issued. Returnable On the 3d
Sept 1860 — And then Continued to the
7th inst. And now comes the parties
and Jurors issue to the court who
after hearing the Evidence in the Cause
adjudged that said Plaintiff recover
of Defendant the Sum of Two Hundred
and fifty Dollars with Costs of
Suit Stated at $ 28.60 — That Execution
Etc. 12.70 remain Given under my hand
Sh'ff 9.90 and seal this the 7th
Writ 6.00 day of Sept. A.D. 1860 —

Wm B Clements Clk

Sept 8 Notice for appeal —
" 9 Perfected and
" 17 Set for trial at 9 O.M.

Wm

And now comes the parties. a Jury of
(12) Men are empannelled & Sworn who
after hearing the Evidence in the
Kimberlin Cause Returned a Verdict in favor of the
Brazee Plaintiff for the Sum of $ 250.00 Debt
Thomas with Costs of Suit Taxed at $ 37.60
Gray Execution remains
Lords Given under My hand and
Etc 15.00 seal this the 17th Sept 1860
Writ 12.00

Wm B Clements Clk

Sept 19 Fifa issued $1.00

Returned. Property bid in by Plff

Plff. No Money has Paid to me

Thos Lyons Sheff

Territory of Kansas county of Arapahoe
Minis Court Gugny District

Seat & Paste
vs
J H & P Mathews

Dibt on a/c — $ 50.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed
1860 his Complaint against Defendant —
Aug 29 Simms issued returnable On the 3d Sept 1860
Sept 3 And now the Summs being returned
Cause the Plff came continued to the
7th and now at this date came
the Plaintiff the Defendant came
not. It is therupon adjudged that
said Plaintiff recover of Defendant
the sum of $ 50.00 Debt Damages &
Costs of suit Taxed at $ 12.60 Thereupon
Clc 8,20 Execution remains —
Sff 4.40 Simms returned Executed
and served this 7th Sept 1860 —

Sept. 11th Fi Fa issued — Returned Executed

1.92

Territory of Kansas County of
Arapahoe Prob Court Copy Docket

D. N. Strange
vs
Jas. Eggers

Debt On Note dated July 11th 1860 —— $155.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed as complaint Defendants Note
1860 above discussed=
Aug 27 Summons issued. Returnable On the 3rd Sept in and for the County aforesaid Defendant Came Constable to the 8th ult & Aug, and made Return that plaintiff, the Defendant Could Come not It is thereupon adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of One Hundred and Sixty Dollars Debt & Damages with Costs of Suit Dated A.D 1860 Thereupon
Sept 5 Execution issued
Sept 20

Given under my hand and Seal this The 8th day of Sept A.D 1860 [illegible]
C. J. McCarmick
J.P.C.C.

Sept. 11th Fifa issued
Oct 6 Return Nulla Bona
Aug. 29th Transcript sent to Nevada

Territory of Kansas County of
Arapahoe Probate Court Leavy Dist

Geo. W. Harrison
vs
Wm. A. Williamson
Debt on Note $90.00

Be it remembered that plaintiff filed
as complaint-Defendants Note
Thereupon Summs issued returnable
on the 3-Sept 1860. And now the
Summs having returned. Cause
continued by agreement to the 8th inst
And now comes the parties & jointly
to the Court. Who after hearing the
Evidence in the cause, adjudge that
said plaintiff recover of said
Defendant the sum of $90.00 Debt
& Dam- with Costs of suit
taxed at $16.80. Thereupon Execution

Ofc 1260 remains
Shff 420

issued in due form of law
and sealed this the 8th Day
of Sept A.D. 1860
Ler Blakemore Clk

Sept. 11th Writ issued.
Sept. 21st Returned nothing made
Shff fees $16.80
Received the Sheriff fees on the above
of James H. Osborn Sheriff Co. Marion
Kansas Territory March 11th 1861 Wm. Gleason Dpt Shff
I transfer the above act to Dr. Hakeman
for value received March 11th
For Gus Pearson

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Mino Count Supy Dist

Hugh. Carmen
vs
Thos. H. Hunter
Assumpsit for money had & Received &c &c — $ Damages

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his Complaint against Defendant for Money had & Received &c=

1860 Summons issued to the Sheff of said
Aug 28 County &c this day Returnable &c &c
3d Sept 1860 as Complaint to Joseph Bates
and now comes the parties. and by agreement Cause
Continued to the 7th at 9, am and
now Comes the parties. upon which
Plaintiff asks a Continuance on
Affidavit Granted On Terms — Costs
Cost 50 80 of Continuance $33,60 — and Cause Stands
Sh ff 50 20 to the 13th at (9.) am And now Comes
the parties and jurors sworn to the Issues
Cost Paid by Note Sept 13th 1860 herein & after hearing the Evidence in the
Cause Consider that said Plaintiff
recover of Defendant the Sum of
$ 399,35 with Costs of Suit taxed
at $100,10 Thereupon Execution remains
Given under my hand
and Seal this the 13th Sept
AD 1860 Ler B Clemmons Clk

Sept 18 Fifa issued on the above Judgement Writ
Settled in full June 11th 1861 H. Carmen

" 27 And now comes the said Joseph E Bates. Garnishee
who upon Oath Says that on the day of Service of Garnishment upon
Joseph Bates vs the accompanying Writ he was Indebted in the
Defendant & about $ to $ and due on Claim by Copy
Lease to be paid in a certain note as testified and
that no Thereupon assume that the same stand subject to
the payment of the aforesaid judgement vs said
Oct 23 Fifa Jan Bates and that said Garnishee Bates pay to Comm into Court
subject to said Judgement Costs
Witness my hand and seal this 27th 1860
Ler B Clemmons Clk
Issued Fifa issued Returned
Satisfied

Grand Jr $3.00
Petit Jr 5.00
Summons — 1.00
2 Subpoenas — 2.00
Continuances — .60
Recognizances — 1.00
Transcripts 1.50
Costs — .80
Bench warrants — .50
2 Subpoenas $1.00 1.00
19.10

Attachments 6.80

Bench warrants 2 @ 3.00 6.00
$31.90
Old Costs 6.00
37.90

Wm Haskett
Wm Henry
Wm Howard

vicinity of Pecan Grove & County of Bexar
Justice of the Peace Court Eighth District

C C Paster & Uriah Belford
Vs
Curren & Sweet } Debt On Note dated Apr 26th 1860 for — $196.90

1860 Be it remembered that said plaintiffs filed
Aug 29 a Complaint Defendants Note of hand as above
Sept 3 Summons issued. Returnable On the 3rd Sept/60
and now comes the parties Defendants pleads to
the Jurisdiction of this Court. Overruled.
Whereupon Defendant files his answer. Setting
in Issue the Consideration of said Note. & Cause
Continued to the 8th Sept. And now comes the
parties. When Defts asks a Continuance On
Oath. which is granted On payment of
Costs Taxed at $ 6.00 — and Causes Stands
to the 11th at 10 A M

Sept 11 And now comes the parties and issue
issue to the Court. Who after hearing
the Evidence in the Cause. adjudge that
81/4 6 80 said plaintiffs recover of Defendants
Sum of $ 9.50 Debt & Damages with Cost
of Suit Taxed at $ 37.90 Whereupon Execution
remains

Given under my hand and
Seal this the 11th Sept 1860.
C. B. Clements J P

Sept 11 Notice of Appeal

17 Fifa issued —

1861 Feby 22 Transcript

Price $ 5.00

Mutual Loan [illegible] Plaintiff

whining [illegible] of [illegible]

Miners Court of Grizzly District in case he fail

Judgment, or in Case the above Defendents,

to the same, then this obligation to be

void, otherwise to remain in full force

and

[illegible]

[illegible]

J. H. Kohler

[illegible]

[illegible]

upon the This Court. Executed.

1.96

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Ss. In District Court Sept Dest

C. B. Smart

vs

Edward Winchell

Summons for Debt Dam $60.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff has complied with the Law regulating Attachments in this Court against said Defendant. to Sheriff Debt $60.00

1860 Aug 10 Attachment & Summons issued returnable on the 13th day of Sept A.D. 1860

" 20 Garnishee process to Wm Greer

Sheriff 60 "

State of Kansas County of } ss
Graphical Miss Court Leyn Dist }

James Campen
vs
Doc Ludd et al

Ejectment for claim and dedt boke Lund &
Down — — —

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by his atty filed his Complaint in Ejectment against Defendants

Sept 6 Summons issued Returnable on 10th Sept 1860 — And now at this time comes the parties Dft. On affidavit as to Change of Venue. granted & Cause sent to Neosho for trial upon Explosions — Costs $6.40 —

Given under my hand & seal this 10th Sept AD 1860.

Cfe 4.00
Sh Dft 2.40 Transcript given

L. B. Clements Clk
J. Mills

" 12 Costs paid —

1,98

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Miss Court 2nd Dist.

H. Bennett
vs
J. H. Woodworth

Debt On Aff. $514.33

Be it remembered that said plaintiff
1860 filed his Complaint against Defts.
Sept 8th Summs issued, Returnable On the 10th 1860
" 10 And now at the Summons being returned Com-
comes the plaintiff, the Defendant Hav-
ing had Com- rd. Thereupon, After which, It is
Thereupon adjudged, That Said Plaintiff recover
of Said Defendant the Sum of $ 514.33 &
Costs of Suit - Taxed at $10.60 Thereupon
Cfc 8.20 Execution Awarded -
Shff 2.40

Given under my hand &
Seal This 11th September 1860

Sept 15 Writ issued
Oct 12 Return Received
Jan 8 Transcript $3.50

H. Bennett Pltf
J. H. Woodworth Deft

Territory of Kansas, Anderson County } ss.
In the Hedges Lyet Clements in Probate Court, Gayoso District.
& B. Sackett:

U.S. } Def't on Note Dated Aug. 9th
Fine Dar } 1860. For — $200.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed as his Complaint, Defendants Note of Said For $200.00

Aug 31st 3rd Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County. Returnable on the 11th day of September A.D. 1860. And now, the Summons being returned Served. Comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant though called Comes not. It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the Sum of Two Hundred Dollars, Together with Costs of Suit Taxed at $12.40 Thereof Execution remains —

Pd Tho. Lyle Sh'ff Anderson Co
Dec 3 Chas. Hudgens
Sept 17 1860

Given under my Hand & Seal this 11th Sept. A.D. 1860
L. B. Clements Clk
By Clk

B. Sackett is to recover $200.00
of the other Judgment 6.20
Hedges Galt & Clements are to recover $76.00
and Each party to pay 1/2 of the Costs
taxed at $12.40 1/2 of which is 6.20

Sept 17 Writ issued —

Judgment
Recovered on the Note above
Five Dollars Costs
December 1st 1860

L. B. Clements Clk.
By A. L. Clements

X

Trial -	500
Lewis	100
Gamahu	100
Attachment	150
2 Bands	100
1 Affo	50
2 Subpoes	200
Filing	90
10 Writs	2.00
Certificate	30
Docket	150
Costs	50
	$17.20

Supt

Lewis	240
Sub	420
Gamahu	220
attachment	240
Subpoe	460
	$15.80
	80
Writ	80
	23.80 $23 80
	17 20
Mr J Barker	$41.00
Mr Mael	
G H Brazer	
M Lewis	
C C Pate	
H Atherton	
Mr Sherman	
Cap Parker	

D.Vc

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Miss Court 6th Div

Jacob Goodrich for the use of George Whitney vs N. L. Victor

Dismissed On or Agreement Dum 9/4/6.89

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by his Attorneys filed his Complaint on the Agreement of parties against Defendant

1861 Also his affidavit for Sundry Attachment

Aug 23 Summons issued. Returnable On the 3 Day of Sept A D 1861.

" 31 Attachment issued directed to the Sheriff of said County.

Sept 3 Endorsement by Agreement Cause Continued to the 11th Sept A D, 61. Order no Cause to parties. Bond given to the Court to abide decision of the Evidence in the Cause. adjudged that Defendant pay Costs and that Plaintiff pay Costs of Suit Taxed at $41.00 Thereupon Execution Issued

Sept 12 Paid Clerk $6.00 and Writ Dead This the 11th Sept A D 1861

Lot B Clemmons

Sheriff

County of Travis } ss
Graphic Mississippi Supy Dist.

A. J. Barnes
vs
Chas. Clipenger } Assumpsit Dam $167.00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff files in this Court the papers in said cause on change of Venue from Enterprise District upon which Notice issued to the parties returnable on the 12th Sept 1860 And upon the notice being returned Served on the said the Plaintiff the Defendant thereon called came into Court hearing the Evidence in the cause It is agreed & decreed that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of Fifty seven Dollars Debt & Damages with Costs of Suit $21.40

Whereupon Execution issues

Given under my hand and Seal of this the 13th Sept A.D. 1860

Sept 12 Writ issued

Clk Bl County

Oct 2 Paid 78¢

Received the above judgment in full
Oct 2nd A.D. 1860 — A. J. Barnes
Cost Paid Mrs Clipenger

202

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Miss Court Sitting Dist 3

Martin Mattes 3
vs. 3
W. A. Warren 3 Replevin for recovery of one Light Grey Pony; Described as follows, to wit; 4

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff Filed his affidavit, Bond & Complaint, against Defendent, for recovery of "Pony" above described,

Sept. 1st Whereupon the writ of Replevin, with Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County, Returnable on the 10th Sept. A.D. 1860, and now by agreement cause continued to the 12th Inst.

" 12th And now comes the parties & joined issue, and after hearing the evidence in the case it is adjudged that said Plaintiff recover

Costs $9 75 the possession of the property in question, and
Sheriff 10 50 that Defendent pay costs of suit, Taxed at
$20,25 — Thereof Execution &c remains —

Given under my hand & Seal this 12th Day of Sept. A.D. 1860

Seal

J. M. C

Sept 14 Hiram Jewett
F. Hubbard et al
Fisk & Townsend

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe County

Nov 27th Be it remembered that on this day F. Hubbard Agent of Wm H. Hurlbut & Henry Farris filed their petition praying that the Judgement on the opposite side be set aside & that a new trial be granted thereupon & injunction. Summons issued returnable Dec 3d 1860 & injunction. Summons returned served cause continued from time to time & set for hearing on Dec 24th 1860 at which time said cause set for hearing

Dec 24 & now comes Defendents by C. C. Post & having filed a Demurr asked that cause be dismissed which was sustained

Court 14 00 Therefor it is ordered & adjudged by this court that the said
Coy 2 40 ordered to be sold to pay the costs of suit & that the proceeds be applied to the payment of a certain Mortgage in favour of Wm H. Hurlbut against said Plaintiff [illegible]

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe County } ss.
In Probate Court of Storey District

Geo Gist & Co, }
vs. } Application for Mechanics Lien
Henry Harris } on "Harris House" for Lumber furnished the same - Dr $ 113.79

Aug. 30th Plaintiffs filed their Affidavit and remembered that said Complaint against Defendant for above named Lien & Amount,
Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County, returnable on 3rd day of September 1860, A.D. 9 o'clk. A.M. and now continued to the 21 inst at 1 o'clk P.M. And now comes the parties and join issue to the Court who after hearing the Evidence in the cause adjudge & decree that said Plaintiffs recover of Defendant the sum of $113.79 Debt & Damages sustained & brought to be raised & levied out of Defendant in Mountain City. and that the same be and remain a good and Valued Lien upon the House mentioned in Plaintiffs Petition until paid with Costs of suit taxed at $ 69.50
Thereupon Execution issued
Sept 21 Writ of Appeal and Certificate the 21 day
Sept 24 Inspected & Approved A.D. 1860
Cause Continued Generally
Oct 8th Cause called up & Continued to
17 this date. and now comes the Parties whereupon a Jury was empanneled & sworn with the agreement that there verdict should be final the Jury after hearing the Evidence in the cause and the following Verdict to wit We the Jury find for the Plaintiff and assess his damage at $113.79 and that the same be a Mechanics Lien upon said House till paid Taxed at $38.60
Witness my hand and seal this 17th day of Oct A.D. 1860

Geo Gist & Co
vs.
Henry Harris
Judgment for Plaintiff
May 13th 1861
Sheriff's Fees
for Plaintiff

Sheriff's Fees
for Defendant

Clerk's Fees
for Plaintiff
Issuing Writ $ 1.00
Entering Appearance .50
Entering Judgment .50
Issuing Execution .50
Issuing Venire .50

204

Yesterday's proceedings in said cause
in writing read & signed & sworn to 3 fs.

Dr to Sundries

U.S. } Costs of suit,
Fed. Wagleworth } Services, $ 15.00

Sept 6th Stipulation filed that Plff's
Bill & Defts Answer & Exhibits
& Replication & Rejoinder & Depositions
& Exhibits & Testimony & Exhibits
& Depositions & Exhibits
& Depositions & Exhibits
& Depositions

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe County } ss.
In Probate Court of Gregory District }

Pool & Brown }
vs. }
Col. Gregory } Debt on account $ 30.10

Be it remembered That Said Plaintiffs filed Their Complaint, against Defendant for Services —

Aug. 29th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returned Executed the 10th September 1860 A.D. Wm. Neal, Sheriff.

Sept. 10 And now comes the Plaintiffs & the Defendant Therein called Come not, & it thereupon satisfied, That said Plaintiffs Account against said Defendant the sum of

Aug 7 50 $ 30.00, with Costs of Suit Taxed at $ 10.60

Writs for Execution & Venire

Sept 2 80 Given under my hand & Seal this 19th day Sept. 1860

Sept 28 Writ issued — C. B. Clemmons Clk

Oct 12 Returned Satisfied in Full —

" 11 Came the Plaintiffs & Defendant by Attorneys and Defendant Confesses Judgment to Plaintiffs for $ 150.00 in the hands of Mr Scott belonging to Defendant — It is therefore

Oct 2 00 Ordered That Mr Jenne be Judgment Debtor & Judgment

Nov 11 2 40 of said Judgment Costs Oct 13th A.D. 1860

Writs for Mynhard C. B. Clemmons Clk

Rec'd this Judgment in full
Nov. 8th 1860

Chas Post

216

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe Co. } ss.
Mined Court Gregory District }

H. W. Pitts,
vs.
Hezekiah Davenport } Debt on State Ac $75.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff Filed as his Complaint Defendants Promissory Note,

Aug. 15th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County, Returnable on the 20th Day of August A.D. 1860 at 9 Oclock A.M. and now on this date the Summons being returned Served. Cause Called. Defendant Called Come not. It is therefore Considered that Plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of $75 on Debt and Costs of Suit taxed at $10.40

Clerks fee $6.00
Sheriff 2.40

Given under my hand and Seal this 20th Aug A.D. 1860

Clerk

Sept 26 Ps Clerk to Cost $1.00

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe County } ss.
in Probate Court of Said County

C. C. Spades }
vs. }
L. Peters & J. Casner } Damages on Contract
} For the Sum of $100.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his Complaint against Defendants for Damages on breach of Contract. —

Oct. 24th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 3rd day of September A.D. 1860. at 9 o'clock A.M., And now comes the Pltff & Cause continued to the 10th inst. and Garnishee process against L. D. Spades. who appears & says that he is due said Defendants the Sum of $35.00 —

Oct 16 And now comes Pltff and the Defendants Come and Comm[enc]e Thereupon Pltff introduced his Evidence in support of his Cause — after which it is adjudged that Pltff recover of Defendants the Sum of Sixty Dollars Damages with Costs of Suit taxed at $14.60 —

Oct 10 20
Sept 4 40

Thereupon Etc execution issue Returnable Forthwith
Sept 16 A.D. 1860
Let Elementary
Mills

Oct 10 Fifa issued

L. D. Spades a Garnishee upon Oath says he is due Defendants the Sum of $35.00 — which it is adjudged shall be Subject to the above Judgment — Returnable Forthwith & Read this 16th Sept 1860 A. Elementary

Oct. 12 Rec'd of L. D. Spades $35.00 Less Costs
& K. & G. [illegible]

Territory of Kansas Court of
Arapahoe Probate Court Leavy District

Benjamin Cleary
vs
Warren W Hunter } Debt On note due to
26 Aug 1860 for $1.65.98

Be it remembered that said plaintiff
Complied with the Law regulating Attachments
Against Said Deft and issued to the Sheriff
of the above Court, also Garnishee Process
against R. Joseph Bates, Leo Eastman and
1860. Lysander Eastman & Wm W Hannum
Aug 9 Which was duly served. Attachment and
Garnishee Summons returnable Au-
the 13th Aug 1860 at 9 Oclk

13 And now comes the Deft and
asks that Attachment be discharged. On
account that the note or Cause of action
is not due, Sustained as to the Garnish
And Cause is Continued to the 26 Aug 1860

26 And now comes the plaintiff the
Defendant there Came Also
It is thereupon adjudged that said plff
Cost $7.50 recover of Deft the sum of $1.65.98
Sheff $2.50 together with Cost of Suit Taxed at
$22.70 Thereupon Execution remains
Limmediately may issue
and Sealed this the 26th
day of Aug A D 1860

Sept 17 Fifa issued - Returned
Nov 14 Alias " not found.
1861 Aug 8 10th Received on the above judgment
of Dollars Twenty nine dollars and
ninty Seven Cents $29.97
A Wilson Recd on above
judgment Nov 15, 1861 $29.97 Chas Elliott Plffs Atty
March 1862 Alias Returned - and on the written

State of Kansas County of
Arapahoe Mms Leonard Gypdust

George W Stillings
vs
James McKinnon

Debt on note dated the
23 Aug 1860 $80 00

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed as
1860 Complaint against Defendants
Sept 5th Summons issued returnable On the 10th
10 Aug Nov at this date the Summons having
returned Simed the plff appeared the
Defendants thereto called came not this
thereupon adjudged that said plaintiff
recover of Defendants the Sum of Eighty
Dollars Debt with Costs of suit taxed
Lfe 7 60 at $ 10 60 thereupon Execution Summons
Aff 3 00 Summons under my hand and Seal
this the 11th Sept 1860 A.L.
Ler Blakemore Co
Sheriff

Sept 26th Fifa issued

Trial — — —	$ 5.00
Summons — —	1.00
3 - Subpoenas — —	3.00
- Filing papers —	1.30
Swearing Wit —	2.20
2 Continuance — —	0.60
3 - affd & Bonds — —	2.00
Docket — — —	1 50
Costs — — —	50
	$ 17.10

Shff Fees

Summons	$ 2.60
3 Subpoenas	8.60
Mileage Cent	4 02
	$ 15.20

	15.20
11 Writs	11.00
	43.30
	10
	$ 33.30

H Cunningham
J Schuster
Pete McGinn
C Mills
C Hansen
L. Tracy
all Miller
4 C Ch Mit

2

Texas County of
Chapultapec Mines Court Gulf Dist

James E Brown
vs
Ann Baldwin & Others

Bill in Equity for Partition
of Mill Claim on N. C. Cr.

Be it remembered that said plaintiff by
his attorney filed his Complaint against
Defendants for Partition of Mill Claim on
1860 Myth Clare Creek &c
Aug 22 Summons issued. Returnable on the 27th
27 Ans. not rec'd by agreement Cause Continued
to the 3rd Sept 1860 Ans. rec'd not by Agreement
Cause referred to the Court & Jane Clem
and Trial had. The Court after hearing
the Evidence in the Cause Consider
that Defendants go hence without
day. And that Plaintiff pay Costs
of Suit Taxed at $3,30 Thereupon
Ele Curiae &c &c &c

Ele Curiae &c &c &c
Ans. rec'd & Cause Continued by
Agreement this the 3rd Sept
A D 1860

Sept 17 Sifa issued
Oct 6th Returned Nulla Bona

Ls B Clemens Cl
Filed

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe Co. } ss.
in Probate Court of Gregory District }

W. B. Presby & Co, }
vs. } Ejectment!
J. McRoberts & Co } Damages — $1.000.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs by their Attorney H. A. Johnson, filed their Complaint against Defendant on the 10th day of September A.D. 1860 —

Sept. 11th. Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County, Returnable on the 17th September 1860 — And now the Summons being returned Served Upon the Defendant, and Files his affidavit for Change of Venue, which was Granted, and Cause sent to Burr Ka District for Trial, Upon Hon John Taylor with Costs $10 40 paid by Defts

Bond $500

Witness my hand and Seal of this 19th Sept A.D. 1860

Ter B Columns

J Mc

212

Pending in Kansas Arapahoe Co.
Mines Court of Gregory Dist. } ss.

C. C. Shades, }
A.S. } Attachment
D. Hobart. } Debt $100,00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
Filed his Complaint Against Defendant,
on the 4th September 1860.

Sept. 3rd Summons issued to the Sheriff of
Said County Returnable on the 10th
Day of September 1860,

And now the Summons being Returned
Served & Defendant appears & moves to dismiss the cause for reasons above set forth
& Defendant Files his Affidavit for Change of Venue,
Which Is Granted And Cause
Ordered Transferred before
Hon. John Gaylin, with Costs of Suit-
Taxed at $26.40

Given under my hand &
Seal this 18th day Sept. A.D. 1860.
C. B. Clemmer Clk

1860
Sept 18 Transcript Sent

" 26 And now comes the plaintiff & the Change
of Venue not being perfected the cause is
Set down for trial on the 2nd Oct 1860

Oct 2 Now comes the parties. Defendant files his
Motion to dismiss for causes assigned
which motion was not sustained
Than being no answer or further
Sheff. 25.20 defence. Judgment was rendered by default
Execution 1.00 and $40.00 & Costs against the
" 4 Damages. And now comes the parties. the
Court after hearing the Evidence in the cause
adjudge that Plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of

Oct 6th/60 $100.00 Damages with Costs taxed at $28.80
Given under my hand and seal
this 4 Oct 1860
C. B. Clemmer Clk

Issued Execution Oct 12/60
Returned Nov 8th/60 Nothing found in this county

Trial	$ 5.00
Attachment	1.50
Summs	1.00
2 Subpns	2.00
Affd	.50
Bond	.50
Docket	1.50
Writing Writ	1.00
Costs	.50
	$13.50

Sheriff's fees	
Attach	$ 10.40
Summs	5.20
Subpns	5.40
Mileage	11.60
	$ 32.60
	13 50
	$ 46.10

2600

2640.
2860
15.00

ty of Nances County a
fore Wm Court Brigg Justice

Court Costs $ 17.00

D)

d that said plaintiff
the Law regulating
Court Dept
Costs issued
Gazette 17 Sept 1860
ent of Costs said writs
ued, and Constable
County who after
idence in the cause
plaintiff recovered
sum of $17.00 with
Costs of $46.10 thereon
mains
n Testimony hereof I have
set my hand and
seal this the 15th
of Sept 1860
C B Clements JP
J P N C

J Mitchell vs J C
Wm R B Smock Dr

N. M. 28 To Mileage Road by—
Sheriff A. Howard 7 Days 1.50 $ 10.50
" Board for same 10.00
Be it remembered Road by said Smock
Complyed with, 29½ days at 1.50 — 44.95
45

1860 Attachments &
Sept 12 Summons & attachment issued &
made returnable On the 17 Sept 1860
" 15 Now by agreement of parties said writs
were returned Served. and Causes submitted to the Court who after
hearing the Evidence in the cases
adjudge that Plaintiff recover of
Def $13 50 Defendant the sum of $17.00 with
Pff 32 60 Costs of Suit Taxed at $46.10 thereon
Execution remains

Given under my hand
and seal this the 15th
Sept A D 1860
Sept 18 Hipa ifsuid Returned — C B Clements C C
J M C

2,14

X

Territory of Kansas County of [redacted] Comp Grgy Dist

Daniel Doyle
vs
Paine Sweeny

Debt On Ct $2500

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his Affidavit against Defendant also his Affidavit for Attachment Thereupon Summons & Attachment issued returnable Antle 17th inst 17th And now the Summons being returned Served. Comes the parties Antle Dft & Demurrer Judgment

It is therefore Considered that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of $35,00 with Costs of Suit Taxed at $. Thereupon Execution awarded

Given under my hand & Seal this the 18th Sept A.D. 1860

Wm Blackmer J.P.C.

Territory of Kansas County of } ss
Arapahoe Miss Court Gregory Dist 3

Pate & Mason
vs
Marcus Blanco } Damages — $40.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs filed their Complaint against Defendant, for Damages—

Sept. 12th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County, Returnable on the 17th Day of September A.D. 1860,

17 And now the Summons being returned Served, Comes the Plaintiffs, the Defendant Though called Comes not, It is therefore, adjudged that said Plaintiffs recover of Defendant the Sum of $40.00, with Costs

Def 8.60 of Suit - Taxed at - $12.60. Therefore Execution
Shff 4.00 Remains to be had—

Given under my hand &
Seal this 19th Sept. A.D. 1860

C.B. Schmerhorn Esq
Pine

2.16

In the District Court of Kansas County Territory of Colorado Sept Term

Grace — 500
Abb & Bro — 100
Clapsaddle — 100
Lewis — 100
Lodge — 100
4 Centuries 120
Whitney 52
$10.90

1860
Aug 1

Staff
Ryder $4 00
Lodge 4 20
820 8 20
$18,90

Levin for 13000 Shingles
8.00 per M $104.00

That said Plaintiff
as Bond for the costs
by him incurred, to recover
said Shingles in
case said recovery
should by appeal or
writ of Error or
otherwise be delayed
or prevented by
the said Defendant
to the 10th Sept
the date. when the
said writ proceedings
aforesaid or with
so that upon
the said writ by virtue
thereof, be returned
&c

Whereupon Judgment and
Decree this the 10th Sept 1860
Let Bill be dismissed

Sept 22 Writ of Error issued and
returned. Writ Shingles not
returned by Sheriff Case transferred to
page 3 (2.42)

Sessions of Pulaski County
Arkansas Court Eastern District

R. B. Adcock
vs.
J. Mitchell } Debt on acct. $ 31 77

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
1860 Filed his complaint against Defendant,
on the 15th Sept. 1860
Sept. 15th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said
County returnable on the 24th Inst.
Settled Oct 1860

Jacob Goodrich
vs
Truman Ritchie

Before the Municipal Court of Cayuga County
District of Columbia
Washington

218

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe Co
In Probate Court of Lykins District } ss.

J. D. Smith,
vs.
James Eggers, Deft. $ 37.25

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
1860 filed his complaint against Defendant,
as above.
Sept. 20th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said
County returnable the 24th Instant.
24 And now the Summons having been
duly served upon the Defendant
three days before the return day
It is therefore adjudged that
plaintiff recover of Deft
the sum of $ 37.25 with Costs
of Suit. Taxed at $ 10.60 Thereupon
Execution issues.
Cost $ 8 21 Given under my
Fees 2 40 hand and seal this the
24th Sept AD 1860
C. B. Clements Clk
Sept 28 Fi fa issued & Returned Nulla Bona
Oct 13

Oct. 23. Ord. mov. Corr. Pelton & Smith. Garnishee
in the above entitled Cause, by C. P. Pelton.
when oath says that said firm is due said
James Eggers, the sum of $ 29.00 on a due
Bill now in suit, which is adjudged by
the Court shall be subject to the above Judg-
ment — witness my hand & seal
this 23d October AD 1860
C. B. Clements Clk
Oct. 25 Fi fa issued
Nov 21 [illegible]
Sept 9th Returned Nothing found

X

219

Territory of Kansas, Aggatahoe County ss.
In Probate Court of Said County

D. C. Dance,
vs.
Henry Ferris, } Assumpsit. $14 33

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff Filed his Complaint against Defft. in assumpsit.

Sept. 14 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 17th September 1860

And now the Defendant being duly Sworn on the 18th Days and on the 24th, and Defaulted Entered

" 25 And now It is Considered that said Plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of $14,33 Thereupon Execution remains until Paid & costs $8.60

Sept 6 40
2 20

Sum received by my hand and Seal this 25 Sept A D 1860

L. W. Bleasmit Esq
Probate

2,20

Territory of Kansas Leavenworth County
in Probate Court of Leavenworth District ss.

Stevens & Co.
vs.
Simeon Hunt } Debt & case. } 7.25

Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs filed his complaint against Defendants as above —

Sept. 15th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 24th September A.D. 1860 —

" 24. And now the Parties coming by their Counsel, comes the Plaintiffs. The Defendants. Thereupon a Default Entered. It is therefore considered that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant, the sum

Oct 7. 20 of $ 7.25 and Costs of Suit. Issued &c-
3 40 & 1060.

Col; 5th Fi fa issued!

Given under my hand & Seal This 24th Sept. 1860
C B Clements J P

Rec'd on the above Judgment
$17.85 in full of the above Judgment & costs
Nov 26 1860 A Wilson Pltf
Nov 26 Rec'd of A Wilson $7.25 in full and
of the above Judgment
Stevins & Co
Received my costs Same Cents
$ 6.20 — Nov. 26th 1860 — C B Clements
Received my Cost $ 1.05 Cents Nov 26th 1860 C B Clements J P

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe County
in Probate Court of Gregory Precinct. 33.

Young & Cooper
& Co.
J. S. Sorenson } Debt on Note $ 28.00

1860 Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs
Filed their affidavit - Bond & Complaint -
Sept. 18 against - Defendant for attachment & Co -
Summons & attachment issued to the Sheff -
of said County Returnable on the 24th
Day of September AD 1860 - And now the
Summons being returned Served. Cause the
plaintiff. The Defendant thrice called came
not. It is therefore duly considered that
said plaintiff recover of defendant the
Cfs 8.00 Sum of $28.00 Debt and Costs $ 10.60
2.60 Thereupon Execution remains -

Given under my hand and
Seal this 25th Sept. AD 1860
Le Blumenthal J.P.
J. Mill

Oct. 5th Fifa issued.

Denver City Oct 22 1859

For Ranking Brick for Young &
Cooper Eight Dollars & Fifty Cts
John Owens

222

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe Co, } ss.
in Probate Court of Said County

Edward Kenyon
vs.
Wm Foster } Debt on Note $105.00
} Damages — " 10.00
$113.00

1860 Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed as his Complaint, defendants Note of hand dated April 5th 1860. for $105.00

Sept. 15 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said Co. Returnable on the 24th Sept. A.D. 1860

" 24 Summons now being returned Served. Comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant Three times Called Comes not. It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said Defdant. the sum of $113.00. with costs of Suit Legal at $1060- Therefore Execution remains -

Costs 8.00
Sh'ff 2.60

Sept 29 Fi fa issued

Given under my hand & Seal at Is 25th Sept. 1860
Lev B Clements
J P A C

Territory of Kansas, Arapahoe County } ss.
in Probate Court of Gregory District }

Wm J. Cozens,
vs.
George W. Brizee,
C. W. Fisk,
C. K. Bissell } Debt on Note dated
July 11th 1860 — $ 19 00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff Filed as his complaint Defendants Note as above —

Sept. 19 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said Co. Returnable on the 24th September A.D. 1860 —

" 24. And now the Summons being returned Served, Comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant Though called Came not: It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the Sum of $ 19.00 with Costs of Suit-Taxed at $ 10.60 — Thereupon Execution

Def. 8 00
Att 2 60 Remains, Given under my hand & Seal This 24th Day of September 1860

C. B. Clemmitt
J. P.

Oct. 3rd Fifa issued —
[illegible]

Price $3.00
off 1.00
2 Brush 2.00
4 Calyxes 4.00
2 Ammo 2.00
Leucing Jug .50
Do Oil .50
Visit — 2.40
Import 1.50
$18.90

Stuff
Mammt $4.60
(Hanger 4 Calyxes) 32.00 Pd.
(Domino 18
Do 18
91.90
Mat 5.00
96.90

John White
Doc Manely
Benjamin
Phelan
J J Ennis

2.24

Territory of Texas County of Travis Third Judicial District

Gregory District Court

vs

Joseph W. Robison

Complaint for Stealing Cattle

Be it remembered that A. S. Swoope Esq. Clerk of the District Court of Travis County filed this affidavit against Defendant for stealing Cattle — Thereupon warrant issued for the arrest of Defendant which was on the day Sept 1860. Returned Served

Sept 18 And now comes the parties. a Jury of (12) men duly Empannelled and Sworn who after hearing the Evidence in the Cause retired. And after due deliberation failed to make a Verdict. and thereupon were discharged by the Court. and Cause set down for trial On the 22nd at 9 Am

" 22 And now at this date comes the parties thereupon a Jury of (12) Men duly Empannelled and Sworn. the Cause then being called. the prosecution asked permission to Enter a Nolle pros- as to appearing to be no Evidence against Defendant. the Court dismiss and Costs of suit taxed against the said Clerk of Court $9.90 thereupon the Defendant was discharged

Witness my hand and seal this the 22nd Sept A.D. 1860.

A. S. Swoope Clk

1861

Mar 22 Fifty cents paid by Clk

Deputy of Travis County
Acapulco Justice Court Guy or District

John Hill
vs
William Austin

Replevin to recover
of tools. Value $25.00

Be it remembered that plaintiff
filed his complaint affidavit &
Bond in said Court & against said
1860 Defendant for writ of Replevin
Sept 11 Writ & Summons issued. returnable
On the 17th inst. And now the summons
& writ being returned served. Cause
continued to the 22nd ok J. Allen. And
now the. Cause being called come
the parties & join issue to the Court
Sept 11 50 who after hearing the Evidence in the
Sept 5 60 Cause, adjudged that Defendant, go
Writ 3 00 hence without day & plaintiff
pay costs of suit taxed at $20.10
thereof he cites issuing
Summons making copy had
answered this the 22 ond
Sept A.D. 1860
Wm B Clements JP

Sept. 26th Fi Fa issued
Oct 3 Return Habendas

" 31st Costs Paid in full

" Paid on the above Judgment $9.50

Some Rec'd Fifteen Dollars in full for the above
Mayhew Judgment
Trap Mountainity - Oct. 31st /60
Wm S Austin

226

Territory of Kansas County of
Arapahoe Times Court Sympsis—

H P Smith
vs
Wm Phouts
Debt $80.00

1860
July 12 Be it remembered that Plaintiff files
his Complaint against Defendant—
Thereupon Summons issues Returnable
On the 17th July 1860. And now came
Continued to the 20th day 1860 and
On the 15th day. Affidavit filed and
Attachment issued against the
goods. Chattels & Effects of Defendant.
Sept 1 And now said Attachment returned—
by Order of Plaintiff. & Cause
discontinued at Plaintiffs
Costs. Taxed at $15.05—Thereupon
Execution issues—
Sheriff 6.50 Whereupon my said
Sheriff 8.55 writ is returned this the 20th day
1860— August A D 1860
Aug 24th Fifa issued

Territory of Kansas County
Arapahoe Dists Court Sept Dist

A. Abell
vs
J. H. Woodworth

Debt due Sept 1860 for the
Sum of Debt & Int — $ 86 20

1860 Be it remembered that said plaintiff by
his attorney filed his Complaint Am
Defendants Note due as afore
Sept 13 Summons issued. Returnable On the
24th inst and now the Summons being
returned Served. Cause Plaintiff
the Defendant Now Came in
Court. It is thereupon Considered
that said plaintiff recover of
Defendant the Sum of $ 86.20
Cost $ 8.20 With Costs of Suit Taxed at
Stff 2.40 $ 10.60 Thereupon Execution issues
Sept 24 Stfa issued Returned
" 8 Nulla Bona

Cost $ 10.60 Cents

J. Mills

228

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe County } ss.
in Probate Court of Aforesaid District

George H. Paige. }
A.f. }
R. Ellenwood, Def. } Damages, Con. Fin
} Legal Services $5.00.00

1860. Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
Filed his Complaint against Defendant
For Damages, For Services Rendered,
Sept. 20 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said Co,
Returnable on the 24th September 1860.
" 24. Came the parties by Attorney & issue
Joined, Comes one of the Defendants, and
Files his Affidavit & Motion for a change
of Venue, which was granted & Cause
Sent to Eureka District for Trial. before
Hon Jno. Taylor, Judge of said District,
Oct with Costs Taxed at $ 6.20 " Thereafter
Execution remains

Given under my hand
Sept. 26 Costs paid & Seal this 25th Sept. 1860
C B Clements Clk
JMC

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe County } ss
In Probate Court of Said County Sitting

O H Clark
vs
John Allen } Debt-Fa Saben $ 10.50

Be it remembered that said Plff.
Filed his Complaint against said
Defendant fa Saben Whereupon
Sept. 12 Summons issued to the Sheriff.- Returnable
on the 24th Day of September 1860
" 24 And now the Summons being returned
Served, Comes, the Plaintiff the Defdt.
though called Comes not. It is therefore
adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of
Defendant the sum of $ 10.50. with Costs
of Suit - Levied at $ 10.60. Therefore Execution
issueing - Given under my Hand &
Seal this 25th Sept: A.D. 1860
E B Clements J P

Sept: 25th Fi fa issued

" 28 Returned satisfied -
in full -

Recd the above Judgement
in full this the 29th Sept 1860
Otto F. Clark

Case 1.00 Sum
Sheff 3.50 Cost
.10 Comp

2.30

Deputy of Pulaski County
Arkansas Pulaski County Circuit

R. B. Snook
vs
J. Mitchell

Summons for Work & Labor $ 21.77

Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his complaint against Defendant for the above debt

1860 Sept 15th Summons issued, returnable on the 24th Sept A.D. 1860 and came the parties by Order of Plaintiff came Attorney

$ 4.60

Deputy of Nances County in
Arapahoe Mine Court Gregory Distr

J. B. Achey
vs
A. McLean } Complaint for Embezzlement of
Mary } $7.00.00

Be it remembered That said plaintiff filed
his affidavit & Bond against Defendant for
1860 Capias.
Sept 22 Warrant issued for the arrest of Defendt
which was returned On the 26th instant
Dated with $/4/ 20 Costs.

232 X

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe } ss

John M. Kennipe }
vs
William M. Wilson } An agreed case from Spring Gulch. Ejectment

1860
Sept 26 Be it remembered that the parties to the above entitled cause have this day in writing agreed to submit all matters in controversy by & between them in relation to a Quartz Mining Claim on Spring Gulch in the same Plaintiff presented & the Court after due consideration of the matters and things submitted in the premises do adjudge that in consequence of the non compliance of the ~~Conditions~~ of the Sale by Plaintiff to Defendant, that the Claim Sold was forfeited, and of right should revert back to the donor— hence Defendant had no legal right to sell & convey ~~said claim~~ to third parties But as the said Buell & Armstrong parties to this submission are not bound by it but the same is conclusive between Plaintiff & Defendant in this suit—

Witness my hand and seal

May Fee $5.00 Paid this the 26th Sept A.D. 1860

C B Clements J P

233

State of Kansas Arapahoe County } ss
in District Court of Godfrey District

J. P. Reynolds.
Vs.
Marshal Cook } Debt on Note dated
Aug. 14th /60 $18.00

Be it remembered that said Plff.
filed as his Complaint, Defendants Note
of Record as above —
Thereupon comes the defendant, and
Confesses Judgment for the Amount
Claimed, & it is therefore adjudged
that said Plaintiff recover of said
defendant the sum of $18.00 with
Costs of Suit - Taxed at $2.40. Thereupon
Execution requires

Given under my hand
& Seal this 27th day of Sept. 1860 —
A B Clements Clk
D.C.

Sept. 27th Fifa issued
D.C.

Cost $ 6 80
Sff 2 60
Paid

Rec'd of Marshal Cook
eighteen dollars ($18)
in full of the above
judgment
Nov 1st 1861.

Cost of Suit
Atty for Plff —

Ejectment & Summons		$2.00
4 Affs & Bonds		2.00
Affs & Motion to Dissolve		5.00
4 Continuances		2.40
Filing		1.20
2 Articles		2.00
5 Subpoenas for Wit		5.00
Judicial Chief		5.00
Searching Writ		2.60
Entering Decree		2.50
Taxing Costs		.50
Right of Room		$30.20
		2.00
Aff Costs		32.20
Summons $	2.40	
Injunction	2.40	
2 Articles	4.80	
Recording Cost	4.00	
		13.60
Notices (15) 2 days		30.00
Do Hand Cole & Co	"	
Wm Boyer —		$75.80
John Young + C		
Thos Wolfe + C		
Geo Morrison + C		
Jno Emerson + C		
Hey Grinnell + C		
Isaac Garrett + C		
Wm Shepherd C L. Barbour + C		
John Simpson — C		
Jno Johnson + C		
Geo Schwartz + C		
Wm Right + C		
Jos Evans + C		

Brought from page [illegible]

Also the undivided one fourth part of Mining Claim No On the Union Or Mammoth Lead in said District, Excepting with the sum of Eight Hundred and thirty three Dollars and fifty Cents Damages for the undivided one fourth part of the net proceeds of said Claim No 3, On the Bobtail Lead And it is further ordered and decreed that Partition be had of said Claims by and between said parties. and that the said undivided one fourth interest be in the same be apportioned and set apart. And to that end and intent E.W. Hendrson Esq Pat Reed. and John Stumm are appointed Commissioners to ascertain and define the same

It is further adjudged that the costs of suit taxed at $75 50 be paid by said Defendants Thereupon Execution issue under my hand and seal this the 26th day of Sept A.D. 1860

Clk Blemmitt Esq

Sept 26 Notice of appeal —
27 Costs paid. and Cases
Set for trial Oct 2nd at 9 am —
Oct 2 And now Defendant files his affidavit for Continuance. to the Defendants in New York & Cause be continued for that purpose to 10th June 1861

Clk Blemmitt Esq

1860.

Aug 28 Be it remem
attorney filed
in Assumpsit
Hampton Lumber
said County. Return
Sept 13 and now at this time
appears Cause Contin
13 And now Comes the P
to the Court who ap
in the Cause and
file between said
that Plaintiff recover
sum of Three Hundred
Dollars & Thirty five
suit Taxed at $100,
Remains.

Juror $5.00
Aff. & Bond 1.00
Summs & Injunction 2.00
Alias Injunction 1.00
Filing papers 1.20
2 Subpoenas 2.00
Venire 1.00
Swearing Jury 1.00
Do 12 Writs 2.40
Docket 1.50
Ticket .50
Costs — .50
Rent of Room 1.00
$20.10

13 Writs — 13.00

Sheriff fees
Swearing 2.40
Injunction 2.40
Do — 2.40
Jury — 12.60
Trial — 4.00
$23.80 $23.80

Jury fees — Paid by "B." 9.00
$65.90

H Buchanan — Wm Miller
John Metcalf — J F Storm
Jas Breckey — Wm Stroke
H B Eghmy — Wm Butterworth
Cap Pat Mara — Chas Taggart
John Williams
Henry Huffman
John Griffith
Witnesses all paid by "Buchanan"

Looney 3 Costs On appeal
Smith 3 (to wit)

Entering Notice
Notice for Mandamus
3 Subpoenas for Witness.
Aff=for Change of Venue
Granting Same
Transcript. Mileage &6
Sheff fees for Notice & Subpoena

2.

Aug 24 Pl. 1860 Rich'd Gray Costs in full Engrossing

Jury of 12 Taos as to County of Arapahoe Min Court 1st Dist.

Sylvia Hamilton
Saml Roberts
vs
S W Hunt
Fred-Buckman

Ejectment for the possession of the Discovery Claim on the Mariposa Lode in said Dist=

Be it remembered that said pltff filed his complaint in Ejectment against Dfts
1860 also his affidavit & Bond for Injunction
Sept 8 Summons & Injunction issued. Returnable
On the 17th Sept 1860=
" 17 And now the Summons being returned served
by agreement Cause continued to the 24th inst
until the 28th inst at 1, o'c P.M. and now
comes the parties and agreed that the cause
should be submitted to a Jury of (6) Men
whose decission in the premises should
be final and conclusive between the
parties. The Jury being Empannelled and
Sworn, and after hearing the Evidence
in the cause returned the following Verdict
Viz We the Jury unanimously find
for the plaintiff. Signed W.F.V. Bolton foreman
It is thereupon adjudged that plaintiff
recover of Defendants the full and
complete possession of the premises in
issue, together with costs of
Suit taxed at $65.90. Thereupon
Execution issues
Given under my hand and
seal this the 29th Sept 1860
C.V.B. Clerk

Oct. 3rd Fi fa issued. Returned nulla bona J. Hall

Mar. 18 alias issued. Returned Satisfied

234

Territory of Kansas Leavenworth
& Hospital Mintares Lafayette Co.

A. J. Scott
vs
Hays & Gue & 6 Cattle
} Replevin for Two head

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
Complyed with the Law Regulating
1860 Actions of Replevin against said Defts
Summons & Replevin issued returnable
" On the 24th Sept 1860
24 Returned Served & Cause continued
to the 28th & then to the 29th Sept
And now comes Plaintiff & Defendant by
Sff 10.20 Plaintiff there came and
Sff 6.20 it is Considered that Defts go hence
& Plff pay Costs taxed at $16.40
Witness my hand and
seal this the 29 Sept 1860
Ler Blackmore Cl
J Mc

Sept 29 Returned & Satisfied

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe County } ss
in Miners Court Gregory District }

J J McFarland }
vs. }
Thomas Works } Debt on Note dated
Aug. 20th /60, for $ 22 10

Be it remembered that said Plff.
Filed as his complaint Defendants Note,
for Amount Stated above —
Sept. 15. Summons issued to the Sheriff of said
County returnable on the 24th Sept.
A D 1860, at 9 O'Clk A.M. The Summons returned Served,
" 24 And now comes the Plaintiff. The
Defendant Thereto Called Comes not: it-
is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff
Recover of Defendant the Sum of
$ 22 10, and costs of Suit - Taxed at $ 10 60.
Thereon Execution remains
Given under my Hand
Seal this 1st October 1860
W Blakemore Clerk
J. M. C.

For value Received I hereby assign the within
Judgment - unto ~~[illegible]~~. Thomas McKeen
October 22nd 1860 —

John J. McFarland

Oct. 26th Fifa issued Returned Not Satisfied
Mar. 21st /61 - Alias issued
April 9th Returned No Property found - costs $3.00

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co } ss.
in District Court Gregory presiding }

W D Hickman
vs.
A H Fitzgerald } Debt on Note dated May 5th 1860 $ 10.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed as his complaint Defendants Note as stated above! -

Sept. 26 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said Co Returnable on the 2nd day of October A D 1860, at 9 O'Clk A.m. -

Oct. 1st And now the Summons being returned Served, comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant though called comes not- It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant- the sum of $ 10.00 and costs of Suit- Taxed at $ 9.40. Thereupon Execution ascends — Given under my hand and seal this 1st Oct. A D 1860
A B Clements Clk
Geo C

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co. } ss.
In miniss Court of Bryan District }

David Richards
vs.
Geo. W. Brock } Debt-on Note dated
Sept. 10th 1860 $15.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
Filed as his complaint, Defendants Note
of hand for above
Sept. 25 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said
County Returnable on the 1st Day of October
A.D. 1860. at 9 O'Clock A.M.
Oct. 1 And now the Summons being returned
Served comes the Plaintiff & the Defendant
though called come not.
It is therefore adjudged that said
Sept. 20 Plaintiff recover of Defendant the
Sheff. 40 Sum of $15.00, with Costs of Suit taxed
at $10.66. Whereof Execution remains.
Given under my hand
& Seal this 1st October 1860
A. Bellemmy Clk
Y.M.C.

Oct 6 Fifa issued
Mar 20 Alias issued A.M.A.D.
April 9th Returned Nulla Bona

258

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co. } ss.
In the District Court of Fifty Second Judicial District

Lewis W. Berry. }
vs. }
John A. Smith } Debt on Note dated -
3 Aug. 4th/60 $125.00

Be it remembered that Plaintiff
1860 Filed as his complaint, Defendants Note
of hand, under date as above written
Sept. 18th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said
County Returnable on the 24th September
A.D. 1860. at 9 o'clk A.M. and now by
" agreement cause continued to the
1st October, at which time Defendant
Council ("H. P. A. Smith") agreed to enter
his appearance
Oct. 1st And now at this time, after Defendants
Council having accepted Service on Summons
Comes the Plaintiff. The Defendant
Though called, came not. It is therefore
Oct. 8 20 adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of
Sept. 4 20 Defendant the sum of $125.00. with Costs
of Suit - Taxed at $12.40. Therefore Execution
remains. Given under my hand &
Seal This 1st. October 1860
W. B. Clements (Seal)
J. P. A.

Oct. 3d Fifa issued
~~May 16 Alias issued~~
Alias returned made
42.39 to apply on judgement
May 16 Alias issued
~~May 9~~ Rec'd Pay & costs in full
C B Clements

359.

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co } ss.
Miners Court of Gregory District }

Kehler & Patton }
vs
Wm S Foster } Debt on Note dated
June 26th /60 $38.25

Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs filed as their Complaint, Defendants Note of Hand, for Amount Stated above in
Defst. 24 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 1st day of October A.D. 1860
at 9 O'Clk A.M.
Oct. 1st. And now the Summons being returned Served Comes the Plaintiffs the Defendant Being Called, Comes not. It is therefore adjudged, that said Plaintiff recover of said
Cf $7 60 Defendant the sum of $38.25, with Costs of
Sff 3.00 Suit - Taxed at - $10.60. Thereon Execution
Remains — Given under my hand &
Seal This 1st Day of Oct. 1860.
A. H. Clements Clerk

Oct. 4th. Fifa issued. Returned J. M. C.
March 11 Satisfied in Part
" " Garnishee Croquet 1.
Entering satisfaction .50
Entering Garnishee 1.50
affdt .50
Costs on Execution 8.00
Sheriff fees in No 7.20
Rec'd the above Judgment in full & my costs of
Daniel Crumm
Mar 18th 1861
Kehler & Paton

1861 Received My costs in the above Case
March 18th 1861, of A. Wilson

Mar 18 Now comes Daniel Crumm Garnishee and to K Clements on Oath says he is indebted by A. H. Clements to said to said Foster, in the sum of $475.00 at least. It is therefore Ordered that said Crumm pay the said Judgement interest & costs amounting in all to the sum $76.74
Given under my hand & seal this 18th day of March 1861
A. Wilson Sick

2,110

Territory of Arizona County of Arizpah 1st Mon Court Gayy Dist

Samuel Cooa

vs

E. J. M. Packard

Debt on Note $200 00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his Complaint against Defendant in Equity to foreclose a Mortgage deed On Claim No 13, Cntr Gayy Lode & Warrant Summons issued returnable On the 17th Sept 1860 & Now come the said Plaintiff & the Defendant being three times called come not, but herein make default. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Petition be taken as true and confessed. And it appearing to the Court that said Defendants is a purchaser of said Claim mentioned in said Petition, subject to the mortgage of Plaintiff filed herein. and in no wise indebted to the Plaintiff. It is therefore ordered that said purchase shall in no wise affect the foreclosing of said Mortgage. And that said Packard go hence without day. And it appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court. That said Defendants Pro Glasheen & Co are indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars, secured to be paid by the promissory note filed herein, and that said Mortgage filed as aforesaid, was also legally executed, to secure the payment of said note. And it appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court that the said Defendants have made default in the payment of said note. It is therefore ordered, decreed & adjudged by the Court that the legal title to said Claim vest in the Plaintiff

Continued 1/1

And it also appearing that there is now due and owing upon said Note, the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. Therefore in accordance with the prayer of said Petition, It is ordered decreed & adjudged by the Court. That said Defendants within fifteen days from the date of this decree pay into this Court the amount of Two Hundred Dollars with legal interest, and costs of Suit - To wit -

Therefore "Anderson Cox", is hereby appointed Special Commissioner, to Expose to Public Sale said claim No 13, on the Gregory Lead, After giving five days Notice thereof, by posting four written Notices, in four public Places in said District, and shall sell the same to the highest & best bidder for Cash only, to be paid to satisfy said debt & costs, and shall make deed Therefor to the purchasers, which shall be good in Law, unless redeemed within ten days from the date of said Sale. And it is further ordered that said Defendants shall have the term of ten days, after said Sale, in which to redeem said Claim No 10. That said Commissioner shall report his proceedings herein to this Court. And this Cause is continued till such time &c —

2 & 12 20
3 40 With Costs of Suit taxed at $13 60 — Thompson Execution remains —

Given under my hand and Seal this the 24th Sept A D 1860

Sept 1 Fifa issued —

Clerk

Sept 12 Fifa Costs paid

2,42

Territory of Kansas Leavenworth County
Arapahoe Township Gregory District.

John H Kehler Sheriff of said County
Wm H Hogeno Deputy for the use of
Wm M. Todd

vs. } Attachment for 13000 Shingles at
C. D. Spaces } $8.00 per Thousand $ 104.00

1860
Sept 28 It Remembered that said plaintiff asks
Judgment against Defendant for the above
amount. Because he says that said
Defendant. Lives Out of said County & with
of Replevin against said Pltff Todd. and
replevied from him the above Shingles. that
said writ resulted in a Judgment for the Pltff
and an Order for the return of said Shingles -
which Order has not been complied with -
as he verily the return of the officer -
It is therefore hereby Considered that Plaintiff
recover of Defendant the sum of One Hun-
dred & Four Dollars Damages. the aforesaid
Value of (13.500) Shingles at $8.00 per thousand =
Sept 28 Garnishee process issued against the afore-
Defendants who answered On the 1st Oct -
that the sum of Defendant's bill are indebted
to Defendant in the sum of $83.00 - On a
Note of $75.00 and an a/c of 8$ =

Costs
Pltf 7 20
Dfdt 6 40
Garn 4 00

Witness my hand and seal this
the 3 Oct A D 1860
Le Blenneth J P

Oct 8th Fi fa issued.

" 17 For Value Received I assign the within
Judgment to Mr Hogeno. but without recourse
on me

Wm M Todd

Costs

Price	$ 5.00
Off & Bond	1.00
Summs & Attachms	2.00
2 Subpens for Wits	2.00
Filing	.60
Certificate	.60
Swearing Wits	1.60
Do Mast	1.50
Tot Costs	.50
Use of House	2.00
	16.80

Shiffo fees

Summs	$ 2 80	
attatmt	3 80	
2 summs	4 40	
	10.00	10.00
6 Witnesses		6.00
		$ 32.80

Wm Ewing
Wm Cameron & John Moore
N Bloomer Wm Dale
E Dalin
Trotter
empsall

Territory of Kansas County of aforesaid
Miss Court Gregory District

Norris N Bell
vs
James L Dodd

Assumpsit for Work & Labor
Damages — $1400

1860
Sept 27 Be it remembered that said plaintiff files his affidavit Bond & Complaint for the writ of Attachment & the same is issued
" Summons & attachment issued. Returnable on the 1st day of Oct A.D. 1860 And now comes the parties & by agreement of parties Cause continued to the 4th inst. And now comes the parties and Jointed issue to the court who after hearing the Evidence in the Cause Considered that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of $2100 Debt & Damages with Costs of suit taxed at $34.80. Thereupon Defendant remains

Given under my hand and seal this the 4th Oct 1860
C.V. Blakemore [seal]
J.P.M.C.

Oct. 6th Fifa issued.
8 Costs paid ½ Note
" 15 Affidavit filed & Cause sent to Leavenworth District for trial — C.V. Blakemore [seal]
J.P.M.C.
10 Jan 2nd " Alias issued



Juice	$ 5.00
Sum & All	2.00
2 Lectures	2.00
Off & Board	1.00
Commencement	1.40
Dr Chest	1.50
Salaries	50
Use of House	1.00
	14.40

Steppe
Juice $ 2.40
Subpens 2.40
attack 4.80 4.80
3.00

5 Witness 5.00
2.420

Mr Trotter
Dr Dempster
Dr Bell
Dr Hutchinson
Campbell

Costs gained by Attachment
day due 15th Oct/60
Heard by Morgan
& Jno Morris

No 44

Sept Term of Pleas in the County of Arapahoe & Mining Court Virginia Dist

William E. Very
vs
Jas C Dodd

Affidavit for Writ of Attachment
Imprisoned $60.00

Be it remembered that Said Plaintiff filed his Complaint Datte 26th Sept 1860 against Defendant And Complyed with the Law for the writ of Attachment Thereupon Issued & Attachment issued returnable

1860
Oct 1 On the 1st day of Oct 1860

And Now the Issues being Joined Came on to be tried And Now Comes the Parties and Jointly Issue to the Court who after hearing the Evidence in the Cause Considered that Plaintiff Recover of Defendant the Sum of Thirty Dollars with Costs of Suit & Taxed at $24.20 Thereupon Execution Remains

Given under my hand and Seal this the 6th Oct 1860

Oct. 6th Fi Fa issued
8 Costs paid by Plff
" 15 Affidavit filed by Defts for change of Venue from this County to Central District for Trial

Wm Blackmore Clk

Wm Blackmore Clk



X

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe county } ss.
In Miners Court of Gregory District }

D. S. Bently
against
H H Hill
vs
Debt on Note dated Sept 14th 1860 $80.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his Complaint; Defendants Note of hand as above —

Oct. 3d Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 8th October 1860
" 8th And now the Summons being returned Served. Comes the Plaintiff the Defendant though called, Come not. It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of $80.00 with Costs of Suit Taxed at $10.40. Thereupon Execution issued

Given under my hand & Seal this 9th October 1860
D S Bentley J P

Nov 21 fi fa issued $1.00
Dec 21 Returned & renewed

I hereby assign the above judgment to Geo W Harrison to be applied to a certain judgment held by him against Bently Hall and Spalding in consideration
Said judgment
Dec 26th 1861
Witness
H. P. A. Smith

D S Bentley

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe County } ss
in Miners Court of Bryan District.

Wm. L. Jones
vs
A. Woolsey } Debt-on Note dated Aug. 31st. /60- $220.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his complaint: Defendants not found as above described -

Oct. 8th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 8th Oct. 1860

" 8th Came now the Summons being returned served comes the Plaintiff - the Defendant - though called comes not. It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of Two Hundred & Twenty Dollars ($220.00) with costs of suit. Taxed at $ 12.60. Thereupon execution

6 9 20 Remains - Given under my hand &
Fees 1 00 seal this 9th October 1860
Sff 2.40 L. R. Elliott
J.M.C.

Oct. 12 Fifa issued
1861
Nov 29 Returned Nulla Bona
" 30 Alias issued

247

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co. } ss
in Miltie's Court of Bijou Precinct }

John Metcalf }
vs. }
L Greeley & }
Jno. Miller } Debt on Due Bill dated
Oct. 3rd /60. $34.35

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed his Affidavit, Bond & complaint against said Defendants for order of attachment - on the 4th August /60 -

Oct. 4th Summons & attachment issued to the Sheriff of said County returnable on the 8th October A.D. 1860.

" 8 And now the Summons & attachment being returned served comes the Plaintiff the Defendants though called come not. It is therefore ordered & decreed by the Court that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendants the sum of $34.35. with costs of Suit - Taxed at $ Execution remaining -

Given under my hand & seal this 9th October A.D. 1860
A. Miltie Justice of the Peace

228

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co } ss
in Miners Court - of Log City Precinct

George W. Harrison }
vs }
Joseph McCubbin } Assumpsit for Goods
Sold & Recvd - $87.50

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
Filed his Affidavit, Bond & Complaint
against Defendant for writ of Attachment
on Sept. 15th 1860.

Sept. 15th Summons & Attachment issued to the Sheff.
of said county Returnable on the 8th Oct.
AD 1860 - And now at this time the Summons & Attachment being returned Level
Comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant being
Called Comes not. It is therefore adjudged
that said ~~Defendant~~ Plaintiff recover of Defendant
the Sum of $87.50 with Costs of Suit taxed
Oct 9 20 at $ 14.60 - Therefore Execution
Sept 15 40 Remains - Given under my hand
& seal this 9th Oct. 1860
W. Holcomb Clk
Y.M.C.

Oct 12 Writ issued

Sept 18th/61 Came to hand Cabin Dunsmore 1.37 Shiffs
Fees of Dams Holcomb the above Costs
1.05 40 Jno Logan Dpt Shff
I transfer the above account to Lockwood
for value received This March 11/62
Geo. W. Harrison

State of Texas County of Harris } In the District Court Sept Term

Nelson Lewis
vs
H L Graham & Lee } Attachment $ 2475

1860 Be it remembered that On the 2nd Oct=
Oct 2 1860 Said plaintiff filed his Complaint
against Defendants
Summons issued On the same made
returnable On the 8th Inst at 9 Clock
and now comes the parties. the
Summons being returned served. And
jury issue On the Affidavit to the Court=
who after hearing the Evidence in the
cause adjudged that Plaintiff recover of
Defendants the sum of $ 2475= with
Costs of suit Except as to $1060 Thereof
Execution remains=

Cost $ 8 60
Sheriff 2 00

Given under my hand
and seal this the 11th Oct 1860
Wm B Clement Clk
By Mc

Oct 12 Writ issued
Nov 29 Writ returned Not'd.
Bondsman Henry Bernard
April 9th Returned Writing made

250

State of Kansas County of Shawnee Ss Court Sept Term

A D Hawks

vs

Johnson Jeffords & Mayhew

Mechanics Lien for $230.36 On office in Central City

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff by Minjan his Attorney On the 28th day of Sept 1860 filed his Petition in Equity in said Court praying for a Mechanics Lien On a House lately Erected by Plaintiff for Defendants in Lot three City Street adjoining the large frame building known as the Theatre building for the above sum

1860 Sept 28 Summons issued returnable On the 1st day of Oct 1860 and summons returned Served upon J. D. Jeffords, when he Pasted Entered an Appearance for A E Mayhew and an Alias Summons issued to D J Johnson The Cause being Called Continued to the 5th and now comes the Plaintiff. The Defendants three Called come not but Wholly made default. Whereupon Order and decree that Plaintiff recover of Defts the sum of Two Hundred and Thirty Dollars 30/100 Debt & Damages with Costs of suit taxed at $16.40. And that said

Int $10 20
Cost 1.00
Sff 6 20

Judgment & Costs be Perpetual a lien upon and Valid to run in upon said House and Lot mentioned said to be sold to pay and Satisfy the same

Witness My hand and Seal this the 5th Oct A D 1860

E B Clements Clk

Oct 12 Fifa issued

Nov 1 now this day the Defendants filed a Motion to set aside the above Judgment which Motion was overruled Attiken Clk

Mc

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Miss Court Big 3rd Dist

Mary York
vs
Henry Ferris } Debt Int Mon & Sale $134 50

1860
Sept 13 Be it remembered that On this day said plaintiff filed his complaint against defendant Thereupon Summons issued returnable On the 17th Sept A D 1860 at 9 A M —

17 And now the Summons being returned Served Comes the parties. Thereupon no defence Judgment is taken by default — It is therefore Considered that plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of Thirty four 50/100 Dollars Damages with Costs of suit taxed at $11.60 Thereupon Execution remains —

Cf 9.20 Given under my hand and seal this 17th day

Aff 2 20 of Sept A D 1860

1861 C B Clements Clk

Mar 22 Fifa issued. ——— Mills

July 24th Fifa Returned Satisfied
Rec the debt & judgment in full Wm Byers

252

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Thirs Court Guy Dist

John H Odell
vs
B Gilchrist
Debt $ X 200

1860
Sept 26 Bich commenced that said plaintiff by Mason filed his Complaint against Defendant for Service Returned to Summons issued returnable Ante 1 day of Oct A D 1860

And now to wit the Summons having return'd Comes the plaintiff the defendant thereto called Comes not It is therefore adjudged that said plaintiff recover of Defendant the Sum of Thirty Dollars Debt Damages with $11.80 Costs

Sept 26 Bich

Sff 2.44

Summons issued

Given under my hand and Seal this the 2nd day of Oct A D 1860

H H Bleasdell Clk

Oct. 15th Fifa issued. Returned Collected $11.80

Returned nothing made

June 22d Pluries issued

Tenitty of Tallaseus County ap.
Arapahoe Mins Court Guyon Dist 3

B. P. Scanlon
Vs
Geo W Harrison

Debt On Stem ap. 8 80.00

1860
Oct Be it remembered that said plaintiff filed his Complaint against Defendant Thereupon Summons issue returnable on 4th Oct 186 at 9 AM — And now the Summons being returned Served. Cause the Parties by agreement Cause Continued to the 11th at 10 PM — with notice to take Deposition of Dee Sanders

Oct 11 And now at the day & hour last aforesaid Said Cause the Defendant the Plaintiff Came not, upon which Defendant demanded a Judgment of Defeasance It is therefore Considered that Defendant go hence & plaintiff pay Costs of suit Taxed at $ 15.40 —

Clf $ 20
Sff 2 00
Hone 1.00
Shff 3 20

Witness my hand and seal this Oct 11 AD 1860
Lot Bloomer
Clk

2674

Rec'd Nov. 1st 1860
This Writ

State of Kansas Atchison County
in the District Court 3rd Judicial District

A. A. Postlewaite }
vs }
Beedle & Jacobs } Assumpsit. Amt Sued for
Services rendered $ 55.00

Be it remembered that Plaintiff filed his complaint against Defendants as above stated

Summons issued to the Sheriff of Riley Co, returnable on the 8th October, and now (Oct-8th) the Defendants being arraigned and cause set for trial on the 15th October 1860 and on the 15th Plaintiff & Defendants appeared by their Attorneys & waived a trial by Jury & submitted the cause to the Court, who after hearing the Evidence in the cause & Arguments of Counsel, adjudged that Plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of $27.63 with costs of suit-Taxed at $13.90 Thereon Execution remains

Sheriff's Costs
Sf. 11,80
Aff. 2.10

Nov. 1st Writ issued

Given under my hand & seal this November 1st A.D. 1860
A. A. Wilson Jus Pac [illegible]

Oct. 15 Appeal Entered
" 17 Case filed
Oct. 26th Writ issued Returned not to be found by Mc
" 27 A jury called & empanelled and cause set for trial on 30th Inst. and mutually agreed by parties continued to the 1st November 1860 at 9 A.M.
Nov. 1st Appearances of the parties & waives the trial by Jury & puts issue to the Court, who after hearing the Evidence in the cause & Arguments of Counsel, adjudged

Territory of Kansas Ats Jan Inst. in
Chancellor's Court of Fourth District

Pl'ff
vs
Thomas W Hinds

Def'n

Debt on Sec. Bill
Amt Def. 7th 1860. $ 531

Def't acknowledges that Pl'ff
filed as his complaint the Indorsement
dated as above —

Oct. 11th Summons issued to the Sheriff of Nicholson
Returnable the 15th October A.D. 1860 —

" 15th Cause coming on to be heard by default
Appeared the Pl'ff the Def't
Fiere facias called & Def't. It is therefore
adjudged that Pl'ff recover of Def't
the sum of $ 531 with Costs of suit taxed at $ 1060 —
Therefore Execution &c &c

Clerk's Office Supreme Court
Nov 15th October A.D. 1860
A True Copy Att
J. Price

Rec'd August 22d 1861 of B. Mason,
By the hands of John C. Russell. Eleven
Dollars & fifty Cents. in full for Judgment
in favor of J. M. Wilson
Hundred against Henry Harris. before
L. B. Bennett, Judge of the Probate Court
of Geary District. Kansas Territory. on
the 10th October A.D. 1861

J. P. Gibson

[illegible] County

In the District Court of Bexar County Texas

John Gibson
vs.
Henry Harris } Replevin

Defendant comes and Plaintiff files his supersedeas bond and Defendant refuses to deliver property sued for

Thereupon writ of Replevin issued 15th October A.D. 1860.

Oct 15 Came on to be heard the motion to dissolve writ of Replevin and the Plaintiff in person and by attorney and the Defendant by attorney and the Court having heard the evidence and argument of counsel is of opinion that the writ of Replevin should be dissolved and that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendant the sum of $1.50 for his costs in this behalf expended and that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff the sum of $1.00 for his damages by reason of the wrongful suing out of said writ of Replevin

Def 2.60 $1.061

1861 Jan 5th Fifes issued } Nihil

Returned 4th 1861
E. Steinfeldt Clk
by [illegible] D.C.

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe
Dist Court. Gregory District

Gregory District Ly
E. H. Ingles
vs.
Mary York

Holloway Sleeth & May
& Otho Pratt for Plff Appl

Be it remembered that on
19th day of Oct 1860 said Plff filed his affi-
davit & Bond Against Defendant for a search warrant
to recover the sum of 40 Dollars & Costs & Disburse-
ments Search Warrant issued & Returned on same day
Returned Filed in Clerks Office & the Defendant
arrested under said Warrant & Examination
Oct 20 had & Defendant ordered to Bail in the Sum of $200
Oct 20 Trial had before a Jury of 12 Men
duly Empannelled & Sworn to try the Cause in
the Course of which Boyle & Gray appeared for
the Jury after hearing the Evidence & Arguments
of Counsel returned a Verdict that the Defendant
is not guilty but that the Money should be Re-
turned to the Plaintiff Ingalls Exhibits Hum
Whereupon Defendant discharged without Day
& Plaintiff pay Costs of Suit to wit
$34.20 Thereupon Execution issues

Witness my hand & Seal
this 19th Oct 1860
E. Bolinger Clk
by J. C. Gray

Clk $13.20

Shff 21 "

Sept. Term 1866

Territory of Nebraska Appeal from
Nemaha District Court

John Hensiliner
vs.
L. Greeley & Jno. Miller } Assumpsit; Plea
Same — $ 48 20

Be it remembered that Plaintiff
filed his complaint herein on the 4th day of
Oct. 1866 to which
Oct. 4th Defendants issued thereon the Sheriff of said
County returned the 6th October
A.D. 1866 Defendants not found
returned Plaintiff
Defendant filed plea of
Plaintiff replied
Defendant demurred
$ 29.10 Plaintiff
Jal. $ 1660 — Plaintiff
Defendants
Plaintiff
Defendant
Defendant
Defendant

Sanitary of Kansas Assurance Co.
in matters ceasd - of Before Justice 88

W B Arad
vs
A A Richardson } Debt on Note dated
June 1st 1860 = $150.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
filed as his complaint Defendants Promissory
Note bearing date as above —
Oct. 18th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County.
returnable on 22nd October A.D. 1860 —
" 22 And now the Summons being returned
duly come the Plaintiff. The Defendant
though called comes not. It is therefore decreed
that said Plaintiff recover of Defendant the
sum of $150.00 - with Costs of suit taxed at
$10.40. Therefore execution &c &c —
Nov. 2d Fifa issued

Given under my hand & seal
this 22nd day of October 1860
A. Richardson J.P. [seal]

360

Texas Supreme Court
Term September 1900

Geo H Harrison
vs
Pfeiffer Miller } Debt & Int $33.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
Fidelia Adrian Clerk of said Court
issued
Nov 15 Sixteen Writs of Scire facias in said Co.
returnable on the 22d October A.D. 1900
" 22 And the Sheriff of Travis County
served Citation on the Plaintiff Geo H Harrison
Pfeiffer Miller on the 21st day of
Attached Real Estate of said Plaintiff sufficient
to satisfy the Judgment
of Plaintiff for $33.00 with Interest
Attest-Tax Cost $ 8.50
Clerks Fee
Sheriffs Fee 5.20
Nov 15 Writs issued 16
Nov 16 15 Alias issued 16

Feby 15 For value Rec I hereby assign
my right and interest in the above
Judgement to J & C Mann he being
responsible for costs Geo H Harrison

Rec'd the above Judgement in full
J & C Mann

Territory of Nevada Ormsby County } ss.
In Probate Court of Ormsby County

Peter Lebre }
vs. }
Albert Lamb } Debt on note dated
Oct. 1st /60 — $ 33.15

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff Filed as his Complaint-Defendants Note of even Date $ 33.15 —

Oct. 2d Summons issued to the Sheriff of Ormsby Co. returnable on the 8th October Inst.

" 8th And now by agreement of Parties Cause Continued to the 22d October A.D. 1860-

" 22d Cause coming on this day to be heard Parties & Jurors sworn to the Court. who after hearing the Evidence in the Cause & Arguments of Counsel. It is adjudged that said Plaintiff Recover of Defendant-the sum of $ 33.15- with Costs of Suit-taxed at $ 16.40. Thereupon Exceptions Certificate of Judge Hawley Filed 25th October A.D. 1860 & Bill of Exceptions Settled & Signed.

Oct. 23d Writ of Error
Filed 26 Oct. 1860 [illegible]
Benjamin Ruggles
Recorder

Appeal. 9th Petition Filed Bond

262

Territory of Kansas Appanoose Co, } ss
In Probate Court of Said County

Wm. P. Pollock
vs.
Wm. T. Sherrard } Debt Damages & Costs

Fee $ 3.80

Be it remembered that Wm. P. Pollock Plaintiff filed in this Court his complaint against Defendant Wm. T. Sherrard

Oct. 19th Summons issued returnable before this Court on the 22nd day of October 1860.

" 22d And on this day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant being called came not. Whereupon it is considered that Plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of $3780. with Costs of Suit herein $10.60 Execution awarded

Nov. 12th Fifa issued Returned into Court this 23d October 1861 No Property found

Received the above Judgment in full June 5th 1861 W. P. Pollock

Dft & Reid my Costs in full W T Sherrard
& Wm Hogans 03 cents

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co
In vacation Court of Probate

John J Sanders
vs
John Larson } Debt on Note dated
Sept. 23d /60 — $100.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
Filed as his complaint — Deft's Note of Even
as above written —

Oct. 18th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County
Returnable on the 22d October A.D. 1860 —

" 22d And now at this time the Summons being
returned Served, Comes the Parties & Joined
issue to the Court. Who after hearing the Evi-
dence in the Cause & Arguments of Counsel
it is adjudged that Said Plaintiff recover
of Defendant the Sum of Fifty Dollars, with
C $22 Costs of Suit Taxed at $10.00 Thereof Execu-
.240 tion &c —

Witness my Hand & Seal
This 23d October A.D. 1860 —
L.S. J. F. Cannon C.P.

Oct. 23d Fifa issued
" " Notice of appeal
& perfected on
25th October 1860
Costs Paid & Cause set for Trial on the 1st Day
of November 1860 at 2. P.M.

Nov 1st And now comes the Plaintiff by his Attorney
B. Allison, & files his motion to dismiss the
appeal; which was sustained & Appeal
$ 7.50 dismissed & ordered & decreed that Plaintiff
Sh. 2.00 recover of Defendant the Sum of $52.00 with
Costs of Suit Taxed at $ 9.50 Thereof Execution
remains —

Witness my Hand & Seal
This 2d November A.D. 1860

Nov. 2 Fifa issued.

364

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe County
in Probate Court of Pleasant Precinct 355

Kelsey & Patterson
vs.
James Jack } Assumpsit-on
Sale &c — $93.16

Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs
filed their complaint as above on the 12
October 1860. against Defendant —

Oct. 12th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said Co.
returnable on the 22nd October A.D. 1860 —

" 22nd Came now at this time comes the Plaintiff
the Defendant though called come not.
it is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff
recover of Defendant the sum of $93.16 with
Cost of suit-taxed at $10.60 Thereon
$8.00 Cost of Suit
2.60 Execution issueing —

Witness my hand and seal
this 25th October A.D. 1860 —
W. Bellemeade Clk
J. P. A. C.

1861
Mar 12th Execution issued

Apl 13 Transcript to Brown

Territory of Kansas Atchison County
In Atchison County Court of Probate District } ss

John H Kehler
vs
Geo Cook } Assumpsit-on
&c. $225.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
1860 filed his complaint against Defendant
on the 12th October A.D. 1860 —
Oct. 12th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County
Returnable on the 22nd Oct. A.D. 1860 at 9 O'Clk A.M.
22d And now the summons being returned served
comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant though
called come not. it is therefore adjudged
that said Plaintiff recover of Defendant the
Cf. 820 sum of $225.00, with costs of suit taxed
Shf. 18.20 at $27.40 Thereon execution awarded —
Given under my hand &
Seal this 23d October A.D. 1860
A B Clements Clk
J.P.A.C.

266

Territory of Kansas Anaphaehenry
in Probate Court of Bryan Destrict

W H Bassett
vs
Bates & Yaschur

Debt-on Note dated
Sept-12th /60 $ 32.05-

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff
filed as his complaint-Defendants Note of
hand as above —

Oct: 17th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said
County Returnable on the 22d Oct: A D 1860
" 23d And now the Summons being returned duly
Comes the Plaintiff, the Defendant though
Called Comes not: It is therefore adjudged
A.T. 6.00 that Plaintiff recover of Defendant the
Sheff. 4.60 sum of $ 32.05- with Costs of Suit- taxed
at $ 10.60- Therefor execution remains
Am 2 Costs paid

Given under my hand &
Seal This 23d Oct: A D 1860
A B Clements Clk
By A H Clements
Clk. P. T. K.

Nov. 2d Received on the above Judgment-
$ 12.11
Coonrod Sylvernail

Nov 10 Rec'd on the above Judgement Twenty Dollars
in full of the same
Witness
A Wilson

W. H. Bassett
by Coonrod his + Silvernail
mark

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co,
in Mikis Court of Chyog district

H. H. Hine
vs
Louis King & Co

Debt on Note dated
Oct: 2° 1860. — $ 58.00

Be it remembered that said Plaintiff filed as his Complaint - Defendants Note of hand as above —

Oct. 19 Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 29th day of October A.D. 1860. —

" 29th And now at this time the Summons being returned Served on the Plaintiff, the Defendant - they to Called come not - It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of Defendant - the sum of $ 58.00. with Costs of Suit - taxed at $ 17.30. Thereon Execution awarded —

Cf. 930
shf. 800

Witness my Hand & Seal this 29 Oct. A.D. 1860.
A. Wilson Clerk
D.M.C.

Nov. 5th Fifa issued - Returned Nothing made - Costs $ 8.00
Court Cost Levied by Plaintiff

268

Territory of Kansas Anderson Co, } ss
In District Court of Bourbon 2nd Judl Dist

Geo. W. Harrison }
vs } Debt on note dated
Greeley & Miller } Oct-15th-/60 $ 11.75

Said cause coming on to be heard - Plaintiff
filed his declaration - Defendants Plea of
Non assumpsit - Issue -

(1). 2nd Jan'y A.D. 1861 - Plaintiff comes by Attorney -
Defendants come not - 29th Day of October A.D. 1860,
" 29 And now the Plaintiff by his Attorney
moves the Court for Judgment - The Defendant
by Counsel objected thereto - Whereupon
for the reasons by Plaintiff alleged
It is Considered by the Court that
Plaintiff recover of
Defendants the sum of $ 11.75 - with Costs
of suit taxed at - $ 10.60 Thereupon Execution
issueing

Ch. f. 800
Shf. 260
Paid Sheyb

fi fa 1.

Given under my hand
and this 29th October 1860
A. Wilson
Clk.
J. P. C.

1861
Feby 16 For Value Rec'd assign all
My right & interest in the above
Judgment to L. C. Vance he becoming
responsible for cost Geo. W. Harrison

Pay costs chg Vance
Rec'd above Judgment in full
L. C. Vance

No

Ex. 11.00
Subp. 5.80

My Costs pd by Plaintiff
Wilson

A Wilson Esq
Ame

1861
Feby 7 Transcript issued

A Wilson Esq
Ame

2.7.0

$43.80

Oct 31st Fi Fa issued

Returned -

Levied on the following described

property to wit

371

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co. } ss.
Probate Court of Said County District }

C. B. Matthews &
W. W. Covington
vs.
A. H. Wall

Assumpsit-Balance Account
$ 84.05

1860
Oct. 24th Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs by their attorneys Boalsburg & Holmes, Filed their complaint against Defendant on the 24th Oct. 1860
" 24th Summons issued to the Sheriff of said County Returnable on the 29th day of October A.D. 1860 -
" 29 And now at this time the Summons being returned Served comes the Plaintiffs, the Defendant - though called comes not. It is therefore adjudged that said Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the sum
Cf. 8.00 of $ 84.05 - with Costs of suit taxed at - $ 10.80
Shf. 2.80 Thereof Execution forthwith -
Given under my hand & Seal this 30th October 1860 -
Nov. 2d Fi fa issued
Returned nothing made - J. H. Wilson Sheriff
By J. M. C.
Dec 3d Judgement signed over to J. H.
Hence signed this 3d day Dec.
C. B. Matthews & Co
B. Cutler Porter
Dec 3d Costs paid by J. H. Hewes

273

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe County } ss
in Miners Court of Bryan District

Robt. W. Hamer + others
vs
M. Christiana
David Michler +
M. D. Hodges

} Application for injunction
} against the Officers of
} Lake Gulch District

Whereas Michael Christian David Michler + M. D. Hodges, were summoned to appear before the Miners court of said District to answer unto the petition of Robert W. Hamer + others on the 29th day of October A.D. 1860, + show cause why an injunction should not be granted against them staying any proceedings which they might attempt against the property of the said Robt. W. Hamer et. all And whereas the said Christiana et. all. + Hamer et. all. did on the 29th day of October, one of the days of said court. appear by their respective Counsel and submit the said cause for hearing in chancery, which, upon hearing, the said Court ordered + decreed that the said Christiana et. all. should be, and it is therefore ordered + decreed that they be perpetually enjoined from taking, disposing of or in any manner disturbing the property of the said Hamer et. all. either by themselves their servants. employees or the officers of court and that they desist from the same at all times + it is further ordered that said Plaintiffs go hence without day + that said Defendants pay Costs of suit Taxed at $ 28.10

Witness Ex. [illegible]
Given under my hand + seal
This 3rd day of November A.D. 1860.
A. Wilson Clk

Nov. 7th Writ issued
Dec 5 Writ returned not satisfied Alias issued on Plaintiffs Costs
returned satisfied

272

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co. } ss
in Miners Court Gregory District }

Jester Heiger }
vs. } Change of Venue from
O. W. Hulk } Enterprise District &
} Debt on Notes $ 24.00

Oct. 17th Be it remembered that on this day the parties in the above entitled cause were filed in this Office. & cause set for hearing on the 27th Oct. 1860. And now at this time comes the Defendent & files his affidavit for a continuance, which was granted & cause stands for trial on the 3d November 1860 at 9 A.M.

Nov. 3d And now comes the Parties & Jointed issue to a jury of three (3) who after hearing the Evidence in the cause & Arguments of Council return the following verdict to wit:- "We the "Jurors feind for & in favor of the defendent & "that the contract is rescinded & that the "Plaintiff is debarred from collecting the Notes" "& that the property decided revert back to the" "Plaintiff" Signed D. Hebbert Jas. Bailey & R. G. Morrison Jurors". It is therefore adjudged that the contract be rescinded, the Collection of the Notes debarred & property in dispute revert back to the original owner & that said Plaintiff pay costs of Suit taxed at $ 55.70 Thereupon Execution remains out Paid. Given under my hand & Notice of Appeal & Seal this 13th Nov. 1860

Nov. 3d And now at this time comes the Plaintiff & files his affidavit for a change of venue, which is granted & cause sent to Enterprise District, Barlow President of said District for trial & taxed to Plaintiff at $ 3.50 by agreement this 14th Nov. 1860.

272

Territory of Kansas Atchison Co, } 3
in District Court 4th Judicial District } 3 85

H. & J. Chenowith for }
Plaintiff }
vs } Ejectment for Claim No.
William Mansfield } 6. N.E. Quarter Section 6 Township 6 S
Claimant. "Bob Tail Creek"

Be it remembered that Daniel Plaintiff by Charles D. Peck his Attorney, filed his Complaint in Ejectment against William Mansfield Defendant, & at the same time filed his affidavit & Bond for the writ of Injunction in said Cause

Oct. 19th Summons, with writ of Injunction issued to the Sheriff of said County, Returnable on the 29th Day of October A.D. 1860. And on which Summons Cause continued to the 30th Oct. 1860 at 7 O'Clk. P.M. And at which time comes the Parties & joined issue to a Jury of Three (3) who after hearing the evidence in the Cause & Arguments of Council, Return the following Verdict to wit:) "We the Jury find that the Defendant shall hold the Twenty Eight feet"

Ct. 18.60 It is therefore adjudged that Defendant go thence
Atty. 5.80 without day, and that Plaintiff pay costs of
Suit taxed at $ 52,80. Thereupon Execution
Summons

Oct. 31st Notice of Appeal Given!

Witness my hand & seal
This 31st Day of October A.D. 1860
A. Wilson Clk
J.P.C.

This Judgement has been appealed & reversed & Entered on page 278 of this docket.
A. Wilson Jpc

Turner & Hobbs
vs.
B. F. Chase
Isa Smith
& Samuel McLean

Debt on Note dated
Aug 20th & due 30 days
after date with 10 pr cent
pr Month after due for 170 00
Damages 30 60

Oct 24 Be it remembered that on the 24th day of October 1860 the plaintiffs by their attorneys E & Thompson filed their complaint against defendents, Note of hand as above mitten, thereupon summons issued returnable on 29th day of October the summons being returned served as to Chase, the others not found, Plaintiffs attorney asked Default as to Chase (he not appearing) and time for service on Smith & McLean which was granted Brizee appears for Smith on the 29th Oct after default of Chase, alias issued

Nov 12 Returned served upon Smith & McLean Smith appeard for McLean & filed Motion for Dismissal of McLean on the ground that Default as to one releases all Brizee for Smith filed same Motion at same time for Smith set for hearing on the 16th day of Nov

Nov 16 Now comes the parties except Chase and agree that Judgement be rendered for the amount of Note & Interest against Smith and McLean

Thereupon it is ordered & adjudged by this Court that the Plaintiffs recover of Defendents $200.60 with Interest at the rate 10 pr ct per Month together with costs of suit taxed at 17.80 Whereupon execution remains to issue

Dec 3d Rec $28. as on the above Seal this 16th day of Nov 1860
Spencer A Wilson

Received on the above $10.20 & $5.00 by adomment making in all the sum of fifteen dollars & 20 cents Dec 7th 1860 Spencer A Wilson Seal

$5.50 Sheriff's fees

274
1860

Transcript 360663
28 } Debt on note dated August
B. F. Chase } 28th 1860 payable 30 days from
Asa Smith } date with 10 pr ct. interest
after maturity until paid 220.00
Damages $ 3.30

Oct 24 Be it remembered that on the 24th day of October 1860 the Plaintiffs by their attorney filed their Declaration in this cause

" " Defendants filed their Plea of Legal Tender

" " Referred on the 3rd November 1860

Nov. 5th Notice served & proved Returnable on the 12th of Nov. 1860 A.D. Filed

" 16 Agreement of Parties Recites the Plaintiffs the Defendant Waugh Called Comes &c

It is Therefore ordered & decreed by the Court that said Plaintiffs recover of Defendants Hundred & $223.20 together with Costs of Suit Taxed at $ 17.80 — Execution &c

Judgment rendered A.D. 19th Nov. in vacation Attest Nov. 17th 1860
A. Wilson (Seal)
Clk.

Returned not —
Satisfied costs $ 1.60
Rec'd pay costs of Plffs
A. Wilson

Hawke & Kimball & Co.
vs.
Cook & Stone } on account } Indebitatus Balance
$118.48

Be it remembered that said Plaintiffs by their attys Bradford & Broad filed this complaint against defendants on the 2nd day of November A.D. 1850

Nov 2nd Summons issued to the sheriff of said County returnable on the 12th Nov A.D. 1850

Nov 12th the summons being returned & served
And now at this time, comes the defendants & joined issue with the Plaintiffs & a trial was had before the Inferior Court of Oregon District & the impannelled & sworn for the Plaintiffs. It is therefore adjudged that Plaintiffs recover of defendants the sum of $118.48 with Costs of suit taxed at $ and therefore execution remains, fifa issued

Hawkes Nuchols & C
vs
Marshall Cook & J A Storm } assumpsit on $ balance
} of Acct for sundries 118.48

Be it remembered that on the 2d day of Novemb
1860 the Plaintiffs by their attorneys Bradford
& Rowell filed their complaint against
Defendents as act as above, thereupon sum
mons issued returnable the 12th day of November

Nov 12 Parties appeared by council & had this cause
set for hearing on the 17th day of November

" 17 Parties appeared and after hearing Its
Ordered and Adjudged by this Court that
the Plaintiffs recover of said Defendents
the sum of $118.48 together with costs of
suit taxed at $ 13.50 Whereon Execution
remains

Nov 19th Issued — Nov Given under my hand and
Seal paid in full Seal this 17th day of Nov 1860
on the above Judgment A Wilson Clerk
Attorney S. M.C.

Dec 17th Fifa Returned Satisfied

Rec'd on the above Judgement One
hundred and Six 12/100 dollars
Dec 17, 60. Hawkes Nuchols & Co
by Bradford atty

The above Rec'd was assigned to Solomon
Owens and the above Judgement by Wm R
Owens Feb 7 1861
Hawkes Nuchols & Co.
by A A Bradford

Henry Pklejer
vs
Harris & Wheeler
} Change of Venue from Enterprise
on appeal founded on a note
under seal dated Aug 9th 60 & payable
55 days after date 800 00

Be it remembered that on the 14th day of Nov
1860 Henry Pklejer by his attorney C C Post filed
in this court the papers as above case, thereupon
it was agreed by council that the cause be
set for hearing on the 19th day of November.

Nov 19 Now comes the parties in person & by Counsel
& after hearing was submitted to a Jury of
12 good & Lawful Men of this District whereupon
after consultation they returned the following
Verdict, "Mountain City Nov 19 1860

We the Jurors in the case wherein Henry Flicker is Plaintiff & S. T. Harris & Charles H Wheeler are defendants agree in our Verdict that the Defendents aforesaid shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of Eight Hundred Dollars the amount of the note in full". Signed

David Johnson Foreman

Thereupon it is ordered and adjudged by this court that the Plaintiff recover from said Defendents the sum of $800.00 together with costs of suit taxed at $5.60 Thereupon Execution remains

Given under my hand & seal
this 19th day of November 1860
A Nelson Clerk

Defendent P. 18.00 adjudged

Nov 19 Case dismissed
Dec 7 Costs paid Wm Hayes A Nelson
Jany 14 Fifa Returned Returned Satisfied
Jany 26 Rec $800.00 in full of the within judgment
Rec costs in full Henry Pklejer

Wm S Sensenderfer
vs
William Stansfield

Appeal
Ejectment for 28 feet on
the Babtail Lode between
Claims Nos 5 & 7 on said Lode

Oct 30th Be it remembered that on the 30th day of October
1860 the Plaintiffs gave notice of appeal from
a Jury of 3 & Judgment Judgment Entered
on page 272 of this Docket. The appeal being
completed & by agreement of parties Cause
Nov 6 Set for November 6th Now comes the parties
by council & Join Issue before a Jury of
12 Men & after hearing the Evidence and ar-
guments the Jury return the following Verdict
"We the Undersigned Jurors in the Case
Wherein Wm S Sensenderfer is Plff and Wm
Stanfield is defendent cannot agree"
signed Wm H Bates-foreman
Nov 13 Whereupon it was agreed by Council that
the cause be set for 13th day of Nov at 9 o'Cl A.M.
the parties again joined issue before a Jury
of 12 Men Jury organized & court adjourned
Nov 14 over to 14th Nov & after hearing the Evidence
& arguments the Jury find & return the follow-
ing Verdict— We the Jury find for the Plaintiff
that they are entitled to the full possession
of the 28 feet in dispute Nov 14th 1860
Signed A Woodman as foreman
Wherefore it is Ordered & Adjudged by this Court
that the Plaintiffs are entitled to the 28 feet
as above in Possession & in fact & that
the defendent is Ejected therefrom & that the
Plaintiffs recover from defendent costs of
Suit Taxed at $16.20 Whereon execution remains

Pltfs Fees $6.00
Deft

Given under My hand & Seal
this 20th day of Nov 1860
A Wilson Clerk Dist Court

Gentry & Kansas Mining Court of Longory District

Michael Cristian
& David Mishler
Vs.
Caldwell, McLelland & Co.

Damages Claimed $2500

for Logs delivered at Mill Creek

Be it remembered that on the 18th day of Nov A.D. 1860, filed their complaint in the Mining Court of Longory Dist. in the above entitled cause whereupon the case stand for trial 9th Nov. Harris

Nov 9 And now at this time comes the parties by agreement consent to have the trial stand over until Thursday Nov. 15th A.D. 1860, at 9 A.M.

" 15th And now comes the parties & joined issue to the Court, who after hearing the evidence in the cause, & arguments of Counsil, decided in favor of Plaintiffs it is therefore ordered & adjudged by this court that said Plaintiffs recover of Defendants the sum of $82 8.00 for Logs delivered at Mill Creek together with Costs of suit taxed at $4.25 whereupon execution remains

Given under My hand & Seal this 20th day of November 1860

A Wilson

Nov 19th This case is appealed & costs paid by Defendent,

" 26 Appeal issued

Jan 7 Entered on page 51. mon docket

James Percy
W H Stephens
John Jolly Joseph Phillips
Saml Miller George Bowe } Leasing & Trespass Mines
W D Barnett } Court of Oregon District
Percy & Stephens } Ejectment
vs } Damages Claimed 1000
Jolee Miller et al

Be it remembered that Plaintiffs by their attorney C A Morgan filed their complaint against Defendants in the Mines court of Oregon District whereupon Summons issued Returnable on the 12th Nov 1860

Nov 12th And now the Summons being returned served only on Samuel Miller. The cause was set for trial on the 19th day of Nov A.D. 1860

Nov 19 And now at this time comes the Plaintiffs into court. The defendants though called appeared not, it is therefore ordered that Plaintiffs recover of the defendants Miller Jolee et al the sum of $1000 dam. with costs of suit taxed at $12.10 and for execution remains and that Plaintiffs be put in possession of the following claims, 100 feet square bounded on the by the Exly claim, on the west by the Brown Claim with a claim on the East side also Two undivided thirds of One Hundred & fifty feet down the gulch commencing from the above mentioned claims also two undivided third of sixty feet on the gulch and running West Bounded running West One hundred & fifty feet Bounded as follows on the west by the Miller claim on the south by the Earle Claim the said Claim bounded Bounded as follows on the East by the claims known as the Brown claims so far as said Miller is concerned & the said Miller & all of said defendants ejected from said claims Whereupon Execution remains

Given under my hand & seal this 28th day of Nov 1860 A Wilson

W. P. Hall & Charles Call
&
B. F. Chase
} Judgement by confession 201.05

Be it remembered that on the 29th day of October 1860 the parties in person came into open court & the Defendent confessed that he was justly indebted to said Defendents in the sum of $201.05 & that he confessed Judgement for that amount.

Therefore it is ordered & adjudged by this court that the Plaintiffs recover of said Defendent the sum of $201.05 together with the costs of suit taxed at $2.00 Wherefore Execution remains

Given under my hand & seal this 29th day of November 1860
A Wilson Seal
J. P. C.

costs of suit 2.00 Pd by Pffs

Nov 24 fifa issued 1.00 Pd " "

Returned Satisfied

1861 Rec'd the above Judgement & costs in full
Jany 9 by sale and Shuffs deed of a Skiletan town
& Lot immediately East of Vance & Co's Store

Cizen Costs 24. $ 2.90
Costs Paid
W. P. Hall

1982

State of Kansas County of Graham ss. Be it remembered

Hugh Smith

vs

Pictorial Summons

Admrs. of Jno. Smith dec'd

From claim No 10 on Pottawatomie

Nov 2nd Be it remembered that on this day this cause coming on for hearing before the Court and the Court being sufficiently advised in the premises doth find that the defendants as above described are indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of Three Thousand Dollars and that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the amount of his claim as above described and costs of suit. Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the sum of Three Thousand Dollars and costs of suit. To which decision of the Court the defendants except and ask time to make and file a case which is granted them by the Court.

Nov 3rd And now on this day comes the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants by their attorney and this cause coming on for trial upon the pleadings and evidence and the Court being fully advised in the premises doth find that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the sum of Three Thousand Dollars and costs of suit. To which decision of the Court the defendants except and ask time to make and file a case which is granted them by the Court.

Nov 23rd And now on this day comes the plaintiff by his attorney and this cause coming on for trial upon the pleadings and evidence and the Court being fully advised in the premises doth find that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the sum of Three Thousand Dollars and costs of suit. To which decision of the Court the defendants except and ask time to make and file a case which is granted them by the Court.

Nov 27 And now on this day comes the plaintiff by his attorney and this cause coming on for trial upon the pleadings and evidence and the Court being fully advised in the premises doth find that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the sum of Three Thousand Dollars and costs of suit. To which decision of the Court the defendants except and ask time to make and file a case which is granted them by the Court.

Filed in my office this 1st day of Dec. A.D. 1860

A. Wilson Clerk

By Deputy Clerk S. M. C.

See page 290

L 85

Territory of Kansas Arapahoe Co.
Fogay District

William Banks
vs
White & Simmons } Assumpsit
Claim 175 00

Be it remembered that on the 31 day of October 1860 the plaintiff by C. P. Dart filed his complaint against said Defendants whereupon Summons issued returnable the 5th day of Oct 1860

Oct 5 Summons returned served on White & Simmons, parties appeared by counsel & cause set for trial on the 7th inst

Nov 7 Now comes the parties in person & by counsel and issue to the Court & after hearing Evidence & Arguments of counsel it is Ordered & Adjudged by this Court that Defendants pay to the plaintiff the sum of Eighty dollars & that Plaintiff pay costs of suit taxed at $16.90 Rec of Execution remains

Given under my hand & seal this 28th day of November 1860
A Wilson (Seal)
J P C

P. C. 84

Territory of Kansas
Arapahoe County

Francis Quinn
vs
James McDonald & James Emmerson } assumpsit

Be it remembered that on the 23 day of November A D 1860 filed his complaint against James Emmerson & James McDonald for labour amounting to $22.50
The reupon summons issued returnable on the 26th day of November A D 1860

Nov 26 Summons returned duly served upon A & McDonald & James Emmerson & cause passed over to Dec 3d 1860

Dec 3 now comes the Plaintiff by his attorney A Leonard the Defendents though called come not

Therefore it is ordered & adjudged by this court that Plaintiff recover of said Defendents the sum of $22.50 Together with costs of suit taxed at $11.50 Whereon Execution remains

Given under My hand and Seal this 3d day of Dec 1860
A Wilson Esq
J P C.

Territory of Kansas county of Arapahoe } Miners court Greg'ry

Geo. P. Hanson
vs
Richard Baker } Attachment
Damages $1.5[illegible],00

Nov 16 Be it remembered that on this day the plaintiff by his attorney Geo P Boyce filed his complaint as above whereupon summons issued returnable on the 26th Nov. A.D. 1860 at 9 A.M.

" 26 And now at this time the summons being returned, served comes the defendant into court & motion made to dissolve the attachment which motion was not sustained. Cause set for trial on the 28th Nov. 1860

" 28 And now comes the parties & jointly into the court, who after hearing the evidence in the cause & argument of counsel. Gave judgement for plaintiff for the sum of $8.00 together with costs of suit taxed at $7.50 whereupon execution remains.

Given under my hand
& seal this 3rd Dec 1860

Costs $7.50 by Plaintiff

A Wilson [seal]
J.M.C.

Territory of Kansas County of Arapahoe Probate Court of Eureka District

Jas. W. Garrison
to
A. M. Hall & [redacted] Spades
D. S Bentley

Debt. $2,240.00
Transcript from Eureka Dist

Be it remembered that on the 28th day of Nov. A.D. 1860. Personally appeared D. S. Bentley. & being first duly sworn. states That he was in company with A. M. Hall & C. C. Spades. at the date of note now in file in this office & these notes were given for Partnership matters of the above firm. on the note for fifteen hundred dollars. (Dollars) Mr Spades name was omitted as he was absent at the time the note was drawn That note was drawn for the benefit of the Partnership. Mr Spades told me to confess judgement on these notes today. & I do hereby confess judgement on the four notes for the sum of $2240.00. with 10 per cent from this date

Bently Hall. & Spades
Per. D. S. Spades

It is ordered & decreed that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendants three hundred & forty dollars, & Defendants pay the above sum $2240.00, at 10 per cent per month until paid, & costs of this suit $2.20

Given under my hand & seal. This 28 Nov A.D. 1860

John Gaylor
J. P. E. Eureka Dist.
by M. M. Mason
C. M. E.

I hereby certify the above & foregoing as a true copy of the original judgement, on my office record this 28th Nov A.D. 1860 John Gaylor J. P. E. Eureka Dist.

Filed for record Dec 1st A.D. 1860 at 4 o'clock P.M. and duly recorded in Book D. Page 986 Records of Deeds, Eureka County, K.T. Wm. Ellis Recorder

Territory of Kansas County of
Arapahoe Justices court Leg. Div.

Jno. W. Ramsing
vs
De Lope
3 Attachments
3 Dam. $30.00

Nov 18 Be it remembered that on this day the plaintiff filed his complaint & affidavit for attachments above whereupon attachments & summons issued, returnable on the 19th Nov. A.D. 1860 2. P.M.

19th And now at this time the summons being returned served, comes the plaintiff into court & files his affidavit for a continuance which was granted & cause stands for trial on the 27th Nov 1860.

Nov 27 Case continued at cost of Plaintiff & cause stands for trial on the 29th 1860 2 P.M.

29 And now at this time comes the parties into court & joined issue to a jury of three (3) who after hearing the evidence in the cause & arguments of counsel returned the following verdict, to wit "We the jurors give a verdict for behalf of defendant & that the defendant is to give the Plaintiff, five dollars & a Balance of the ten dollars & plaintiff is to pay the cost" signed E. J. Aldrich, foreman. Thereupon James Inman it is therefore ordered & adjudged by this court that said defendant pay $5.00 to plaintiff as a Balance & that plaintiff pay the costs of suit taxed at $7.16 whereof execution remains

Given under my hand & seal

Nov. 20th Writ of Sale issued - 1 Copy sent - Marshal Lewis Woodward Sheriff's fees $2.75 (2.25) Returned Nov. 24th 1860 - Sale 4 - 11 days - $24.40

Jan 7th Fifty cents - This 7th day Dec. A.D. 1860

Thos. Preston J.P. [illegible]

Sheriff's fees
Levy 25 cents
" 20th Copy & Service
Returned
Nov 16 A.D. 1860 J.P.

Territory of Kansas

~~Francis Abernathy~~ Arapahoe County Fourth District

Francis Abernathy }
vs } Ejectment on claim
Henry Ferris } No 3 from Greenwich & 60
John Ferris } on the Mammoth Lode
Benjamin Ferris } 100 feet by 50 feet

Dec 12 Be it remembered that on the 12th day of December 1860 Francis Abernathy filed his complaint against ~~file his complaint~~ ~~against~~ Henry Ferris & others parties unknown for the recovery of said claim ~~thereupon~~ Summons & injunction issued returnable the 17th day of December 1860

Dec 17 Parties appeared by Council & cause set for hearing on the 21st Dec 1860

Dec 21 Parties appeared by Council & in person and joined issue before the Court & also on Motion John Ferris & Benjamin Ferris was joined & appeared as parties unknown & after hearing the Evidence & arguments of Council it was Ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff recover said claim with costs of suit taxed at $35.80 & also Damages in the sum of $5.00 and that said defendants are forever hereafter Ejected from said claim, Whereupon Execution remains Given under my hand and seal this 21st day of Dec 1860

J M Wilson (Seal)
Probate Judge A. C. D.

1861
Jany 24 Fifty cents $1.00
Feby 12 " Renewed "
Mar 22 Alias issued " Returned unsatisfied S. M. C.
May 21 Rec'd my costs in the above $3.00 Abram Cox Sheriff
" " Lyzek Deceased

Dawn 2nd 1861

Samuel Cook

vs

J. H. & E. H. McCluskie

District of Kansas County of Arapahoe Probate Court

Foreclosure of mortgage

To the Honorable A. Wilson Judge of the Probate Court of said County

The undersigned a Commissioner appointed in the above case to execute the decree of said court made in the above case on the 17th day of September A.D. 1860 files this his report as to his doings in said case. That on the 16th day of Oct A.D. 1860 pursuant to notice given in accordance with said decree I did offer the premises described in said decree at public vendue at the place appointed by said decree and between the hours therein specified and Chas. L. Post was the highest & best bidder therefore who bid the sum of one thousand & fifty dollars. And the same was struck off to said Chas L. Post, and more than ten days having elapsed since the date of said sale and said defendants having failed to redeem said property as said I upon the day of the date I did make conveyance of said claims and property described in said decree. to Chas L. Post And now make my report I did

Witness my hand & seal this 30 last of September 29th day of October A.D. 1860

(Signed) Andrew Cox

Special Commissioner

State of Kansas } ss

Arapahoe County }

Andrew Cox

Territory of Kansas
Atchison County
Probate Court

Case brought by appeal from page 282

See page 282
Hugh Smith
vs
Jeremiah Heaven et al

1860 Jeremiah Heaven et al
Dec 3 The appeal being perfected by paying costs
cause continued from time to time until
Dec 11 December 11th 1860 parties appeared and by
consent of parties & by leave of Court
Evidence & arguments of counsel heard
the following verdict was rendered

Hugh Smith
vs
Jeremiah Heaven et al

We the Jury in the above
entitled cause find for the Plaintiff -
Signed D C Bissell Foreman & etc

It is therefore considered & adjudged by
this Court that the Judgement ~~below~~ is
confirmed together with costs of suit
taxed at $111.50 Given under my hand & seal this

Given under my hand and seal
this 15th day of Dec 1860
E F Ritchie

On the 25th day of Dec 1860 after having
filed a motion for a new trial Jeremiah Heaven
filed his affidavit ~~upon the~~ and after
hearing the arguments the cause was opened
and a new trial granted by consent & set for hearing
1861 on the 11th day of January 1861
Jany 11 by agreement of parties cause set for
hearing on the 15th day of January 1861
Jany 15 Now comes Jeremiah Heaven one of defendants &
his affidavit that he believes he cannot have
a impartial trial on account of the minds of the people
of this district having been made up & therefore
~~he~~ ~~is~~ is granted Given under my hand & seal this
15th day of Jany 1861
E F Ritchie